	Page 1
1	Pages: 1-135
2	
3	
4	
5	Town of Brookline
6	Board of Appeals Public Hearing
7	Re 500 Harvard Street
8	
9	
10	
11	Remote Zoom
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Wednesday, March 10, 2021
17	7:00 p.m 9:55 p.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 2
1	
2	APPEARANCES
3	
4	Maria Morelli, AICP, Senior Planner
5	Planning and Community Development
6	Town of Brookline
7	333 Washington Street
8	Brookline, MA 0445
9	(617) 730-2670
10	mmorelli@brooklinema.gov
11	
12	Board of Appeals
13	Jesse Geller, Chairman
14	Johanna Schneider, Board Member
15	Kate Poverman, Board Member
16	Randolph Meiklejohn, Board Member
17	
18	Clifford Boehmer, Architect
19	John Harding, Architect
20	Jennifer Dopazo-Gilbert, Attorney
21	Danny Danesh, Applicant
22	David Danesh, Applicant
23	
24	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. GELLER: Good evening, everyone.
3	This is Jesse Geller. Before we begin, I'll
4	confirm that all members and other persons
5	anticipated to participate on the agenda are
6	present and can hear me. Members, when I call
7	your name, please respond in the affirmative.
8	Kate Poverman.
9	MS. POVERMAN: I am here.
10	MR. GELLER: Randolph Meiklejohn.
11	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I also am here.
12	MR. GELLER: Johanna Schneider.
13	MS. SCHNEIDER: Present.
14	MR. GELLER: Staff, when I call your
15	name, please respond in the affirmative. Maria
16	Morelli.
17	MS. MORELLI: I'm here.
18	MR. GELLER: And we have nobody else
19	from staff, I assume?
20	MS. MORELLI: That's right.
21	MR. GELLER: Great. Thank you. All
22	speakers should identify themselves by name
23	prior to speaking. This hearing of the ZBA in
24	open session is being conducted remotely, and in

a manner that is consistent with Governor

Baker's March 12, 2020 executive order

concerning the current state of emergency in the

Commonwealth due to the outbreak of the COVID-19

virus.

In order to mitigate the transmission of COVID-19, we have been advised to practice physical distancing, and the requirement of the open meeting law that public bodies such as this conduct their meetings in a publicly accessible physical location has been suspended.

The governor's order, which is posted with agenda materials for this hearing, authorizes this body to meet entirely remotely so long as adequate public access is provided. Adequate public access does not include public participation, unless such participation is required by law.

This hearing will feature public comment. For this hearing, the ZBA is convening by video conference via Zoom, as posted on the town's calendar, which identifies how the public may access the hearing. Be advised that this hearing is and that some attendees may be

participating by video conference. Accordingly, please be aware that others may be able to see you, and take care not to screen share your computer. Anything that you broadcast may be captured by the recording.

All supporting materials that have been provided to members of the body are available on the town website and attached to this hearing invitation. The hearing will follow the agenda, unless I note otherwise.

Finally, before turning to the agenda, I will cover some ground rules that will permit clear and effective conduct of our business and help to ensure accurate hearing minutes.

I'll introduce each speaker on the agenda. After they conclude their remarks, I'll invite each member, by name, to provide any comment, questions, or motions. Please hold yours until your name is called. Please also remember to mute your phone or computer when you are not speaking, and to speak clearly and in a way that helps generate accurate minutes.

Before responding, please wait until the floor is yielded to you, and state your name

1-800-727-6396

before speaking. If members wish to engage in sidebar comment with other members, please do so through me. Finally, each vote taken in this hearing will be conducted by a roll call vote.

For the public comment component of this hearing, I will ask members of the public who wish to speak to identify themselves by name and address only. I'll call on each by name. Please enter your name into the chat section. You can find the chat icon on the bottom of your screen. Click on this icon, and the chat window will appear on the right. Our host, Maria, will cue members in the order in which requests are received. Additionally, we will ask if members of the public who are calling in would like to speak in favor of or in opposition to the project.

Tonight's agenda. As people will recall, our last hearing was February 17. At that time, the applicant presented revised materials that were the result of discussions in the meetings that took place with staff, and there were a number of changes. There were other issues that, frankly, the board had significant

concerns about, consistent with prior concerns
that were raised, and ultimately, at the end of
the hearing, the board has done -- I think in the
last two hearings gave a charge to the
developer. And again, I think there was a
significant amount of continuity in the request
by the board members.

Tonight's hearing will be similar in content in many ways to the last hearing. We will see what iterative changes have been made to this project. Hopefully the proponent has made changes per the board's request.

I'm going to steal Maria's thunder. I understand that the deadline for this hearing to close is now April 7.

MS. MORELLI: Correct.

MR. GELLER: And there are strict guidelines under Chapter 40B that we need to follow, and therefore, we're very conscious of the deadline in which we have to close the hearing and render a decision, and Maria has been on top of that and has gotten extensions where we've needed them. What else, Maria? Staff report and also administrative details,

1 please.

MR. MORELLI: Yes. I'll launch into the staff report. So I just thought I would spend just a minute or two to go over the ZBA's charge, and I'll just go over the overall comments and not individual comments, unless you want that --

MR. GELLER: I do not.

MR. GELLER: -- entered into the record. So overall, the board felt at the February 17 hearing that the applicant still needs to eliminate volume on the upper floors to meet the ZBA charge to improve the scale and relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, especially the Kenwood neighborhood.

The ZBA did not say either bring retail back or lose the retail space on the ground floor. Ms. Schneider said see if residential units could be moved to the ground floor to reduce height and massing. Mr. Geller said play with the program to reduce massing.

Ms. Poverman and Mr. Meiklejohn thought losing the sixth story would improve the scale. Ms. Poverman said it "makes me wonder if

1-800-727-6396

this building can be reduced from six stories to five stories," and she referenced the applicant's other project at 455 Harvard. She said, "Cliff's recommendation that setbacks on Floors 5 and 6 should be heeded." Mr. Meiklejohn said, "When it comes to mass, it would be better if the building were not six stories, or at least a partial sixth story."

Mr. Geller, Ms. Schneider, and Mr. Meiklejohn said that the arcade does nothing to improve scale or bulk of the building. Mr. Geller noted stepbacks on the fifth floor at the 420 Harvard 40B on the fifth floor facing one-and two-story neighborhood on Fuller Street.

Mr. Geller wants to see how a reduction in massing improves impact on Kenwood at the next hearing. In other words, he wanted to see massing studies, whether they work proportionately or not. Mr. Geller and Mr. Meiklejohn were fine with concentrating mass at Harvard, as long as massing is meaningfully reduced in relationship to the Kenwood one- and two-family neighborhood.

So the working group that we had was

1-800-727-6396

held on March 4, and we reviewed revised plans that the applicant submitted. We also had a live sketch-up model to play with to look at different volumes chunked out, and we also looked at analytical tools, whether it's street sections, view sheds, different perspectives from different viewpoints. And the question was is the impact due to scale on the area most affected, that is the Kenwood neighborhood. Is it being diminished to a sufficient degree that can measurably -- can that improvement be measured.

So again, we did look at some massing studies, which I've asked the applicant to provide. So it would be those interim studies, as many as we looked at in the March 4 working group, as well as earlier working groups.

The other analytical tools will be a person -- a pedestrian at 9 Kenwood at the ground floor and at the upper floor, what is their view of the building, as well as standing across the street across from the driveway, what is the perspective of the pedestrian on Kenwood looking at the building.

Street sections, iterations of the project over the progression of the public hearing, and I've even asked for street sections of those projects that often get referred to, mainly 420 Harvard and 445 Harvard, because there are two-family neighborhoods abutting the rear of those projects.

One thing that I guess I will jump to, the applicant will be showing the most significant change, which is a stepback of the upper floor on the west facade by about 18 and a half feet. So that is the deepest stepback on the upper floor that we've seen, and generally, Mr. Boehmer did feel that that was a measurable impact, and it did make a difference.

The other changes -- the retail has been introduced. I'm not sure how that affects the program because there is a bunch of things, like that 700 square feet of living area that was reduced as a result of that stepback on the sixth floor. The parking still remains, and no units have been brought to the ground floor. You wondered if those options were going to be considered.

With the introduction of retail becomes the issue of intensity of use; for instance, what are the uses that are being planned, and are there going to be amenities on the site that can support that program. So how we're looking at that, it's about 1200 square feet of retail that's included, and I think there is also an amenity space retained.

But besides that, the retail space does preclude a commercial kitchen. So some of the intensity of use that would come with ventilation, noise and odors, although those would be on the upper floor, not on the ground floor, a destination restaurant probably would not be part of this program because -- just because of the size, the limited space, you really couldn't accommodate a commercial kitchen.

The trash does need to be evaluated by the health department, and so we don't have the report back regarding retail.

I do want to note that I did ask for the loading zone that is proposed for Harvard Street to be shown in the perspectives. I'm not

 sure if they will be, but I do want to just remind you that the transportation board did ask for -- or did okay -- was okay with the loading zone on Harvard Street. With that loading zone, there is no loss of parking spaces or street trees.

There is sufficient width, a minimum of four feet, for pedestrians to pass on the sidewalk without needing an easement from the applicant for space to walk on their site, in other words.

Now, just turning to Kenwood, the other things that I consulted with staff on, you know, under Section 604, off street loading -- excuse me, parking, there does need to be an analysis by the director of engineering of any driveways -- any intersection of driveways with sidewalks, and what is the visibility of pedestrians who are on the sidewalk approaching a driveway on either side. So that would be Section 604.4.F.1.

And we did have a diagram prepared by the applicant's traffic consultant that was reviewed by DPW, and that diagram does appear to

be -- does appear to meet the standards of

Section 04.4.F.1, according to Todd Kirrane, the

transportation administrator. He does ask for a

few conditions related to audible signals,

visual signals, and stop sign on site.

In regard to storm water, there was an extensive memo prepared by DPW, and that included water and sewer, as well. It was an extensive memo, and the applicant's civil engineer did respond in a way that was sufficient to DPW. So there are some conditions that would be recommended, and there are other issues that could be relegated to a review set of final drawings.

There were a few things that were amended by the applicant. Namely, the infiltration system had been within the building footprint, and that would have required a waiver of the state's plumbing board, and they have rectified that situation. We also will have the fire department take a look at that, as well, in terms of any fire connection and any adequacy from the fire department's perspective.

The two other things that still need

1-800-727-6396

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

to be reviewed, in addition to the trash, by the health department would be the foundation method because of its proximity to 514 Harvard to the north, as well as a preliminary building code analysis, which we do for every 40B project, again, because there is that proximity to another building.

So I think that is pretty much it in regard to what we reviewed in the working group. There are -- there should be in this presentation a variety of analytical tools that should show how the massing changes were assessed, and the peer reviewer can speak to, in his estimation, how adequately or not those moves make a difference, and then we can also follow up if there's anything that you want peer reviewers to review. I think I would loop the parking peer reviewer to take a look at the parking just in terms of feasability because of the stackers. We just want to look at the geometry. So that would conclude my staff report. If you have any questions?

MR. GELLER: Thank you, Maria. Board members -- Kate, any questions for Maria?

1	You're shaking your head. Is that a no?
2	MS. POVERMAN: This is Kate Poverman.
3	I do not have any questions at this time.
4	MR. GELLER: Thank you. Randolph?
5	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: No, I don't have any
6	questions. Thank you.
7	MR. GELLER: Johanna?
8	MS. SCHNEIDER: I have no questions.
9	Maria, thank you for such a thorough report.
10	MR. GELLER: Thank you, Maria. I
11	think you touched on it, but one thing I would
12	like to see is sort of the iterative
13	presentation, so from the initial building to
14	where we are today. What are the substantive
15	changes in elevation format that have been made?
16	MS. MORELLI: Yes, Mr. Geller.
17	MR. GELLER: Is that what you were
18	referring to?
19	MS. MORELLI: Yeah. That is the
20	expectation. We felt it would be really
21	effective to see side by side how the building
22	did change, and also to see the volume not
23	just like stepbacks, just that one metric, but

to see really the volume that was removed.

MR. GELLER: Great. So next, I want to invite the applicant to present revised plans.

Jennifer, I know you're here. Do you want to jump in, or do you want the architect to take the lead?

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: I would just like to say thank you to Maria for the really thorough report. I think that you will see in this presentation that every single one of the items that she mentioned has been addressed to the best of the team's ability.

And also just would like to, you know, remind the board that we submitted this application on October 29, 2019, opened a hearing in December of 2019, and although there were a couple of months where the board wasn't conducting virtual meetings, this has been an incredibly long process. And we have provided the board with many extensions, but are really hoping to stick to the April deadline that was mentioned.

So we did see quite a few letters of support come in over the last couple of weeks.

So we were really happy to see that. And without

further ado, John Harding has a lot to say and a lot of really detailed information to present, so I will turn it over to him.

4

5

6

3

MR. HARDING: Thank you, Jennifer, and Maria, for your report, and thank you to the board. John Harding from CUBE 3 here representing the client as the architect.

7

I'm going to try to keep this
presentation much shorter than last time. I'm
not going to go into a large analysis of the
streetscape of Harvard Street this time. So
that was a big component with the last
presentation.

11 12

10

One thing I am picking up on now from

14 15

13

this conversation that isn't necessarily in here is the comparisons back visually to the original

16 17

scheme. All the comparisons I've done in this

18 19 presentation are of the last scheme that we saw,

20

which had those comparisons back to the original

21

February 17 submission until now. So you can

-- I mean, just on the comparisons from the

22

specifically see the differences from the last

23

24

So if there's a desire to seek the

1-800-727-6396

charge.

further history, we can follow up and provide a document that shows all of those iterations. I didn't feel that there was a lot of questions leading back to it when we showed it last time at the February hearing, so we had to hold those out, but I do have statistical comparisons still for you at the end of this. So we can talk about area and volume and that sort of stuff. I just don't have the graphics back to the very first submission.

So I am going to share my screen. everybody see the screen? Great. Thank you. So I'll just kind of jump in. The takeaways that we had from the charge -- and I won't go into all these things, but the things that we tried to -as Maria just went in depth here -- but the things that we tried to really focus on coming out of the February 17 hearing was to look at only the volume on the upper floors, looking at ways to improve the relationship to the neighbors, playing with our ground floor program to reduce the massing, whether it was retail or I think any adding back program residential. that has rent associated with it allowed us to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 change other pieces.

We heard from the neighbors that there is a real desire for retail here, so we made that the focus of responding to the neighbors and looking at retail versus adding units down there. In the scheme, we have maintained the unit count. We have changed the mix rather significantly to reduce the bed count with the carved out massing.

We had comments that the arcade was not being successful, so we've removed the arcade in lieu of adding back the retail and shifting, you know, some of the other programmatic elements around a little bit. The building a more cohesive image. I kind of took this from a few different of the comments, more of the specific comments than the overall ones Maria had brought up.

We were talking about the color changes, especially on the Kenwood facade, making it feel like three different buildings, and looking to improve the aesthetics of the blank walls facing the neighbors. So that is -- those are kind of pieces that we took away from

that that we wanted to try to make sure we addressed for you in this updated design.

We did have a very productive working session on March 4. I think that we had a lot of good conversations about the changes that we had proposed, some tweaks to those, and looking at the kind of alternative options, which I'll have on the next slide after this.

So looking at those interim studies, looking at multiple view lines along Kenwood, not just the one that we kind of had been looking at over and over, looking at some comparisons to some of the other approved projects, 420 and 445 Harvard, and showing some of those additional views from Kenwood.

We've identified area and volumetric changes that have been made across the entire process here. We've looked at adding some columnar trees for screening along the 9 Kenwood edge. We've extended the brick bay -- and this was a collaborative conversation. It was not part of our design when we went into the working session. It was something that we kind of worked through on the fly with Cliff and Maria, and I

think everybody came out of it feeling much more

-- we just all felt like the building has a much
better image now, something that's more
cohesive, especially from the street sides, and
it really helped to break up the issue of kind of
it feeling like three buildings, especially from
the Kenwood elevation, specifically on the
street.

And the other kind of takeaway was that the massing adjacent to 514 Harvard was more successful in what we had proposed at that time. So that remains as is.

So just kind of going through some of the different studies that we looked at, Study 1 was something that Cliff had asked us to take a look at, which was more or less keeping with the aesthetic that we had talked about in the meeting, but raising the parapets, the bays themselves, just slightly to create the railing so that we would eliminate the black railing that you can kind of see in these other images here.

We looked at it. I personally don't love it. I didn't like it as much as what we

have. Otherwise, I like the texture and the scale of having that railing up there. I think that that talks to a level of activity. But we wanted to look at it. If the board or Cliff thinks it's better, we are absolutely open to going that direction. We just had to pick a horse to move forward with, so we chose to go the direction that you'll see the rest of the presentation.

Study 2 involved pulling the fifth and the sixth floors back about ten feet or so from the Kenwood side. So you can kind of see the mass missing from here and what that would look like kind of coming down Kenwood and creating really just a little bit more depth, but you still have the sheer volume of that face of the building.

Another option that we looked at in Study 3 was leaving the fifth floor where it was, but pulling the sixth floor back to have no program up to basically the corridor line -- it goes up to where the elevators are in the building -- so really pushing this back. We looked at this live in the working session, and

everybody kind of felt -- especially from this angle on Harvard, it just feels really awkward up here. The proportions weren't working.

That, combined with the fact that we were losing residential units, the -- like the economics of it don't work, but the aesthetics really don't work. So I think we really -- we looked at that, and we just didn't think that that was something that was worth pursuing.

So we were trying to figure out how far back do you push any of these pieces that creates a nice image on -- from Harvard Street, but also from Kenwood Street, and effectively steps the massing, creates that sky plan that Jesse was talking about last time to get light down to the neighbor at 9 Kenwood.

So those are the things -- the themes that we took away from this, you know, where do you push to, how far back do you push, and how do you modulate the massing to make that a more effective transition into the neighbor.

So going through from here, again, similar to last time, there's going to be an image of the project as proposed and then a side

by side comparing against the last presentation.

So here you can see kind of the corner image that we've looked at along Harvard looking south.

You can see that we've added in the retail base at the ground floor.

We had a comment, I believe from
Randolph last time, about the color of the base
all being light colored and it creating this
kind of floating effect that didn't feel quite
right with the brick above. So we've brought in
a darker brick here that wraps the corner to
where the parking entry is. This all kind of
aligns now, so where the massing aligns and the
retail exists, that's where we have this darker
brick creating that heavier base, creating a
similar aesthetic to the Tatte at 420 Harvard
Street.

And then as you transition further down to the residential entrance, we left that bay overhanging, and we removed the columns, so there's no columns underneath it now. It is completely cantilevered, and we left the light color there to create a better transition to 514 Harvard.

The other major change that you see here is we carried the brick around to the bay on Kenwood, truly creating these two bookends with the bays, both of which don't come to grade and step down from the main mass of the brick throughout the corner element of the facade.

I don't know that we've really made any modifications at the top of the building outside of that. Most of it was about the ground plane, removing the arcade and then looking at the colors and the textures in the facade materials to create a more cohesive design here.

So just doing a comparison, again, similar to last time, you can see we had the dark gray panel with the bay at the corner, that kind of hard line, the brick from where it kind of bent back, went to a flat section up at the top, so we removed that. So there was a little bit of massing play up there. And then the bay at the far side closer to 514 Harvard went up to the fifth floor. We did step that down, and that's what I was referring to earlier, that that massing move was deemed to be successful at the working session.

So it's really been about materials creating that strong base, an active base with a corner entry that goes right out to the crosswalks, really kind of helping to activate that corner, and then just the minor mass modulation over -- further down the street here.

Focusing a little more from the side from the TJ Maxx exit, looking back, you really see the step down. This is where I was talking about leaving the railing with the -- and we're showing a little bit of planting up there to really show like where these kind of outside spaces are just as a kind of visual key.

You can see the transition of where the retail is versus the residential component, making that distinctly different. There's a little bit more of a setback there, which you'll see in an upcoming image. You can see, Maria, that we did get the loading areas modeled in for these images, so you can see the loading area there, as well.

I think we've touched on almost everything else here. Really, the stepping here goes back two versions, two iterations ago,

before we brought in the bay aesthetic where the massing is stepping this way, and Cliff talked last time about this being a more successful transition in height from 514 Harvard up and creating that stepping to the mass to the corner and bringing that prominence to the corner of Harvard and Kenwood. There are some minor modulation pieces that we'll get into in the backside of this image, as well.

So the comparisons here you can really see. We have tried to highlight in kind of these red dotted lines where mass was removed so that that can be a little bit more apparent for you.

Just looking at the Harvard Street experience, along the sidewalk, you see the bay overhanging, the coloration difference here, and then the dark gray comes out to the property line. So the property lines can only run somewhere down the middle of the sidewalk.

And just doing the comparison here, so we have the columns previously with the stairs.

We've been able to rework the inside, adding a ramp inside of the space to make the connection from the street, the door to the street being at

sidewalk level, and making that transition inside the space, once we had reduced the scope of what the amenity space was there.

The co-working component that we

4

5

talked about last time is no longer included.
We've instead put the retail space in, hoping

6 7

that we're going to have an active use in that

8

retail space that could hopefully replicate that

9

-- I guess that kind of amenity and component.

10 11 The fitness component does remain at the ground floor, and you'll see that on the plans when we

Again, the entrance -- you know,

12

get further down there.

13 14

looking at like a high quality entrance that

15

feels very connected to the sidewalk, very open

16

at this point. Without those columns there, you

17 18 do get the extra sense of space at the residential entrance, and it does feel

19

distinctly different than the retail component,

20

looking at, you know, how to incorporate some

21

signage, some plantings.

2223

discussion. If you guys hate or love anything,

Obviously, all this is up for

24

you know, I'm happy to refine some of these

details, but I think that the moves that were -that we've incorporated here have really been a
little bit more successful.

The other thing that I've done is we're proposing to add a little fence back here to screen the bicycles to create -- make that a secure area so you don't get people kind of walking down this alley down into the depth of our building, but also down into the depths of 514 Harvard. I think you'll be able to kind of work your way back there otherwise. So trying to help keep the public on the public side of the sidewalk.

You can see here the entrance just feels much more engaging, much more connected to the sidewalk and to the street, definitely not separated by, you know, elevation or the kind of implied wall of the columns.

This unit, I think, is one that we really spent some time with, looking at the Harvard Street sidewalk on the same side of the street, here trying to really make this elevation feel like one cohesive building and not like three individual buildings. I think

that that became even more successful, as I said, through the working session as we talked about bringing the brick around to this bay, and it transitions from that point onto the -- as you go further in, you'll see that that goes back to a siding material.

So the brick fronts onto the street facing sides, and the kind of massing moves on the elevation components. I think where we created a little more space up here by getting rid of the brick that kind of came horizontally flat against the wall makes this for a little bit bigger of a gesture.

I think that the proportions of that are more successful than the other iteration that we had looked at where we pulled it all the way back, and it got like very tall and slender and kind of like knife bladey looking up there. And we all kind of looked at it, and we said that's not the right solution. It may have been a little bit better for the Kenwood neighbor, but it was much worse for the Harvard Street experience.

So looking at the building as a whole,

we felt this was the right spot for this stepback. You get to see it here in the comparisons just kind of where we were. It was really kind of a lot of different pieces coming together and a much more simplified design than where we are now.

Looking at the kind of view from

Kenwood that we've been traditionally looking at
throughout this process, you really see how the
brick comes around, stops at the bay, makes for
that to be a bookend. There's a definite

transition at that point as you get to the rest
of the bays, adding some additional texture,
adding a board and batten kind of base with the
column of trees, and then just adding another
level of detail here that I think feels
distinctly residential and different than the
street facing sides talks a little bit more
about privacy.

The stepbacks that you see up at the top floor. So we pushed the whole sixth floor back about eight and a half feet from where it was previously. We simplified the fifth floor. The last time -- you know, we looked at a couple

of different versions in working sessions before the last hearing. One of those involved some shifts of the facade that we had showed last time that weren't deemed to be very successful.

In looking at this, we've really tried to simplify the massing of the fifth floor and simplify the parapet line of the sixth floor.

One of the -- I guess the reason we chose this location is just kind of as this building came around in making this clean kind of 90 degree corner back to the building closest to 514, the massing moves felt streamlined and intentional, and they just made sense in those locations. So it really worked to create that stepping, open up the sky plane to get the additional light to 9 Kenwood. And again, here you can see the comparison of before and after.

I guess one other thing. We also pushed back the face closest to Kenwood on the fifth floor about two feet back away from Kenwood. So we reduced the fifth floor away from Kenwood Street, and we pushed the whole sixth floor back, and then I guess -- so this little piece up here did come back out just to create

that clean volume again.

- ·

Looking at the aerial perspective of how the facade kind of wraps around, you see the board and batten that comes around here. The clean kind of fifth floor volume that, you know, reads as part of kind of the stepping of the upper floors, creating a little bit of outside space for the two one-bedroom units that are behind that glass, which used to be two two-bedroom units.

And just kind of, you know, cleaning up, creating nice smooth volumes that, you know, this fifth floor relates to kind of the darker gray area below, and then the sixth floor relates to kind of the overall massing and the overall kind of parapet structure of the sixth floor less kind of jogs around. You'll see before, this kind of jogged around, so we pushed this piece back here to really create -- make those volumes feel distinctly different and a little bit cleaner. So you can see that there in the red dotted line.

So looking at just a few additional views that we haven't seen before, we tried to

come to the other sidewalk on Kenwood, and then we have another view. As Maria suggested, kind of across the driveway from 9 Kenwood. So just trying to give you the perspective of what this building will look like as you're walking down Kenwood, the last view is a little bit further behind us and across the street.

there will definitely be a nice visual indicator of the brick turning the corner, creating this bay, creating a definite distinctive line that transitions from that public face to the private face. You see the textures. You see the second bay reveal itself as you're walking down the sidewalk, and you see the massing kind of like stepping up and away. But bringing the brick around here really helps to create an identifier that's in the four-story realm and is a signifier as you're walking down to keep your attention to these lower masses, the lower more detailed masses.

So just bringing you to the same view that we had before, you can see it in the red some of the massing that had been changed, but I

think this is a much more engaging friendly design solution, honestly, to create this focal point as you're walking down the sidewalk. And you clearly understand that you're leaving a single- and two-family residential neighborhood, and you're entering into the more urban Harvard Street corridor with this kind of terminal.

Looking from across the street at some of the changes that we're doing here and seeing kind of the separation of the existing 9 Kenwood house, they have their driveway with the garage in the back and the massing changes. You can see — as you get closer here, like the sixth floor really starts to disappear behind the fifth floor, and as you get further, obviously, it gets even — disappears even more.

But it really like brings us to be a five-story feel, looking at Kenwood with the four-story datum with the bays that come around and wrap the corner. And just looking at what that was before and the comparisons, you can see there's clearly much more volume looking into that space, so pulling this back that eight and a half feet really has made quite a difference to

the openness and the feel of that edge against the neighborhood.

I'm going to get into some of the site sections, just comparisons, and then we're going to get into looking at some of the other projects, 420, 445 and 455 Harvard and their relationships to neighbors, as Maria had asked us to do, and I think it helps to really tell the story of some of these changes being meaningful.

So the first one is just kind of the section through Kenwood you'll see here. So this is where we were last time. The ground floor is all leasing and amenity space, the units in the upper floor, and you can see that we have this overhang on the left side.

As we go, and see what we're doing now, we filled in that overhang on the ground floor, added in the retail, have a small fitness space, and next to the lobby, that gets you kind of basically lobby, mail, the building, you know, main functions there, still maintaining the units above, still maintaining the stepback of the upper floors as the brick wraps around the corner.

3

4

5

7

10

9

12

11

14

13

15

16

18

17

19

2021

22

23

24

And this is the much more telling story here. I think of what we -- of the meaningful changes that we had been making. the ground floor level, you'll see the parking and the leasing. That leasing space is going to go away. This bottom right corner is going to fill in as I flip to the next one. This will change to the retail component, and you'll see up in the top left corner the exterior wall is going to push back that eight and a half feet, and you'll see the overall dimensions go from 46 1/2 feet to 55 feet from the kind of line of where Kenwood -- 9 Kenwood's house line is, so looking at kind of the relationships of the existing building to the new building.

We've also looked at from the first floor, second floor, and third floor windows, you know, to the best that we could do with all of these kind of what the angle of view is over the top of the building. So you see here like we kind of range from about 43 1/2 degrees to 55 degrees looking over that peak, and this is where we were at the February 17 design. So moving that building back, we're able to

increase that from about 40 1/2 to 52 degrees between the three floors.

So you'll see in the next few slides here this image compared with the other projects, and you can see direct number comparisons. You don't have to try to memorize these numbers as we move forward. The top floor from the property line is about 18 feet back, with the -- you know, the average about seven feet. The property line does kind of angle so that those numbers do change a little bit. But up here kind of where the house is, these are the accurate dimensions. So you can see, especially that -- you know, we really tried to highlight what those skylines were of how this opened up.

So now, we'll look at 420 Harvard -sorry. Let's see if I can get it to stay. One
second. I'm just going to go through these ones
in this screen, and then hopefully, it will go
back. So we go from here with 420 Harvard
Street. We have a picture of the built condition
related to the house that's next door. This
section is from its approval documents, and
then, again, our section here on the right.

So you can see they were about 23 1/2 feet from the neighbor, where our building is 44 feet. Their viewing line went from 41 to 61. We're about 41 on the top floor and 52. So the lower the number, the better exposure to light and sun you're going to be getting. So we want to try to get --

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: John, could you hit the magnifier a little bit just to -- in the bottom right corner?

MR. HARDING: Yes. So the goal here is to try to get these numbers, as I was saying, as low as possible to get the -- you know, the lower you get, the flatter that line, and the more exposure you have to light. So compared to 420, 41 to 61. We're now at about 41 to 52.

Going to 445 Harvard Street, they're only about 18 feet or so from the existing neighbor, and their angles are 47 to 68 degrees. I'm trying to get light over the kind of closest point of the building. Obviously, this one is not built, so there's no existing image. Then 455 with the neighbor on the backside, it's actually even more 45 degrees down to 82 degrees

for those lowest windows trying to get in the view line or light in here over the top of that building.

So I think the takeaway here is we think that we've made some meaningful changes to the stepback up here, increasing what was probably already one of the better conditions compared to these other buildings, but we think this is an improvement and something that we believe in and we've bought into, and we'll get into some of the metrics changes at the end of this here shortly.

just to talk about, you know, some of the things that change, it's really kind of this corner here coming into a little lobby. A ramp that goes up to the inside lobby makes those height changes. The trash is still exactly the same as it was. If anything, we have some flexibility to make it a little bit bigger, and we'll evaluate that, as needed, with the review that's happening there.

The retail space taking up the whole corner, all the way kind of back to the point

where the dark gray volume bends, and the fitness is kind of -- just a space -- our intention is to have a little more glass between the fitness and the ramp, make that lobby and hallway and everything feel very open and active, but that space is kind of -- you know, the amenity is left over to service the residents and keep a little bit more activity on this edge. Nothing has changed with the parking or the kind of utility spaces.

Going up, no real changes on Levels 2 through 4. You can still see the bays along the 9 Kenwood side, the main corner bay out on the corner of Kenwood there. There's some slight shifts in square footage over here as we try to play with the proportions and the massing of the brick as it came around the corner. So there's some, you know, minor adjustments in this general area here.

This kind of -- the thinner portion here between the stair and exterior wall was a little bit further over before, so that's just kind of slid over. Really just working out the proportions more than anything else.

As you go up, as I said before, we pushed this edge back on the fifth floor, and we also took and got rid of the balcony -- or added the balcony over here, pushed the bay down one floor. So this A5 unit used to be two bedrooms, so we've lost one bedroom from that spot, and then going to the sixth floor, you see the major push back here. The A7 and A8 units both used to be two bedrooms, and they're now the one bedrooms with access to kind of an exterior roof deck here to there.

So we played around with both proportions on the exterior with that drive. Our process with the developers was don't tell me any metrics, let me go and see what looks the best and makes the most sense here, and then let's figure out what we can do to fill that with program and reassess the program to work for their pro forma. So with the addition of the retail, we did lose three bedrooms through this, but the unit count remains the same.

So I think this is my last slide. This is -- here, all the comparisons from the original submission that was looked at back in

1 December of 2019. Skipping a few iterations to the last version that we all looked at about a month ago on February 17, and then the current one, so overall residential -- just looking at the residential area, so that's Levels 2 and up. 6 We've reduced the square footage overall by about 2800 square feet, and since the last version, we've reduced it by about 556 square feet.

> The overall area is reduced by about 3300 square feet from the initial submission. It's only about 121 square feet difference from the last iteration, because we did add a lot of volume and space at the ground floor of the So where we carved away, we kind of just reappropriated it to that different active use.

The volume. So I only looked at residential volume because that felt like the right kind of comparison focusing on what we've subtracted volumetrically from the upper floors. I'm not really kind of focused on what has happened on the ground floor. Overall, we've lost about 30,000 cubic feet from the initial submission and almost 6,000 cubic feet of space

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

carved away since the last iteration that you saw. So a lot of that is on that sixth floor being pulled back, and then on the fifth floor where the bay is closest to 514 Harvard.

5

6

7

8

4

known, reduced from 30 units to 25 units, no change since the last submission. The bedroom count had originally been 43 bedrooms with the 30 units. We had gone to 42 bedrooms with the 25 units in the last scheme, and now we're down to 39 bedrooms with the 25 units, probably back more towards a similar mix or closer to the mix of the original submission, more smaller format units.

11 12

13

14

15

10

And the retail overall is about 500 square feet smaller than where we started, but

16 17

it's 1200 square feet better than where we were

18 19 last time. So I think everybody is happy to be

20

amenity, and I'm hoping that a lot of the

hear that we incorporated that.

able to bring that back as a neighborhood

21

residents who spoke up last time will be happy to

2223

And I think that that is it, so I'll

leave that slide up for now and open it up to any

L	questions
---	-----------

MR. GELLER: Thank you for your presentation. I want to call on Kate Poverman first. Kate, questions?

MS. POVERMAN: Actually, no. At this -- well, the question I have right now is I still don't entirely understand the rationale for changing materials going around the corner to the Kenwood facing property.

I know that the gray -- the purpose of the gray is to present a more residential feel, but I have to admit I don't really understand that because there are so many brick buildings in the area, and it would be a smoother transition to keep the brick. But I'd be interested in seeing what our architect has to say about that.

I do like to see the way the Kenwood facing side is articulated now. Rather than having a blank wall, it has a much more residential feel. Right now, those are my only comments. I reserve the right to raise my hand later.

MR. GELLER: Great. Thank you, Kate.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Just a few questions for now, and the comments I'll save for later.

Let's see. I want to ask about the sixth floor balconies. John, if you could just step back a couple -- there were some views of the -- you know, up to the -- you know, that's actually fine. The section on the right is kind of what I was going to ask about.

This and the next question might be things that were discussed -- yes, perfect -- in the working group. When I saw the balconies of the sixth floor -- and I don't remember a discussion about balconies, and possibly there are some -- the balconies are not -- have some negative aspects for the neighbors who will imagine new neighbors, you know, dozens of feet up in the air outside, looking down at yards and so on.

But for how the balconies got there, it looks to me like they were sort of created by the push back of the sixth floor; is that right? I mean, are they just sort of a byproduct of the massing?

1-800-727-6396

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	

MR. HARDING: Yes, definitely. I mean, when we push that back, you create roof area, and our intention was -- I guess it's really no different than over the top of the bays at both corners. We had talked about balconies at both of those, and also the balcony that was created in the back space here. Whenever we've created roof space right outside, we've -- it would be nice to offer that as an amenity to those residents.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Can we go around to the other side, the Harvard Street side, and I'm still looking at the upper floors of the building on Harvard. I want to ask about the stepping down of the fifth floor as you approach 514.

MR. HARDING: I'm looking at the TJ Maxx exit image now.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yeah, that's good.

Can you talk about the -- when I see the brick stepping down from the fifth floor to the fourth floor at this northeast corner, I know that there's a bookend -- or I understand there may be a bookend idea at work here that as you go around

the other corner, then you've got a three-story brick volume there, too. But in the working group, did you discuss whether that was strictly necessary to step that down here, and I'm coming back to my comments at the last hearing about -- speaking for myself, favoring, you know, more mass at the Harvard Street side. Was there a discussion about that?

MR. HARDING: There was. So one of the comments that Cliff had made at the last hearing was that he did not feel that -- let's go to the comparison slide. He did not feel that the massing approach on the Harvard Street side was as successful as it was in the previous scheme, where we did have a stepdown that was very similar to what we're proposing now.

So I guess they specifically asked for us to look at that in this area, and so we did.

In the working session, we did talk about it, and the takeaway from that was that this was preferred and perceived to be better than where we were last.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I don't dispute that
-- I mean, I don't question Cliff's assessment

1	of it in an overall way, but I'm just mindful of,
2	you know, if mass is taken away there, does it
3	restrain our ability to request that it be taken
4	away elsewhere instead? But that's fine.
5	You've answered my question.

The last one is about the -- it's about the brick bay over the garage, and I want to ask what's holding it up, if you can get to a view of that. Yeah, is there a view without the bush in the way? Well, that's pretty good.

So on the left side of it, there's this sort of tuning fork thing with two columns, and is there a right (?) side of it that's just hanging in the air, or is it resting on a structure of some kind? I just couldn't quite ever see that on the drawings.

MR. HARDING: Yeah, it's hard to see in this angle.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: It's sort of hanging over the garage opening, I guess.

MR. HARDING: Yeah, so it does -- the transition of the bay is over the opening, and we're proposing to have a couple of kind of columns that are aligned with this kind of front

or side parallel face. So those two columns come down. There's a little bit of an implied beam there, or maybe real, and then we have a couple of kind of beams that go back to the brick facade and on the other side of the drive aisle. But, I mean, there's a structural podium that's going to be here, either structural steel or cast in place concrete that's going to be underneath that. So all of those -- all the components of that are going to be structurally supported through one of those two systems.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Right. I mean, I just wanted to make sure I was understanding it right. I think it's a bit of an exception to, you know, where the -- the way that the brick mast, I'm going to say, appears to be supported, because the arcade is gone, right, and it's basically sitting on a solid base, except for this one place where it's sort of hanging in the air. I'll talk later in the comments about how I think that's actually, working now that the base is all dark. So that's as far as I'm going to go with the question for now.

MR. HARDING: I just want to add one

more thing for you, Randolph. We did look at that as a solid wall instead of those two columns. We felt like it restricted the visual ability of somebody leaving the garage in a car to be able to see to the sidewalk. Although it does still -- it would still meet all the requirements that Maria talked about before, we just liked it better having some transparency there from a safety standpoint.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: While we have this drawing up, John, can you talk about the columnar trees that were proposed for along Kenwood Street? It wasn't clear to me whether those were proposed as street trees that you were working on with the town or whether those were to be planted on -- oh, they're not on the -- they're there. They're not on the sidewalk. They're along the side of the building.

MR. HARDING: Right. Trying to create a little bit more screening and a little bit more -- like another level of texture, I would say, in that -- in our setback along there.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Right. You don't have a straight on elevation drawing of the west

1	side in this presentation, do you?
2	MR. HARDING: I don't.
3	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: That's all right.
4	Thank you. Jesse, no more questions for me for
5	now.
6	MR. GELLER: Thank you, Randolph.
7	Johanna, do you have any questions for John?
8	MS. SCHNEIDER: Just a couple.
9	Thanks, John, for the presentation. My first
10	question is can you just tell us what the new
11	unit mix is?
12	MR. HARDING: I had it open before.
13	There's still three let's see. I could
14	probably work my way back into it. I think that
15	there's seven two-bedrooms, three three-
16	bedrooms, and the rest are one-bedrooms.
17	MS. SCHNEIDER: Any studios?
18	MR. HARDING: We only had one studio
19	last time, and like it just wasn't it was
20	going to basically be a one-bedroom, so we just
21	called it a one-bedroom at this point, the way it
22	was lining up.
23	MS. SCHNEIDER: My next question has
24	to do with plantings on the balconies, the new

2

5

4

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

balconies. I actually find that they do provide some nice visual interest and relief, and I'm wondering who will be responsible for maintaining the greenery on those balconies.

MR. HARDING: So I don't know that we were anticipating that being -- it was more of a graphical effort to show that we anticipate that the residents there would be able to do something out there and to show that they're -you know, this is kind of an occupied area. haven't talked internally about those actually being a design element, because those would be on people's private balconies.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Right. That's why I asked, and, you know, I think that we've heard the comments about this project in the past that there is so little green space, and so I was happy to see some greenery, but it sounds like it's not really -- thank you.

MR. HARDING: I think we could have a conversation about maybe providing planters there that the tenants would be able to use, but I can't quarantee that somebody is going to plant in them.

MS. SCHNEIDER: That's all I have.

Thanks.

MR. HARDING: Thank you.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. John, I actually like the simplification that you've done in the back, but I want to circle back. I think it was a comment that Johanna had made a couple of hearings ago. As you -- and this elevation is fine for my purposes, but as you look to the base, ground floor level, where it appears you just have -- I'm not sure what the cladding is that you're installing there, but there was some discussion of the possibility of a green wall or something to improve what's going on there. Has there been any discussion to that effect?

MR. HARDING: So we didn't talk about it being a green wall. I guess we took that comment and thought it was to add more greenery. So that's kind of where the columnar trees came from, something that would be able to grow up and out, something just a little bit more vertical, because there's not a lot of setback there, so trying not to impede on the neighbor, but create

L	that	vertical
_	0.10.0	

I think the base itself where -- and just fitting that to be like a board and batten feel, fiber cement, but like exposed trim, not like reveals in the panels or anything like that, something a little bit more traditional in its aesthetic. So each of those kind of individual lines there is, you know, a raised trim piece that would be on a panel to create a much more textured facade. But we haven't talked about actual green wall along there, just additional trees and bushes or whatever you want to plant back there.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Anything else for the project architect? Anybody? No, okay.

I want to call on our peer reviewer, Cliff
Boehmer, to give us his updated review comments.

Cliff, are you with us?

MR. BOEHMER: I think so. Hi, everybody. I've been paying close attention, and I think it's really interesting that all four of you made comments that are I think really important and relevant.

If I could ask John to go to one of the

street level perspectives, I think from the south on the opposite side of Harvard Street.

And that's from the north, right, so from the south -- there you go.

Obviously, there's been a lot of work done, and I think the -- I appreciate the rigor of the analysis. I think it really is meaningful to look at the other developments along Harvard Street that have been already been approved to their full size physical model so we can all go look at and see how they turned out in real life. And I think that's very useful, because we've spent a lot of time in the discussions about that

relationship on Kenwood Street.

I think this view for me summarizes a

least, which is the challenge -- a kind of

lot of the thinking that I've been doing, at

 statewide challenge of 40B developments, in

general, is creative ways of introducing more density, new density into areas that aren't used

Sort of the nature of 40B is how do you do that. And good developments do come up with creative ways of doing it, broadly defined

to that density.

creative ways of doing it that we in Brookline certainly believe involves a huge measure of respect for neighbors and mitigation of scale. But we all know it's an exercise of scale, of introducing larger scale.

I think what's interesting about this image is where this project has landed at this point. I think if you can edit out in your mind's eye that the light colored top stories in focus strictly on the part of the building that's rendered as masonry, I think you'd be hard pressed to say that this isn't something that looks very familiar in Brookline. It's, you know, Brookline masonry, traditional aesthetic.

It's almost -- it's Brookline's version of the Ferdinand building, and for those of you who are familiar with Nubian Square, the Ferdinand building was a large historic furniture building that I think was very creatively redone in a fashion very similar to what this proponent is trying to do with an entirely new building.

So if you don't know the Ferdinand

building, I'd recommend looking at it. It was looked at by thousands of people before it was ever approved in the City of Boston, and this really is the little sister of the Ferdinand building I think in its current incarnation.

And for the reasons that the Ferdinand building was -- there was a huge pressure to preserve the Ferdinand building was about context.

that the developer has made a concerted effort to work with context, and I think all of the 40Bs that we've worked together on along Harvard Street have had different constraints on them. Some have two abutters, some have one abutter, one actually has three abutters. This one has a really unusual streetscape on the Harvard side with no -- with nothing on the other side of the street.

And I think that, to me, is really important, combined with the rigorous analysis of impact. And I think for me, the pressure was about redistributing, and I think all of you touched on this in different ways.

I think Randolph specifically talked

about lowering the bay on the north corner of the building, understanding that that was, in a sense, a kind of lost opportunity of moving even more of the massing onto a broader street that can generally absorb massing more than a smaller wood frame building to the west. But having said that, I think that the -- I want to address one of Kate's comments that -- I think Kate pointing out that the building might work better, perhaps, if that entire west elevation were wrapped in brick, as well, and as far as I'm concerned, that's -- I know we talked about it.

I don't remember if we actually looked at it in any of the working sessions. But I

I don't remember if we actually looked at it in any of the working sessions. But I think what is as important as anything, whatever the material is, it's -- I think that gesture of carrying a very strong horizontal line across at that level, given the distance to the neighboring building, does work for me as a legitimate mitigation strategy on a transitional site, like this one is.

I think that the way that it's been -the mass has been redistributed, I think, has
been thoughtful. Certainly moving the upper

level, massing that additional amount towards
the east, to me, made a significant difference,
both from an analytical perspective, but I think
from an aesthetic perspective, it really helped,
even essentially that four-story horizontal line
that runs north-south on the west side of the
building.

And also, I would say that returning the retail use was another gesture that I think helps the neighborhood. I think it was a good move for the neighborhood. I think there are -- what is generally the case in a design process, you make some changes, you fix some things, but it calls other things into focus.

I think where this is at for me at this point is about the ground level perception of the building. I think it's doing much better on the public realm from this kind of view, this kind of distant view. I think I'm still believing that that tie-in with its neighbor -- its masonry neighbor to the north was critical, and to Randolph's point, that's really what that was about, in my mind. Lowering of that bay, there was -- to me, did engage the building to

the north better and a real density of context.

It was working with context. A gesture works
with the available context to tie it in.

I have a lot of other comments, and smaller detailed comments, but for me, the -getting back to that notion of a creative way of introducing density. So if you believe that by taking away those top floors, you essentially have a building that a lot of people might not even notice because it does appear to be so Brookline like, the treatment of those top levels of that method of introducing the density is -- really comes into focus, and I think it's better now than it's been.

Whether this is exactly the right pattern for the panels, whether the top cornice detail should be bigger, you know, things like that, to me, are in that realm of -- just look at the Ferdinand building. Look at other examples of buildings that have taken on this kind of task of marrying two kind of very different aesthetics for the same goal, which is getting a higher density in a place that's really not used to it.

I think the areas that for me are extremely important to look at, and we have talked about it in the sessions -- and I think they haven't thought about it not because they didn't want to, I think it was just a time issue -- that west wall along the neighbors, I think that I'm convinced by the analysis that there has been a good bit of attention paid to how this setback and stepbacks work on that west side.

But that ground level elevation. The current drawings have an annotation that indicate gas meters on that side of the building, and that entire lower elevation is actually very visible to pedestrians on Kenwood Street as they're approaching Harvard Street. So really careful attention to that.

The obvious simple solution would -and would make many, many Brookline residents
happy, is make this an all-electric building,
eliminate the gas meters. It would help
tremendously. And even though Brookline didn't
succeed with the all-electric mandate, it may
come back, maybe by the time you pull your
building permit.

So to me, that really matters. I'm not convinced that plantings there are going to do the job. I really think you need to look carefully at that west elevation. It's what the neighbors and pedestrians will look at every day. I thought Randolph's observation about what's holding up that bay -- you know, the garage door -- I don't know if it's better to try to do some screening there.

You do have to be sensitive to pedestrians and maintain that co-division of the street, but that's not my favorite view of the building. And then, of course, working on the details of the storefront really matter. It's not -- they haven't done that. That's understandable at this scale of design.

So I think the -- oh, and I also appreciated Johanna's comment about the greenery, because I think it really does enhance the building, and I wish there were a way to make that work. You know, whether it is some kind of installation the management does take responsibility for, I think it does a very nice thing for the building.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	

I'm looking through my highlight notes. I apologize that I just did not have time to review these materials. We just saw them late last week. I think after all of the discussion about the arcade, I think the move of -- moving density into that space and eliminating the arcade, I think it is a better building for that. It is tied to the ground more successfully. Between that, and the color change I think works.

I certainly think that the building is

-- has a more coherent reading than it had when

it was subdivided on that south elevation. I

think it's working much better as something that

has its own presence, while still making those

gestures to accommodating context.

I think those are my big points. I have my same old points about opportunities related to energy savings and where the accessible units and the kind of standard last building code review, etcetera, but I think that's where I'm at at this point, but I'm way open for questions.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Kate, do you

1 have questions for Cliff?

MS. POVERMAN: I still don't really understand why the gray is appropriate. Is it because it's -- is it that the material is more reminiscent of the wood that is used in the neighboring houses? And I actually would like to get some feedback from the neighbors if they are available as to what they think of that aspect, if they prefer the masonry or the slats. I'm sorry, I'm not going to have the right words. I had another question, but I honestly cannot think of it now.

Oh, okay. This may sound out of left field, but in terms of the windows, one of the things which is representative of a lot of Brookline houses, the over houses is a more complex design in the windows. I don't know if it's 3 over 1, 6 over 1. And I'm wondering if it would make any sense to have a design that echos the more traditional Brookline designs in the windows here. So I'm just throwing that out, and it may look terrible, but it's something that occurred to me, and I wanted to see what people thought. That's all.

MS. SCHNEIDER: May I jump in with a related question for Cliff? I think it's very similar to Kate's question, and maybe he can answer both of them together. I had a similar question about the dark gray, both at the street level on Harvard, and then also, you know, the wrap around to the Fuller neighborhood. To me, it did seem a little bit disjointed.

And Cliff, I wondered if you had any specific alternatives that you could offer to the applicant, you know, as a way of reenvisioning this. I mean, I agree with your comments that the masonry, you know, particularly as it wraps around the corner, does look very traditional Brookline, and it is a vast improvement over what we've seen previously, but it is a little, at least to me, visually jarring to have the very dark red at the street level and then on the backside of the building, and I just wondered if you had thoughts about what they could do instead that might be less of a dramatic contrast.

MR. BOEHMER: Excellent question. I don't disagree. I don't have any specific -- you

know, there may be dark masonry that isn't quite as dark or -- but I agree, it does look a bit abrupt, and I don't think that -- I guess the way I would put that is I would recommend looking at alternatives, including the studies of what the actual storefront system looks like, because it's just roughed out in this drawing.

I don't think there would really be glass going all the way down to the sidewalk, I doubt. So I'd support Johanna's question about looking at other options. To me, it's not the strongest part.

And, you know, one discussion that we had during a session was the fact that -- I think once you start getting the massing working well, when things start coming together, generally, buildings get simpler, they don't get more complex. And I think this building has been steadily moving in that direction of being simpler and reinforcing that kind of coherent view of the building as a singular whole.

So to the degree that changing colors, thoughts about materials on the ground level could push that further in that direction, I do

support it. And again, I'm sorry, I don't remember if they -- if in realtime we looked at that idea of rendering the entire west side in brick or not. I probably asked the architect to speak to that exact issue.

But to me, again, the critical thing on that side was that four-story, the really, really strong four-story reading, whether that's reinforced by continuing the same material all the way around, or breaking out that piece, as they did in this current version. I don't really have an opinion without really looking at it, looking at the options.

MS. MORELLI: If I could just step in,
Mr. Chair, we did look at all brick on the west
facade. It was just a matter of expense, I
think, that's why it was just to wrap around.
But it was very attractive, and I think that
maybe the project team might have considered a
different material, but closer in value and
color.

MR. GELLER: Yeah, yeah.

MR. HARDING: I guess if I could just add, we hadn't offered up -- prior to the working

1 session, regarding the documents that we had sent for -- we never actually talked about it during the working session. I think we ran out of time. But we had sent out -- before the brick 4 made its way over to the corner here, I think we looked at three or four different color options 6 for this, like a maroon, there was a blue, there was a green, and a gray. The gray that we have is much lighter in tone than where we were last 10 time.

> So we did make changes to the gray on I think that the aesthetic of the the side. development team just leans towards the gray, which is, you know, why we kind of ended up back there. But we did look at other options, and I think we are open to exploring that further. gray doesn't have to be the gray on the neighboring side.

And even the base along the ground floor. We were responding mostly to the comment that the light color wasn't appropriate, so we went in the opposite direction, and we tried to find -- you know, our thought there is it's like an iron spot brick, and we saw that that was

2

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

8

6

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

successful at 420 Harvard, and so we were kind of trying to draw off of some of the other projects in the area for how we got to that material.

MR. DANESH: This is Danny Danesh. I just wanted to ask -- I would like to ask what Randolph thought about this, because this was a little bit of a response to his comment that the building was kind of floating, and that's something that we discussed during the working So I know Randolph, you know, is very talented, so I'd like to hear, if I could, his opinion.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Danny, can I do that when I get to the comments, because I do have a comment about it, and it's a positive comment, but I think we're trying to just get through questions with Cliff first.

MR. DANESH: Sorry about that.

MR. BOEHMER: Maybe a response to Johanna is that maybe part of the reason that the grade looks a little abrupt on the bottom, it might be that it's just too broad. horizontal part might be too broad compared to that last banding of brick where it meets, that

maybe if the gray were narrower and the brick -more traditional brick color goes down through
that first layer. It could be that. It could be
just the height of that gray area is too much.
But anyway, it's a specific thing to look at.
I'd probably look at that, as well.

MR. GELLER: Great. Anybody else have questions for Cliff?

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Jesse, I actually do have a question. It wasn't what we were just talking about now, but it's a separate --

MR. GELLER: Go ahead, Randolph.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Cliff, I'll try to make this at least come in the form of a question. With respect to the west elevation -- and this is reconnecting to the discussion by Johanna and Kate about what is the material of it and so on -- within the framework that you played out for us about -- and my shorthand for it is, you know, an avenue building turning the corner into a side street building, and how does it transform. When you get around to that third side, the west side here facing Kenwood, because we're -- and this is thinking about it as that

familiar, you know, bearing masonry building fabric. Even the proportions of the windows are really emphasizing that.

You know, as several people have said, it's a familiar thing in Brookline. And what the west elevation made me think of -- I wanted to get your opinion about this -- it's starting to look like the transitions that you see on some of those masonry buildings, especially with bays where the material changes, and suddenly the bay is made of sheet metal or copper or something like that, or wooden porches, nice ones, on the side or the rear of a masonry building within that kind of, you know, design vocabulary, can you feel like the -- what's your thought about how that --

MR. BOEHMER: That's a great thought.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: -- as a guiding development for where this west side is going?

MR. BOEHMER: Yeah. No, that's a

great thought. I think that would suggest turn those interstitial areas into masonry, and treat

the bays, as you said, metal bays. It's a very

masonry	based	with	metal	bays	 metal	plate
bays.						

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I mean, I wasn't going quite that far, but I was just trying to find a thinking basis for the change of material from brick to this something else that has -- you know, we're seeing a lot of shadow lines on it, and it's obviously three-dimensional because it has the bays, and I think it has some reference points in traditional architecture.

MR. BOEHMER: Yeah, I totally agree.

I think that's a really good thought.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Thank you. That's it for me, Jesse.

MR. GELLER: Thank you, Randolph.

Anybody else have questions for Cliff Boehmer?

No, okay. So as we have done in the last two hearings, we are now going to open up the hearing to members of the public. We're going to start with people who want to speak in favor of what has been presented this evening.

I want to remind everyone of the ground rules. Start by giving us your name and your address, focus on the details of this

1	evening's hearing, and to the extent that you
2	agree with comments from someone who came before
3	you, you need not repeat those comments, but
4	simply say I agree with the person that preceded
5	me. That will make for a more efficient process.
6	Maria, do you have people lined up?

MS. MORELLI: Yes, Lee Biernbaum. I'm going to promote him. Lee, I've promoted you, and you can unmute and share your video, if you'd like. There are actually two Lee Biernbaums.

MR. GELLER: Really?

MS. MORELLI: Yes.

MS. MORELLI: Lee.

MR. BIERNBAUM: I don't know how there are two of me here. So I just wanted to speak broadly in favor. The re-addition of retail is amazing and wonderful. I'm definitely going to miss having that next door, and I'm very happy to see that back.

The design improvements, they're nice, but really it's time to get this thing moving.

We need more housing in Brookline, and this is an important part of that, along with all the other projects, and it's just -- I've been coming to

these meetings for a very long time, look
forward to welcoming new neighbors in the
neighborhood. I want to welcome new neighbors
to the neighborhood as soon as possible. This is
how we address our problems. So I'm going to
speak vehemently in favor of the project. Let's
get moving.
MS. MORELLI: Thank you. I'm going to
promote Matti Klock.
MS. KLOCK: Am I audible?
MS. MORELLI: Yes.
MS. KLOCK: Matti Klock, 5 Verndale.
I am stoked that the retail is back. The designs
look great. Please build this. That's all.
MS. MORELLI: Thank you, Matti. I
don't see hold on a second. I think there are
a few people speaking who would like to speak
in opposition. I don't think there's anyone
else lined up to speak up in favor.
MR. GELLER: So we will take those in
opposition now.
MS. MORELLI: We'll start with Judith

24

have been promoted. Judith, you've been

Vanderkay. I'm going to promote -- Judith, you

promoted, and you can unmute and share your video.

MS. VANDERKAY: Oh, I knew there was a reason I should have washed my hair today.

Sorry. I'm Judith Vanderkay. I live at 16

Columbia Street, which is a couple of blocks up from this site. I'm a town meeting member and a library trustee.

I agree that the design is somewhat improved, and I'm happy to see that, especially the addition of more brick to it. I agree with other people who have felt that the gray part was too dark, and I hope there can be some remedy for that.

Let me look at my notes. Sorry. I just don't understand why the top part has to be so ugly. It looks so brutalist. It looks like a prison. Could not it be softened? The architect talked about clean design, but to me, it just looks stark and minimalist, brutalist. So that's part of what I object to in the particular design.

But again, it's just too big. And again, I find it disingenuous that the project's

1-800-727-6396

architect uses as examples other 40Bs in our neighborhood that have been shoved down our throats against our objections and against a lot of good advice from the ZBA and the planning department.

That's really rubbing salt in our wounds, and it leads me to my next point -- oh, and I guess my reaction to that overall is two or even three wrongs still doesn't make a right.

And it leads to my next area of concern, which is the big one of context. I've read the guidelines for how a 40B development should be done.

them in front of me -- they're on my other system, sorry -- make a big point out of developments having to respect the context that they're in. And this development and the other two that were shown as examples of something do not respect the context that they're in at all. The fact that they overshadow the abutting houses, the fact that this one in particular is twice the size of any building for blocks around, I just don't see how that could be considered to be taking the context of the site

1-800-727-6396

And maybe that's a bigger question for somebody other than the people here, like people at the state level, but I feel strongly that these buildings are not fulfilling the requirements of a 40B regulation, and they are certainly not addressing the concerns of the majority of the neighbors. Thank you.

MR. GELLER: Thank you.

MS. MORELLI: Thank you. I'm going to promote Vitaly Veksler next.

MR. VEKSLER: Can you see me?

MS. MORELLI: Yes.

MR. VEKSLER: Thank you so much. It was an interesting process. So I would like to mention several things that we talked about since the last meeting.

So developers claimed that it's absolutely impossible for them to make money on anything less than a six-story building. It became a topic that the neighbors laugh around our park in the conversations. If this were true, there would be probably -- I don't know how many, ten buildings in all of Brookline, maybe

1 15 buildings. You know, it's -- I don't know what it is.

You know, we all have friends in the real estate community, and when people tell you that you cannot make money on a five-story or a four-story building, that's -- I don't know. I don't want to say bad words here, but that's, you know, not the truth.

Now, when you see the building -- and I'm glad that Mr. Clifford Boehmer talked about this today -- it does remind the Ferdinand building, and it's similar in height -- in terms of height, at least, it's very similar to this building. The difference between these two is that the Ferdinand building is in Dudley Square in a very urban environment. This building is proposed to be built on an intersection off two very narrow streets -- well, a two lane and actually a one-way street, which brings the next very important point for all of us here in the neighborhood who live here.

The building seems like an occupant, the intruder. The half working tooth, that's how it was described, the design. It has -- from

the safety concern -- there is a serious safety concern because it's like -- the reason I mention that it's like an occupant, you put a design that was -- maybe it could have been good for a much bigger site, and you plunk it into a very narrow site.

So the sidewalks become very narrow on both sides, on Harvard and on Kenwood, and the architect -- I mentioned this during the last call, that the architects did not put the benches for Bus 66 on this -- on the picture, and I sent pictures to Maria, which she kindly put on the website, showing that there was one lady with bags sitting there on the bench of the Bus 66 stop, and she was complete blocking this road. So if you made this building any wider on Harvard Street, there will be no people passing, you know, in line, as depicted on Slide Number 5 from the developer's architectural slides.

And then one topic that is of extreme importance to me is that -- we kind of stopped talking about this, but there is construction going on right now in our neighborhood, so cars are allowed to drive in the wrong direction on

our street, on Kenwood Street. It's going to last maybe for a week. But with this building, we will have this permanent danger on the intersection of a one-way street where the cars will be -- there is no parking space on Harvard Street.

the building, they will be idling on Kenwood

The cars will be idling. Servicing

Street, and they will be -- based on the driving patterns that we see right now, you know, that so many cars -- even now, they moved back. You know, they're driving the wrong direction.

There will be many cars that will choose to drive back to Harvard, and that's creating the danger of a collision at this intersection.

As the father of two little kids, I'm concerned with this, you know. And maybe I offered a solution in one of the write-ups that was put on the website that maybe if developers — developers said that they will put a stop sign. I don't think it will help anybody, the stop sign. There is a stop sign right now. It doesn't help. You know, the cars still go in the wrong direction.

So maybe if developers are confident in putting this big, you know, building, maybe they will have to pay, you know, a \$500 fine every time a car that is getting out of the garage or is servicing the building moves in the wrong direction. You know, maybe we need -- it cannot be that only we get the costs of this project, and they get the benefit, you know, of building this oversized building on such a small site.

Also, one last thing. I mentioned this in my message to Maria today. We get a very interesting -- there is an overwhelming support against -- overwhelming opinion against this building in the neighborhood, and we collected more than 100 -- 110 signatures representing I don't know how many, 200, 300 families in the neighborhood.

But with all the support for this building, except for very few people who support, you know, time after time, you know, one or two people -- two people supporting in our neighborhood -- most of the support comes from somewhere from Summit Street, you know, people

who live on Summit Street, some people where they don't face -- they don't have any negative externalities of this building, you know, and it's very easy, you know, if somebody would propose a building on Summit -- for me, it would be very easy to say, oh, build them a nuclear power station or whatever you want, you know, it's far away from me.

You know, we need -- when you live in this area, we consider both good and bad things from this building, and we want you, if you could, to look at them also. And we are asking to build a smaller building, a three or four -- you know, four per regulations, a four-story building. Well, I don't know whether it would happen, but, you know, that's the will of the people, and I appreciate your time and listening to our concerns. Thank you.

MR. GELLER: Thank you.

MS. MORELLI: I think there's one more person who would like to speak in opposition, and that is Michael Maso. Michael, you've been promoted, so you can unmute and share your video, Michael. You have to unmute, Michael.

MR. MASO: Sorry about that. Just a couple of things. I'm Michael Maso, and my wife, Lisa Cody and I live at 12 Kenwood Street across from the proposed development.

A couple of things. One is, like many people, I do think the design keeps improving.

It, you know, takes baby steps each time, but baby steps are good. That's how we grow children. You know, I appreciate the work that's been done.

Specifically, a number of -- the board members have commented on the preference for brick to go around the back -- we certainly share that preference -- to remove the gray, to put the brick on. Despite the expense, it's what we will all look at, and certainly, I hope that that can be accommodated.

You know, a few people mentioned the time, including the developers, that this has been two years. Certainly nobody can deny the time, and it seems that it's coming to an end, and really that's what I just want to speak to. Over the course of the two years -- we heard the charge repeated today by Maria. The charge is

1 v 2 a

very simple. The charge was to reduce the volume and to lower the floors, and to my mind, the charge continues to be ignored.

It is still a six-floor building, and the volume from the last time -- the total volume from last time has been decreased by one third of one percent. That's the reduction in volume.

So, you know, I heard the message very clearly from your chairman last time from this group that said the best impact that you can make on this project is to place conditions on its approval.

So I just want to urge you to stick to your charge to create conditions consistent with your perception of what would be right and the neighbors' perception of what would be right, which would be a smaller, shorter building, and then we would all be happy to welcome our new neighbors. Thank you.

MR. GELLER: Thank you.

MS. MORELLI: I'm going to promote

Joanna Gallimore. Joanna, you've been promoted.

You can unmute and share your video.

MS. GALLIMORE: Thank you. I guess I

can read the tea leaves. I feel like you're going to approve this building, and so, needless to say, I'm pretty discouraged.

 You know, I guess I probably have said everything. We've said everything. I agree with Vitaly. I really want that corner looked at, because I know cars and Ubers will idle there, as they do at Tatte on Fuller Street constantly. It will happen, and that will block the driveway and make it unsafe.

You know, I just want to point out that we've not had any accurate pictures, even today, of these buildings when compared to the abutters, even though the developer has been asked for that. So if the lawyer wants extensions, you know, I don't really feel sympathetic, since we've never really actually gotten to see what this building looked like and compare it to the abutters. So for them to say they've done everything is not true.

I'd just also like to say that the architect, Mr. Harding, basically said to everyone, with a straight face, that he didn't know if there were windows on 514 Harvard

Street, you know, and then when he -- which makes me question pretty much everything that comes out of his mouth.

I get it, you know, you have worked on the design, and I do think it's a better design, and yet it's, you know, basically I feel just too large for the abutters, and it's too much of an impact on them. At the very least, you could have pulled back, you know, the top stories more.

Basically, you've gotten everything you wanted, and you've really given us nothing. And I'm just so discouraged because this developer and his architect can basically say whatever they want that's not true, refuse to provide accurate drawings. I can point out that the Avalon Terrace building, they have an extra window on the drawing, which -- you know, does it matter? It matters to me. It matters to what other people who don't pay attention to the hearings see, and they can look at these drawings that are not accurate and say, oh, what's so bad about it.

The fact that they haven't provided

accurate drawings makes me realize -- you know,
they realize it's a really big building, and
they don't want to show people how big it is. I
just find that -- you know, it's just
infuriating that they can get away with it.

I would like to ask why the developer is putting up a six-story building here when he is trying to stop a five-story building next to his building on Thorndike Street. You know, I'd like that developer to speak about that. And he never reached out to the abutters when he's complained about that to the board about other developers not doing that. He never did it to us.

You know, apparently, he's hoping to get another 40B with much more parking, and yet he went to the transportation board to say that he's all for no traffic, no cars, no pollution. And that other building is in a very transit oriented part of town. So there's really no excuse.

I just can't believe we've lived through four years of so much hypocrisy and lies, and so in our little slice of town with

1 another developer, I'm just so discouraged that 2 he's been so dishonest, and his architect has 3 been dishonest, and maybe the ZBA is used to I'm not, and I am just -- yeah, I'm just 4 that. 5 reeling. It's just -- you now, he should have 6 made more concessions on the upper floors. 7 mean, that's just the bottom line. I would hate to be an abutter. 8

And the fact that he really hasn't given up very much, I just find astonishing, especially when, you know -- I don't know, he says he wants -- he lives in Brookline. He wants to be a good -- you know, a good citizen of Brookline.

You know, I'm just astonished that he hasn't done more when he could, and we've pushed back a lot. We've said -- you know, we -- and I'm surprised at the architect, too, the peer reviewer. I mean, I don't think he's ever put up a 40B that impedes on the abutters as much. If he has, I'd love to see an example because -- to see if Cliff Boehmer has -- one of his 40Bs has impacted an abutter as much as this will.

That's all, and I do appreciate your

1-800-727-6396

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

hard work, because I do recognize it's not an easy job, and I know you have asked good questions. I appreciate some of the revisions of the architect, but I just don't think it was enough. Thank you.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Maria, do we have anybody else?

MS. MORELLI: No, we don't.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Board members, time for discussion, comment, and direction to the applicant. Let's start with Kate.

MS. POVERMAN: I just don't know what to say. I mean, I don't know the economics of why it would be so expensive to have brick go all the way around. I feel like if we're going to have a six-story building, which I would have liked to see lower, the least the developer can do is make the side that faces the neighborhood as attractive as possible. So I am disappointed to find out that -- what the developer says is that it's too expensive to do that.

I am not opposed to Randolph's idea of the brick, almost like pillars, the flat pillars

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

going up on the side in between the steel bays, but my preference at this point is that if we can't lose any more volume that the brick at least go all the way around the building. I also have just a practical question. Why is 1200 feet too small for a food establishment? I'm just curious about that. Do you know, Maria or Cliff?

MS. MORELLI: I think the applicant can address that. At some point, you might have the applicant respond, I think, to the ZBA charge.

MR. GELLER: Is that it, Kate?

MS. POVERMAN: Yeah, that's it for

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Randolph?

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Let me try to do this
in a summary way, because I've engaged in
discussion -- in some of the questions, and I
appreciate the opportunity to do that before.

Overall, I think the changes that
we've seen since February 17 have been positive.
I think they've been responsive to the charge. I
don't think they've been responsive to every
part of the charge. And, you know, as others

now.

have said, I would still prefer a building with one story if you work on it (?), but for the volume of the building proposal, I think they've located the mass in the way that in my opinion is best, and they've resolved the way that it appears as a building in ways that I'm satisfied with.

You know, the architect talked at one point when we were looking at the view from Kenwood about -- and this was sort of an experience of the street idea -- the idea of walking out of a one- and two-family neighborhood and turning the corner onto a major street or an avenue. And for me, that connects with this idea that Cliff and I were exchanging thoughts about of the familiar architectural language of the four- or five-story masonry building with bays on it. You know, you see them on Comm. Ave., you see them on Beacon Street.

You know, I think having committed to that as a design direction -- and it's not the only possible design, but once they've committed to it, I think they've followed through and made it work. I think getting rid of the arcade,

1-800-727-6396

closing the base, having a darker material at the base for this -- what looks like a masonry bearing building with vertical windows, which are also traditional, I think it resolved well. I think the arcade space with a ramp, a stair that you were going to fall on if you come out of the -- coming out of the lobby, good riddance to all of that. A simpler looking lobby door at the sidewalk level, to me, it works.

One last comment about the west side. You know, construction cost is real. I think this design includes a different material other than brick and has made a persuasive case through design for how it can be articulated in a way that relates to the language of the overall, you know, brick wrap to the building on the other two major sides. I think it's convincing and attractive, and I think it deserves further development. And, you know, there are many ways to do that without, you know, tumbling to the more expensive brick wall construction.

You know, overall, I think that they've made a case for a context for this building that, you know, more clearly at this

1-800-727-6396

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	

hearing than at the previous one, includes a context of Beacon Street and Comm. Ave., and I think it's in a family of buildings that you see on Beacon at, you know, Corey Road or St. Mary Street, where you turn from the avenue onto the side street, you transition to frame houses or smaller buildings, and, you know, you see how those buildings change in their materials or they step down or, you know, bays or porches appear on the back.

I think they have -- you know, within this language of design they've proposed something that is -- it works in many ways because it is familiar and is recognizable and refined, and I think they are -- as I say, those are -- the individual changes to parts of the charge have -- because they are coordinated well together, the sum is more than the individual parts. Still to resolve, but otherwise a good response.

MR. GELLER: Thank you, Randolph.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Well, I agree with much of what Randolph said. I think that many of

Johanna?

the design changes were responsive to our prior critiques. I'm not qualified in the way that Randolph is to provide feedback about the color pallet or the materials. For me, as I said when I was asking Cliff, you know, the dark gray brick at the ground floor doesn't work for me, and I think it worked on the Tatte building at 420 because it's lighter materials, and it's a gray and white pallet.

This, again, with the reddish masonry doesn't feel like it's quite consistent, and I have those concerns about the gray as it wraps around into the residential neighborhood. So I would like the applicant to perhaps take a look at whether or not there's some sort of different material. It does not -- from my perspective, it would be great if it were masonry, but I understand masonry is expensive, but I know that there are other positive materials, fiber cement, etcetera, that might be available with a different color pallet that might be a little bit less contrasting. It's still too tall. It's still too tall.

I guess I also want to reiterate one

team look at doing some sort of green wall to better screen the wall along the garage. I know that there are some trees that have been planted. You know, the thing about trees is, you know, some of them grow, some of them don't. I don't know, you know, how wide these trees are going to end up being. So I do think that perhaps you could achieve better screening with a green wall with better coverage, and I think that can be done, you know, without breaking the bank for the project budget.

As I said when I was asking John
Harding about planters on the balconies, I think
anything that provides some sort of additional
greenery and additional relief would be nice to
have.

We are hearing some things from some of the neighbors about the applicant not engaging in sufficient abutter and neighborhood outreach. So I'm hoping that before we go tonight we can understand, Jennifer, either from you or from Mr. Danesh what you have done in terms of meeting with your neighbors. I think

it's important for the board to understand what
that process has looked like outside of, you
know, what's happened -- obviously, folks
received notice through the board -- but if
there has been neighborhood outreach,

particularly to your closest abutters.

And then I think the last thing that I would say is we're continuing to hear lingering concerns about traffic, Uber drop-offs, idling on the corner, and I would like the applicant to take a look at what kind of signage might be provided there, I guess in concert with town staff to discourage that behavior, which I do think has the possibility of presenting not just a safety hazard, but also a nuisance factor to the residential neighborhood.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. So my comments will be familiar, and what I struggle with is fitting them into the context of 40B. I think that's everyone's struggle here. I think the building is too big. The building is too big for this size lot.

I want to say I appreciate the effort that has been made. It looks better. It looks

-- I don't think it looks like the Ferdinand building, but I think it looks better. They've neatened up the aesthetic, the cladding, better appearance, the simplification of the bays to the rear. Reduction of the bay along the abutting -- the neighboring apartment structure and reducing it by a floor is an improvement.

So I think there clearly has been some effort. I look at the degree to which this project has been reduced, and it's so small. For such an incredibly large building on this size lot, the amount of reduction that's taken place is really very small. And every time there's a reduction, there's an increase somewhere.

So our initial charge was pull the building back on Harvard Street, and we wound up with an arcade, which, functionally, really was private space. It didn't achieve the charge. It looks much better now, but, of course, half, if not three quarters, of that facade has now been bumped back out.

So while I offer my compliments to the architect and the development team for what is a much improved appearance of an incredibly large

building for this location, I have great
reservation that the overarching charge that
this building is too big for this site -- for
this size, and this lot does not sustain a sixstory building -- I very much struggle with

fitting that into the 40B context.

And I don't pretend to have ultimately the final answer to that. I would say I would struggle less with a five-story building. With the appearance, I would feel that it fits better with the lot. I'm not going to design this building. I'm not trying to design the building. I don't object to the gray at the ground level. Masonry is better. So that's my comment about that sort of aesthetic.

The notion of adding back in retail to the ground floor, I guess is fine. My comment about it would be I want an understanding of what their proposed uses would be, and they're going to say, well, if anything, we can lease it out for. And I would say, well, given all that we've been through, I would want some limitation on the type of commercial use.

I'm not sure it's necessarily true

that a 1200 square foot space can't accommodate some form of cooking, and I'm not sure that -- I think our intent would be that a less intense commercial use, a neighborhood use, is what goes in here. I think that's the notion.

Let me speak to some things that were touched on, that frankly I think of as not part of the 40B decision, but since they've been mentioned, I think they're important. There appears to be an apparent double standard here, and I think it has rubbed people the wrong way, which is that the developer is apparently quite sensitive to things that other developers have done that they are more than willing to do.

So I think it is that apparent double standard that has upset a number of people, and it exists. I don't know that it's relevant in the context of this hearing, but it exists. So I would urge the developer to reconsider that kind of behavior. It doesn't help.

Reaching out to the neighborhood, I always urge developers to do it. I don't know the degree to which this developer has done it. I do know that they have complained of other

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

24

developers not doing it, and therefore, I would urge them, if they have not done so, to speak to the neighborhood. Those are my comments. and what charge we give the developer from this point is the question, where we go and what charge. Response?

MR. DANNY DANESH: I just want to address the comments that we've heard tonight about the loading zone and cars driving --

> Introduce yourself first. MR. GELLER:

MR. DANNY DANESH: Sorry. My name is Danny Danesh. I'm with the development team.

> MR. GELLER: Thank you.

MR. DANNY DANESH: I just wanted to, you know, kind of set the -- you know, put it out there, something that we have been discussing since the beginning of this project, and it's the topic of the loading zone and cars driving on Kenwood Street.

So the way this building was designed from the beginning up until today is all of the commercial activity is located on Harvard Street. So there is currently a curb cut on Harvard Street, which is about 20 feet wide,

which is being removed. So that curb cut right now is where cars exit the site. Deliveries, customers, they exit the site, and they cross a bike lane, and they cross right past the bus stop. So obviously, that -- this is something that we've probably spoke about maybe nine months ago. So obviously, that is not an ideal situation for pedestrians.

So that curb cut is being removed, and we are adding a loading zone. That loading zone will be for residents who take Ubers or any type of ride share, and also for UPS, FedEx and deliveries and whatnot, moving trucks. So if someone is coming home with a ride share, they will be dropped off on Harvard Street. There will be no reason for the Uber to take Kenwood and to drive backwards or for us to get fined, as someone suggested.

So an Uber would drop off the resident on Harvard Street and continue on their way. A FedEx delivery would come on Harvard Street, park in the loading zone, make their delivery, and continue on their way. They would have no reason to go on Kenwood. That's because the

lobby is on Harvard Street.

Finally, the trash pickup also is on Kenwood -- sorry, is on Harvard Street. So the trash route was designed to be on Harvard Street outside, so the trash truck would not go on Kenwood. So all the commercial activity for the residential entrance and the trash room is all on Harvard Street.

In addition, the retail space is also on Harvard Street, so they don't have any customers driving up and down that whole residential area by the park on Russell, on Verndale, on Kenwood. So all the commercial activity is on Harvard Street. The only cars that will be driving up Kenwood is the parking -- the residents who park.

We will put a sign out there and make it very clear to residents not to take a left onto Kenwood. But at the same time, we are not, you know, a police department here. This is like -- this is beyond our control.

So really to get to the next point is we don't have any issue with 445 Harvard Street, the way the building is designed. We have

appealed their project because their residential entrance, their trash pickup is all located on Thorndike Street, across the street from our garage.

So I know there's a lot of rumors going around and people talking bad about us, and, you know, it's not really fair because they don't actually understand what is going on.

That building has the trash pickup on a two-way street that is directly across from our garage.

So yes, we do have a problem with that, and this building at 500 Harvard Street was designed in a way to avoid situations like that. So, you know, we have experience in running these buildings, and we know that this type of -- this system of keeping retail, residential, trash on the commercial street works and having -- imagine if we had a trash room on Kenwood Street. It would be a disaster. Well, that's what was approved at 445 Harvard Street.

MR. DAVID DANESH: Can I just say one thing? Actually, a neighbor brought up a great point during --

Well, say who you are. MR. GELLER:

2

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

MR. DAVID DANESH: My name is David Danesh. I'm the developer of the site. Actually, one of the neighbors who was complaining about something that brought to attention was there's -- she compared that the Tatte situation with the cars double parking on the side of Coolidge Street and the amount of traffic on the side street of Coolidge Street. That is exactly the reason why we had a problem with the project on 454 Harvard Street, because we saw exactly what was happening at 420 Harvard Street.

If you go to 420 Harvard Street, they put the lobby and one of the entrances to the retail and the garage all on Coolidge Street by intersection. I ask anyone on the board who would like to go there at 12:00 in the afternoon on any day of the week, especially on the weekends, and you can see the kind of mayhem that's going on there.

You have Ubers dropping off tenants, you have cars picking up food from Tatte for deliveries, you have people picking up their own food for deliveries, you have people sitting

outside having lunch, and the worst part about

it is that building, similar to the one that we

oppose, doesn't even have trash chutes. So they

have a garage -- they have a trash pickup

happening four or five times a week because they

don't have trash chutes.

If you go and walk by the lobby, you can see there's garage cans everywhere, in the garage, right outside the lobby, with garbage even sitting outside of the garbage cans. There are FedEx trucks parked out there. That's why we oppose the project.

And the final point I'd like to make regarding the objection of the project is we told the developer who was building that building many, many, many times you can't have a building without trash chutes, because trash chutes take all the trash from every floor, and they put it into a trash room. He does not have a trash room.

When you don't have a trash room, you have garbage bins everywhere. When you have garbage bins everywhere, you have mice running

throughout the building. When the mice go into
my building, that becomes my problem. When
their garbage trucks are doing three point turns
into my driveway when my tenants are getting up,

5 that becomes my problem.

When there are Uber drivers and FedEx trucks double parked by an intersection, and my tenants can't get out of their apartment building, and the neighbors down the street who gave me a difficult time for locating where my driveway was, almost the precise location as someone on the board would remember, we had to even move a tree to make this happen, all because of this situation. That's why I opposed the project. That's it.

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: David, I think you've made a really good point, and it can be made very short, and the point is that you did not oppose that project due to the height. You opposed that project for safety and health concerns, period. We don't need to talk about that anymore.

The only other comment I would have, Mr. Geller, is that the issues raised about the

traffic concerns by one of the neighbors on

Kenwood, all of the traffic consultants,

including the town's own traffic division,

didn't have any of the same concerns. We are

going to implement all of the recommendations by

the traffic division from the town. We went to

the transportation board. We didn't have to. We

went.

We heard what they said, and we agreed to their recommendations. And certainly if it becomes an issue, then you're going to have a police cruiser sitting there handing out tickets, and it's going to stop pretty quickly. So I just wanted to make those points. Thank you.

MR. GELLER: Understood. Thank you,

Jennifer. Board members, further comment,

direction to the developer?

MS. SCHNEIDER: I would just like to ask another time if the developer or their counsel could address the outstanding question about what kind of neighborhood outreach they have done.

MR. DAVID DANESH: I'd like to reach

1 So first of all, I've actually --2 throughout my time and my family's time, I've 3 actually contributed a lot to this neighborhood, probably more than all the neighbors that are 4 complaining about it. For example, the Chabad 5 that almost went out of business, I was -- I'm 6 7 the one who gave them a loan to keep them going. 8 I power washed their entire building -- when it 9 was brown, and no neighbor came to their aid or 10 no neighbor stepped up to do anything, I paid for 11 their house to be power washed by myself. don't want to talk about it because the 12 13 neighbors keep making it seem like I'm a bad 14 person, but I did that by myself. 15 Ruben's Deli, when we originally

Ruben's Deli, when we originally bought the property, we were trying to save Ruben's Deli, actually, and unfortunately, we weren't able to. So what happened? What did we do? We had -- The Butchery had a fire. The Butchery had a fire and didn't have a kosher place to serve kosher food, so I let The Butchery use my space. I rented to them for less than the market for as long as they needed to so the Jewish community in Brookline could have a

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 kosher place to get food from because The
2 Butchery had burned down.

I did that. They said they needed it for three months. It turned into six months, it turned into nine months, however long it went. I had a tenant that was interested in renting my space. However, because the duration kept going on and on and on, and I was helping them, that ended up not working out for us, and they ended up moving to another location down the street.

Now, I've spoken to the Chabad, who is my abutter, and I've spoken to the gentleman who lives behind my house -- behind the building.

His name -- he lives in Michigan, actually, and I told him that on many occasions throughout the years that I owned the restaurant, the?

restaurant -- I've spoken to him many times -- I told him that, you know, we're planning on building an apartment building here. I'd like to be on good terms with you. He said I like the fact of you building an apartment here. It's much better than a smelly Indian restaurant.

I said okay, no problem. I said how much -- I said I'd like to buy your home. He

said okay, you can pay me \$4.4 million for my home, or I have no problem with you building something new because it would be better for my house. I said fine. That's what I did. I've spoken to him many times. So I did some neighborhood outreach. Unfortunately, there was -- the Corona virus prevented me and my team from meeting in person with people. So that's all I have to say regarding that.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Board members, other comments, direction for the developer?

MS. POVERMAN: It's Kate Poverman.

MR. GELLER: Hi, Kate Poverman.

MS. POVERMAN: Hello. I am looking at your letter of June 21, 2019 relating to 445
Harvard Street. You had commented that your only quarrel with it had been relating to its trash and loading and things like that, but, in fact, in the letter, you do complain about its height being 58 feet, plus additional feet, with mechanicals. And I am a little concerned that after all you have heard us say about the building needing to be smaller, take up less

space, be lower, what you chose to address was
the loading zones and then other stuff, which
was not relevant to this project.

MR. DAVID DANESH: Okay --

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: David, let me respond to that, please, please, please. So Kate, we're not going to talk about a pending appeal. We've answered the double standard issue that was raised, and we're not going to discuss pending litigation. We've told you what the main issues were, what the building had to do with safety and health, and we're not going to respond.

MR. DAVID DANESH: Well, I'm taking these motherfuckers to court.

MS. POVERMAN: Well, I'm just going to leave it at -- I do not believe you addressed it. I hold my position, and what Jesse said about there being a double standard, and I'm going to leave it at that.

MR. GELLER: Is that it, Kate?

Randolph, any further -- I mean, I think we need

-- we've told the developer our opinions, and we
need to move along with this hearing. My

1-800-727-6396

personal request, and you've looked at it a number of times, is that you look to see if there is a viable five-story building, and I have no idea what discussions have taken place, but when I say a viable five-story building, I like what you've done with the existing building, with the exception of the sixth floor. Board members -- Randolph, do you want to comment anything further?

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yeah, Jesse. Just in the interest of clarity of the charge, I'm just going to support what you have said. It's consistent with my own comments, I think, earlier, that there's a big qualifier at the beginning of my comments about how I would like that one fewer story, but I will repeat that I think the -- I would encourage continuing on the design direction otherwise in the ways that I described.

MR. GELLER: Yeah, I think, you know, there have been some other comments here, and I don't mean to ignore those. I think there's this question about the ground floor and what cladding it is and the color of the cladding.

1-800-727-6396

There's a question about -- that I raised, and I
was just living off of Johanna's glow, which is
about the green wall to the rear. So there are a
number of these questions that I don't mean for
the developer to ignore, but there's an elephant
in the room, so to speak. Johanna, anything
further?

MS. SCHNEIDER: Nothing further. Thank you.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Maria, do we have -- what do we have in terms of next dates?

I'm mindful of our end date. What do we have to accomplish within our timeline?

MS. MORELLI: So as I mentioned in my staff report, there are a few loose ends that we would want to tie up, especially now that retail is being introduced, and we should have the applicant actually speak to the range of uses they're considering. We did discuss that. They do want some (?) use, but they did say there's no way they could see a commercial kitchen fitting in, but I think the applicant should address specifically in a hearing the range of uses they're anticipating so that we can get a better

1	response, a more comprehensive response, from
2	the health department.
3	MR. GELLER: Yeah.
4	MS. MORELLI: And we do need some
5	materials from the applicant, mainly the
6	foundation method, because of the proximity to
7	514 Harvard to the north. And, as we always do,
8	a preliminary building code analysis, again,
9	because there is that facade that north
10	facade so close to 514 Harvard.
11	So those are the things that we would
12	have, say, at the next hearing. It depends on
13	what you're expecting. If you're expecting more
L 4	work from the what are you expecting from me
15	in terms of materials to prepare?
16	MR. GELLER: Magic.
17	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: That's what it
18	would take.
19	MS. MORELLI: I beg your pardon?
20	MR. GELLER: I'm expecting that.
21	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: We can talk about
22	the range of uses tonight. I think there might
23	have been some misunderstanding that we weren't

going to have any type of food establishment,

but 1200 square feet -- we don't think it's going to be like a destination restaurant with a commercial kitchen, just because of the size, but absolutely would have entertaining a small bakery, a café, sandwich shop, you know, something like that definitely with food product. So I just -- yeah.

MR. GELLER: When you say that, are you talking about food prep a la -- you'll forgive me for saying this, but food prep a la Dunkin' Donuts, or are you talking about actual production of foods ovens?

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: Yeah, I think we could have -- for example, down the street at another one of my projects, we have a small retail space at the To Life (?) project next to KI, and right now, we're looking at a small bakery where they would also have some electric ovens that wouldn't need venting where they would bring partially prepared and then finish off cooking there. So that can absolutely be done, and that's what I mean by that.

MR. GELLER: So that's the Dunkin, Donuts model. They just take things on site.

1-800-727-6396

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

23

22

24

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: We wouldn't want to have the commercial kitchen that would require venting that would go up and potentially disturb residents.

MR. DANNY DANESH: I've heard a lot of -- my name is Danny Danesh. I'm with the development team. I've heard a lot of the neighbors suggesting a coffee shop type use, which we would be thrilled to have there, and someone also mentioned tonight that there's a bus stop there, and a lot of people, you know, waiting around in the cold. So maybe, you know, holding the hot coffee while you're waiting for your bus would be nice.

MR. GELLER: Great. Yeah, so I think in that context, we'll want to spell that out, but it sounds like we're on the same page. Go ahead.

MS. MORELLI: So in terms of a public hearing, unless there is going to be another response -- another revision, we would go to waivers and conditions. So I think I just want to specifically -- would you like the applicant team to respond to your feeling about the sixth

floor?

MR. GELLER: Yeah, I'd like a response. I don't know that those things are mutually exclusive, particularly given time constraints, but yeah. Would I want a response? Yes.

MS. MORELLI: Do you want a response now?

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: If you want a response now, I can give you a response now. The response is that we looked at it, Mr. Chair, and the mass, moving it to the front because the arcade was not viewed favorably and stepping it back both at 5 and 6, that is the response.

So if that's not, you know, satisfactory, that's another issue, but that is the response. I don't think that, you know, going another round is going to change. We've got a lot -- I've heard -- I'm hearing different things, but I heard a lot of positive feedback from those measures by the architect on the poll.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Jonathan, how many units are on the sixth floor?

1	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: John, do you have
2	the floor plan there? I think there's only two.
3	MR. HARDING: This is John Harding.
4	There's five.
5	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: Oh, five.
6	MR. GELLER: And if I understand what
7	you said before, John, it's five bedrooms.
8	MR. HARDING: There's five one-
9	bedroom apartments on the fifth on the sixth
10	floor. There's five units on every floor.
11	They're all one-bedrooms on the top floor.
12	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: John, did we move
13	from the original design, was it 10,000 square
14	feet? What was the number on going from the
15	original to where we are now, what is the
16	MR. HARDING: We've lost it. I'll put
17	the numbers back up.
18	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: Oh, maybe ten
19	percent is what I was looking at for the ten
20	percent volume.
21	MR. HARDING: Yeah, of the volume of
22	the original, we've lost roughly ten percent,
23	31,000 compared or 319,000 cubic feet of
24	volume. The square footage also is reduced by

almost ten percent of the residential area. So the ground floor --

MS. SCHNEIDER: Is that for the project overall?

MR. HARDING: That's for the residential portion of the project.

MS. SCHNEIDER: I understand. I'm asking for the numbers with respect to the project overall, not just the residential area. I think that would be helpful to the board.

MR. HARDING: So the residential area is reduced by that, which is the massing on the upper floors. The ground floor has gotten a little bigger where we expanded for parking to go from six parking spaces to ten. Yeah, we went from six to ten on the ground floor, so the ground floor has maximized where we tried to give back more parking and to try to keep that retail active use there, and the upper floor isn't reduced. So that's why the overall area, we've lost 3300 square feet overall from the very beginning, which is probably like eight percent — eight or nine percent.

MR. DANNY DANESH: This is Danny

Danesh with the development team. I just want to address Mr. Geller, his question about the top floor. This keeps coming up, so I just think it's best to address it head on. You know, a project like this, you know, six units out of 25, it's a big chunk of the overall building, and, you know, there's people -- you know, also something that was discussed tonight was, you know, there's going to be these huge profits, and everyone in real estate knows that, you know, this is going to be a huge profitable building.

But at the same time, when you have -you have these -- there's 40B laws and
regulations as to how much income you can make,
and, you know, it's a ten percent limited
dividend. And these are really, really tight
numbers as is. And, you know, addressing
another comment that was made tonight about how
can, you know, all the other projects at four
floors make money and whatnot.

And, you know, I don't -- I prefer not to get into the details, but some of these projects, their margins are very, very, very

1-800-727-6396

1	
2	

thin, and I know it might not seem like it, but they are -- and six units is -- it makes the project uneconomic is another way of saying that. Thank you.

4 5

6

Addressing just what you were talking about, Mr. Danesh, I quess it is a problem I have

MS. POVERMAN: Hi, it's Kate Poverman.

7

continuously struggled with, which is how the project across the street that you've developed,

10

455 Harvard, was three and a half stories, or

11

reduced to three and a half stories, and I think

12 13 it has 17 units and a retail space. And like you

14

least theoretically and there not be an ability

said, how could that work at least -- almost at

15

to reduce the size of this.

16

don't know -- I don't remember what the size of

So I just never understood that.

18

17

the lot is -- I could look it up -- or the

19

overall square footage -- but it's one of the

20

reasons that I have struggled with the height of

21

this, as well.

2223

MR. DANNY DANESH: Another great question, Kate, and I know that we worked on that

24

project together. And quite frankly, it's not

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

economic, and we spent, you know, a good amount of time permitting it, we spent a good amount of time developing it, and, you know, I know the numbers, and it's been operating for over a year now. And it's not economic, and there's a reason why we're here today saying that we can't go lower.

I wish I could -- I wish it was. Ι wish that we could lower this building, and everyone would be happy, and we'd walk out of here like heros. But it's not economic, and we're here to -- one thing that was not mentioned tonight at all was we're adding affordable housing.

And I know that it's very easy to say that we don't care, but we do, and we have thousands of people -- I'm not going to exaggerate. I have -- there's 500 person -- the waiting list closed at 500. There's 500 people waiting to live in that building, and there's 500 people waiting to live at 45 Marion Street, both waitings are closed, and there will be 500 people waiting to live in this building.

And it's either this building -- I

mean, we can talk about color, we can talk about materials. It's either this massing, or there's going to be no project here, and I just want --

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: I would just also note that there's another -- I believe that the other -- what is now an official 40B at 209 Harvard is also proposed as a six-story, I believe. So the economics of that, you know, it's likely similar.

MR. DANNY DANESH: And one last thing, Kate. You know, retail has changed since that project. Even since Covid started, the rents have gone way down, I'm sure everybody knows. Construction prices remain high. Lumber prices are way up. I don't know if anyone is aware of that. But just to reiterate, 455 Harvard Street, the building is uneconomic. It was too small, and I just want to like remind everyone that, you know, this -- we had experience doing this, and it's just -- I'm not just pulling out six stories out of a hat. You know, we have done our research. We've literally done this before. So I just wanted to address that for Ms. Poverman and the neighbors.

1	MR. GELLER: Thank you. So let's
2	Maria?
3	MS. MORELLI: John, could you stop
4	sharing your screen so we could just see the
5	thank you, John.
6	MR. GELLER: So here's what I think we
7	should do. So the next hearing we can I guess
8	the next step is to discuss the waivers request.
9	I think I know the answer to this question. Is
10	the developer's response their final response,
11	or are they going to take the interim period to
12	review the board's request?
13	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: And when you say
14	the board's request, you're saying to remove the
15	sixth floor?
16	MR. GELLER: Correct.
17	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: We're not in a
18	position to remove the sixth floor for the
19	reasons that Danny Danesh just explained, the
20	project becomes not feasible.
21	MR. DANNY DANESH: However this is
22	Danny Danesh speaking again. However, as I
23	asked Randolph earlier, and I know that I
24	think we can play with colors, and I think

Johanna spoke about it also that she was not convinced by the ground floor color. We can --we're happy to -- if the building looks better, it's better for everyone. So we're happy to talk about colors, you know, maybe some materials and stuff like that. But regarding the massing, I think, you know, we're at the end of the road here.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. So Maria, the answer to the question is let's move on in the process.

MS. MORELLI: Okay. Well, so Judi is not here, but are you thinking about pro forma, or are you thinking about going just to waivers, and then you'll decide if you want to grant a waiver for the height, I guess?

MR. GELLER: I don't know that I have the answer to that question at this moment. I suspect it's one -- unless board members have some input on that, I suspect it's one or the other.

MS. SCHNEIDER: I would just weigh in and say that from my perspective, I think we understand the developer's position, and based

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

on my understanding of 40B, I don't think that I would be prepared to request a pro forma review of this project at this time.

Thank you. So it seems to MR. GELLER: me that in any case, we need to talk about the waivers.

MS. MORELLI: So what I would recommend is on -- I think the project team should prepare the waivers list that reflects your latest offer, your latest iteration if you're not going to do any further work, and it sounds like you're not going to do it. So if this design is baked, then you should prepare your waivers list to reflect the current design.

What that means is that the building commissioner and I will need to look at it just to make sure that it is accurate, that there's nothing missing, and we can discuss it off line, but my expectations for a waivers list, as you can see them done for other projects, is that you list what is required for the zoning and then what the delta is. So not just ask for the waiver, but ask for how much beyond zoning you So if the height is 40 feet, that's the require.

max allowed, and the height that you propose is 68 feet, ask for that differential. That's what I would expect in the waivers list.

The other thing I would like as well

4

5

6

7

8

3

at the same time -- because I would need the building commissioner to review the foundation method and the preliminary building code analysis. So I would want all of that. Just to be able to give the building commissioner some time, and also to have you respond to any

10 11

feedback he might give you, I might propose the next hearing be --

So this is what I've charted out.

13 14

12

need some time to go through the last few -- the loose ends, as well as the waivers, and that

15 16

would be one hearing, I would think, and then

17

there would be -- I would like to see two

hearings, two public hearings, on the

18 19

conditions, and that's really hard to do all by

20

April 7. So as I said to you earlier today, I'd

21

like to ask for an extension through April 21, at

22

least, if the ZBA's schedule, you know, aligns

23

for three more hearings.

24

MR. GELLER: Have you officially asked

1	that of the developer?
2	MS. MORELLI: I did in writing today,
3	and I'm also asking again, right now.
4	MR. GELLER: Jennifer?
5	MR. DANNY DANESH: That's fine, that's
6	fine. This is Danny Danesh.
7	MS. MORELLI: So I'm going to
8	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: We can provide
9	that letter.
10	MS. MORELLI: I'm just going to ask
11	thank you, Jennifer. But while we have the ZBA
12	assembled, so the three hearing dates that I am
13	proposing are March 31, April 7 and April 21.
14	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: I am not
15	available the week of April 5. Can we do two the
16	following week?
17	MS. MORELLI: I would not I don't
18	think I would want two in one week. I would want
19	I mean, maybe consecutive weeks, or maybe we
20	can just go to the end of April.
21	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: I'm just looking
22	at the calendar. So what about I know Mondays
23	and Wednesdays are usually preferred 31, 12,
24	and 19?

1	MS. MORELLI: That works for me. Does
2	that work for the board members?
3	MS. SCHNEIDER: We have outstanding
4	meetings in front of a planning board in another
5	town on Monday nights, so I cannot do Monday
6	nights.
7	MS. MORELLI: So then we'll
8	MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: 14 and 21?
9	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Maria, you've got
10	some of us holding a 217 Kent case for the 12th
11	and 14th and some other dates. Do you see that?
12	MS. MORELLI: Right. I haven't
13	actually finalized that. So we can certainly
14	you don't have to hold those dates for 217.
15	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: If we choose them for
16	this, okay. Thanks.
17	MS. MORELLI: We should prioritize 500
18	Harvard at this point. So that's March 31, April
19	14, and April 21.
20	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Maria, could you just
21	say again why there are three more hearings
22	after tonight?
23	MS. MORELLI: Yes.
24	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I thought at one

point, you said two.

MS. MORELLI: No, I said that -- so
March 31, we would wrap up some loose ends,
health department, parking feasibility, might
have Walker consultants attend, building
commissioner, foundation method, and then
waivers. And if I could start conditions, I
would, but I think it's just a little hard to
have some back and forth with the chair, and also
with the applicant on conditions going back and
forth. I think there's actually enough to do
with getting the materials for the foundation
method, building code analysis, and waivers.

MR. GELLER: Any other business?

MS. MORELLI: That seems to be it.

Usually we don't really talk about construction

management plan, but we've heard some concerns

that abutters have. And the construction

management plan is usually a condition, so

that's something that would be prepared. I just

-- because I've heard that concern of abutters,

I just wanted to acknowledge that it would be not

necessarily part of the public hearing.

MR. GELLER: So should the board grant

1	the comprehensive permit, it would be one of the
2	conditions to that decision, and it's fairly in
3	depth and is consistent with the town
4	requirements.
5	MS. MORELLI: The other thing I think
6	I also just looking over my notes, there were
7	some comments regarding the west facade and more
8	detail of the storefront. That's something that
9	can be worked out in the public hearing, as well,
10	so that it's not something that you so I would
11	imagine that your expectations would be to see
12	some refinements regarding the design on the
13	west facade and the ground level.
14	MR. GELLER: Yeah.
15	MS. MORELLI: I just want to make a
16	list of things that I'm going to be tracking for
17	the applicant for the March 31 hearing.
18	MR. GELLER: Yeah. Anything else?
19	MS. MORELLI: I think that's it.
20	MR. GELLER: Great. Jennifer,
21	anything further?
22	MR. DANNY DANESH: This is Danny
23	Danesh. I have a quick question regarding the

construction management plan. That would be a

1	condition, right?
2	MR. GELLER: Correct.
3	MS. MORELLI: Yes.
4	MR. DANESH: Yeah, because usually a
5	contractor prepares that, and we don't have a
6	contractor yet. Great.
7	MS. MORELLI: It sounds like we're
8	continuing this hearing to March 31 at 7:00
9	p.m., Mr. Geller.
10	MR. GELLER: Yes. So this hearing is
11	adjourned until March 31, 7:00 p.m., and by that
12	point, we should have the transcript from this
13	hearing, and we'll also have whatever materials
14	are available for distribution for that hearing.
15	I want to thank everyone for their participation
16	this evening, and we'll see you on the 31st.
17	MR. DANESH: Thank you.
18	MS. MORELLI: Good evening.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	NORFOLK, ss.
4	
5	I, ARLENE R. BOYER, a Certified Court
6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That the proceedings herein was recorded by
10	me and transcribed by me; and that such
11	transcript is a true record of the proceedings,
12	to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand
14	and notarial seal this 25th day of March 2021.
15	
16	
17	aden « Bozo
18	Arlene R. Boyer, CVR
19	Notary Public
20	My Commission Expires
21	November 21, 2025
22	
23	
24	

[**04.4.f.1** - access] Page 1

	127.21	71 1 0 6 7 10 6 10	
0	135:21	71:1 96:7 106:12	7
04.4.f.1 14:2	21414 135:17	106:14	7 7:15 129:20
0445 2:8	217 131:10,14	43 38:21 45:8	130:13
1	23 40:1	44 40:2	700 11:19
	25 45:6,9,11 122:5	445 11:5 21:13	730-2670 2:9
1 22:14 66:18,18	25th 135:14	37:6 40:17 104:23	8
1-135 1:1	2800 44:7	105:20 112:16	_
1/2 38:12,21 39:1	29 17:14	45 40:24 124:21	82 40:24
40:1	3	454 106:11	9
10 1:16	3 18:6 23:19 66:18	455 9:3 37:6 40:23	9 10:19 21:19
10,000 120:13	30 45:6,9	123:10 125:16	24:16 33:16 35:3
100 83:16	30,000 44:23	46 38:12	36:10 38:13 42:13
110 83:16	300 83:17	47 40:19	90 33:10
12 4:2 85:3 130:23	31 130:13,23	5	9:55 1:17
1200 12:6 45:17	131:18 132:3	5 9:5 76:12 81:18	a
92:5 101:1 117:1	133:17 134:8,11	119:14 130:15	a5 43:5
121 44:12	31,000 120:23	500 1:7 45:15 83:3	
12:00 106:18	319,000 120:23	105:12 124:18,19	a7 43:8
12th 131:10	31st 134:16	124:19,21,22	a8 43:8
14 131:8,19	3300 44:11 121:21	131:17	ability 17:11 50:3
14th 131:11	333 2:7	514 15:3 22:10	52:4 123:14
15 80:1	39 45:11	25:23 26:20 28:4	135:12
16 77:5	4	30:10 33:11 45:4	able 5:2 28:22
17 6:19 8:11 18:21		48:16 87:24 116:7	30:10 38:24 45:19
19:18 38:23 44:3	4 10:1,16 21:4	116:10	52:5 54:8,22
92:21 123:12	42:12	52 39:1 40:4,16	55:21 110:18
18 11:11 39:8	4.4 112:1	55 38:12,21	129:9
40:18	40 39:1 128:24	556 44:8	abrupt 68:3 71:21
19 4:4,7 130:24	40b 7:18 9:13 15:5	58 112:21	absolutely 23:5
2	57:18,22 78:12,13	6	79:19 117:4,21
2 23:10 42:11 44:5	79:6 89:16 90:20		absorb 60:5
20 102:24	98:19 100:6 101:8	6 9:5 66:18 119:14	abutter 59:14 90:8
200 83:17	122:14 125:6	6,000 44:24	90:23 97:20
2019 17:14,15 44:1	128:1	604 13:14	111:12
112:16	40bs 59:11 78:1	604.4.f.1. 13:21	abutters 59:14,15
2020 4:2	90:22	61 40:3,16	87:14,19 88:7
2021 1:16 135:14	41 40:3,4,16,16	617 2:9	89:11 90:20 98:6
2025 135:21	42 45:9	66 81:11,15	132:18,21
209 125:6	420 9:13 11:5	68 40:19 129:2	abutting 11:6
21 112:16 129:21	21:13 25:16 37:6		78:20 99:6
130:13 131:8,19	39:16,20 40:15		access 4:15,16,23
150.15 151.0,17			43:10

	- 11 (0 (0 7	1 100 O	4::
accessible 4:10	address 6:8 60:7	agreed 109:9	anticipate 54:7
65:20	74:24 76:5 92:9	ahead 72:12	anticipated 3:5
accommodate	102:8 109:21	118:18	anticipating 54:6
12:17 101:1	113:1 115:22	aicp 2:4	115:24
accommodated	122:2,4 125:23	aid 110:9	anybody 56:15
85:17	addressed 17:10	air 47:18 50:14	72:7 74:16 82:21
accommodating	21:2 113:17	51:20	91:7
65:16	addressing 79:7	aisle 51:5	anymore 108:22
accomplish 115:13	122:18 123:6	aligned 50:24	anyway 72:5
account 79:1	adequacy 14:22	aligns 25:13,13	apartment 99:6
accurate 5:14,22	adequate 4:15,16	129:22	108:8 111:19,21
39:13 87:12 88:16	adequately 15:14	alley 30:8	apartments 120:9
88:22 89:1 128:17	adjacent 22:10	allowed 19:24	apologize 65:2
achieve 97:9 99:18	adjourned 134:11	81:24 129:1	apparent 28:13
acknowledge	adjustments 42:18	alternative 21:7	101:10,15
132:22	administrative	alternatives 67:10	apparently 89:15
activate 27:4	7:24	68:5	101:12
active 27:2 29:7	administrator	amazing 75:17	appeal 113:8
42:6 44:16 121:19	14:3	amended 14:16	appealed 105:1
activity 23:3 42:8	admit 46:12	amenities 12:4	appeals 1:6 2:12
102:22 104:6,14	ado 18:1	amenity 12:8 29:3	appear 6:12 13:24
actual 56:11 68:6	advice 78:4	29:9 37:13 42:7	14:1 62:10 95:10
117:11	advised 4:7,23	45:20 48:9	appearance 99:4
add 30:5 44:13	aerial 34:2	amount 7:6 61:1	99:24 100:10
51:24 55:19 69:24	aesthetic 22:17	99:12 106:8 124:1	appears 51:16
added 25:4 37:18	25:16 28:1 56:7	124:2	55:11 93:6 101:10
43:3	58:15 61:4 70:12	analysis 13:16	applicant 2:21,22
adding 19:23 20:5	99:3 100:15	15:5 18:10 57:7	6:20 8:11 10:2,14
20:12 21:18 28:22	aesthetics 20:22	59:20 63:7 116:8	11:9 13:10 14:16
32:13,14,15	24:6 62:22	129:8 132:13	17:2 67:11 91:11
100:16 103:10	affirmative 3:7,15	analytical 10:5,18	92:8,10 96:14
124:13	affordable 124:13	15:11 61:3	97:19 98:10
addition 15:1	afternoon 106:18	angle 24:2 38:19	115:18,22 116:5
43:19 75:16 77:11	agenda 3:5 4:13	39:10 50:18	118:23 132:10
104:9	5:10,11,16 6:18	angles 40:19	133:17
additional 21:14	ago 27:24 44:3	annotation 63:11	applicant's 9:3
32:13 33:15 34:23	55:8 103:7	answer 67:4 100:8	13:23 14:9
56:12 61:1 97:15	agree 67:12 68:2	126:9 127:10,18	application 17:14
97:16 112:21	74:11 75:2,4 77:9	answered 50:5	appreciate 57:6
additionally 6:14	77:11 87:5 95:23	113:8	84:17 85:9 90:24
v			91:3 92:19 98:23

appreciated 64:18
approach 48:15
49:13
approaching
13:19 63:15
appropriate 66:3 70:21
approval 39:23
86:12
approve 87:2
approved 21:13
57:9 59:3 105:20
april 7:15 17:20
129:20,21 130:13
130:13,15,20
131:18,19
arcade 9:10 20:10
20:12 26:10 51:17
65:5,7 93:24 94:5
99:17 119:13
architect 2:18,19
17:4 18:7 46:16 56:15 69:4 77:18
78:1 81:9 87:22
88:14 90:2,18
91:4 93:8 99:23
119:21
architects 81:10
architectural
81:19 93:16
architecture 74:10
area 10:8 11:19
19:8 21:16 27:20
30:7 34:14 42:19
44:5,10 46:14
48:3 49:18 54:10
71:3 72:4 78:10
84:10 104:12
121:1,9,11,20 areas 27:19 57:20
63:1 73:22
03.1 73.22

ingj
arlene 135:5,18
articulated 46:19
94:14
asked 10:14 11:3
22:15 37:7 49:17
54:15 69:4 87:15
91:2 126:23
129:24
asking 84:12 96:5
97:13 121:8 130:3
aspect 66:9
aspects 47:16
assembled 130:12
assessed 15:13
assessment 49:24
associated 19:24
assume 3:19
astonished 90:15
astonishing 90:10
attached 5:8
attend 132:5
attendees 4:24
attention 35:20
56:20 63:8,16
88:20 106:6
attorney 2:20
attractive 69:18
91:20 94:18
audible 14:4 76:10
authorizes 4:14
available 5:8 62:3
66:8 96:20 130:15
134:14
avalon 88:17
ave 93:19 95:2
avenue 72:20
93:14 95:5
average 39:9
avoid 105:13
aware 5:2 125:15

awkward 24:2	ba
b	ba
baby 85:7,8	
back 8:17 12:21	
18:16,19 19:4,9,23	ba
20:12 23:11,20,23	0
24:11,19 26:17	b
27:8,24 30:5,11	
31:5,17 32:22	
33:11,19,20,23,24 34:19 36:12,23	ba
38:10,24 39:8,20	b
41:24 43:2,8,24	
45:3,11,19 47:5,22	ba
48:2,7 49:5 51:4	
53:14 55:6,6	
56:13 62:6 63:23	
70:14 75:19 76:13	
82:11,14 85:13	
88:9 90:17 95:10	ba
99:16,21 100:16	0
119:14 120:17	
121:18 132:9,10	
backside 28:9 40:23 67:19	
backwards 103:17	b
bad 80:7 84:10	
88:23 105:6	b
110:13	b
bags 81:14	b
baked 128:13	b
baker's 4:2	b
bakery 117:5,18	
balconies 47:5,12	b
47:14,15,20 48:5	יט
53:24 54:1,4,13	
97:14	
balcony 43:3,4	b
48:6	b
banding 71:24	

bank 97:12
base 25:4,7,15
27:2,2 32:14
51:18,21 55:10
56:2 70:19 94:1,2
based 74:1 82:9
127:24
basically 23:21
37:20 51:18 53:20
87:22 88:6,11,14
basis 74:5
batten 32:14 34:4
56:3
bay 21:20 25:20
26:2,15,19 28:1,15
31:3 32:10 35:11
35:14 42:13 43:4
45:4 50:7,22 60:1
61:23 64:7 73:10
99:5
bays 22:18 26:4
32:13 36:19 42:12
48:4 73:9,23,23
74:1,2,9 92:1
93:18 95:9 99:4
beacon 93:19 95:2
95:4
beam 51:2
beams 51:4
bearing 73:1 94:3
bed 20:8
bedroom 34:8,10
43:6 45:7 53:20
53:21 120:9
bedrooms 43:5,9
43:10,20 45:8,9,11
53:15,16,16 120:7
120:11
beg 116:19
beginning 102:17
102:21 114:15
102.21 111.13

121:22	bike 103:4	bookend 32:11	brookline's 58:16
behavior 98:13	bins 107:23,24	48:23,24	brooklinema.gov
101:20	bit 20:14 23:15	bookends 26:3	2:10
believe 25:6 41:10	26:18 27:11,17	boston 59:3	brought 11:22
58:2 62:7 89:22	28:13 30:3 31:12	bottom 6:10 38:6	20:18 25:10 28:1
113:17 125:5,8	31:21 32:18 34:7	40:10 71:21 90:7	105:23 106:5
believing 61:20	34:21 35:6 39:11	bought 41:10	brown 110:9
bench 81:14	40:9 41:20 42:8	110:16	brutalist 77:17,20
benches 81:11	42:22 51:2,14	boyer 135:5,18	budget 97:12
bends 42:1	52:20,20 55:22	break 22:5	build 76:14 84:6
benefit 83:8	56:6 63:8 67:8	breaking 69:10	84:13
bent 26:17	68:2 71:7 96:22	97:11	building 9:1,7,11
best 17:11 38:18	black 22:20	brick 21:20 25:10	10:21,24 14:17
43:16 86:10 93:5	bladey 31:18	25:11,15 26:2,5,16	15:4,7 16:13,21
122:4 135:12	blank 20:23 46:20	31:3,7,11 32:10	20:15 22:2 23:17
better 9:6 22:3	block 87:9	35:10,16 37:23	23:23 26:8 30:9
23:5 25:23 31:21	blocking 81:15	42:17 46:13,15	30:23 31:24 33:9
40:5 41:7 45:17	blocks 77:6 78:22	48:20 49:2 50:7	33:11 35:5 37:20
49:21 52:8 60:9	blue 70:7	51:4,15 60:11	38:15,15,20,24
61:17 62:1,14	board 1:6 2:12,14	69:4,15 70:4,24	40:2,21 41:3
64:8 65:7,14 88:5	2:15,16 6:24 7:3,7	71:24 72:1,2 74:6	48:14 52:18 58:10
97:3,9,10 98:24	8:10 13:2 14:19	77:11 85:13,15	58:17,19,20,23
99:2,3,19 100:10	15:23 17:13,16,19	91:15,24 92:3	59:1,5,6,8 60:2,6,9
100:14 111:22	18:6 23:4 32:14	94:13,16,21 96:5	60:19 61:7,17,24
112:3 115:24	34:4 56:3 85:11	bring 8:16 45:19	62:9,19 63:13,19
127:3,4	89:12,17 91:9	117:20	63:24 64:13,20,24
beyond 104:21	98:1,4 106:17	bringing 28:6 31:3	65:7,11,21 67:20
128:23	108:12 109:7,17	35:16,22	68:18,21 71:8
bicycles 30:6	112:10 114:7	brings 36:17 80:19	72:20,21 73:1,13
biernbaum 75:7	121:10 127:19	broad 71:22,23	78:22 79:20 80:6
75:14	131:2,4 132:24	broadcast 5:4	80:9,12,14,15,16
biernbaums 75:10	board's 7:12	broader 60:4	80:22 81:16 82:2
big 18:12 65:17	126:12,14	broadly 57:24	82:8 83:2,5,9,9,15
77:23 78:11,15	bodies 4:9	75:16	83:20 84:3,5,11,13
83:2 89:2,3 98:21	body 4:14 5:7	brookline 1:5 2:6	84:15 86:4,17
98:21 100:3	boehmer 2:18	2:8 58:1,13,14	87:2,18 88:17
114:14 122:6	11:14 56:17,19	62:11 63:18,21	89:2,7,8,9,19
bigger 31:13 41:20	67:23 71:19 73:17	66:16,20 67:15	91:17 92:4 93:1,3
62:17 79:2 81:5	73:20 74:11,16	73:5 75:22 79:24	93:6,18 94:3,16,24
121:14	80:10 90:22	90:12,14 110:24	96:7 98:21,21
			99:2,11,16 100:1,3

[building - color] Page 5

100 70 10 10		0.50.440.40	2.4.24
100:5,9,12,13	called 5:19 53:21	95:8 119:18	cleaner 34:21
102:20 104:24	calling 6:15	changed 20:7	cleaning 34:11
105:9,12 107:3,16	calls 61:14	35:24 42:9 125:11	clear 5:12 52:13
107:17,18 108:1,2	cans 107:9,11	changes 6:23 7:10	59:9 104:18
108:9 110:8	cantilevered 25:22	7:12 11:16 15:12	clearly 5:21 36:4
111:13,19,19,21	captured 5:5	16:15 20:20 21:5	36:22 86:8 94:24
112:2,24 113:11	car 52:4 83:4	21:17 36:9,12	99:8
114:3,5,6 116:8	care 5:3 124:16	37:9 38:3 41:5,11	click 6:11
122:6,12 124:9,20	careful 63:16	41:18 42:11 61:13	client 18:7
124:23,24 125:17	carefully 64:4	70:11 73:10 92:20	cliff 21:24 22:15
127:3 128:15	carried 26:2	95:16 96:1	23:4 28:2 49:10
129:6,7,9 132:5,13	carrying 60:17	changing 46:8	56:16,18 66:1
buildings 20:21	cars 81:23 82:4,7	68:22	67:2,9 71:17 72:8
22:6 30:24 41:8	82:11,13,23 87:7	chapter 7:18	72:13 74:16 90:22
46:13 62:20 68:17	89:18 102:9,18	charge 7:4 8:5,13	92:7 93:15 96:5
73:9 79:5,24 80:1	103:2 104:14	18:23 19:14 85:24	cliff's 9:4 49:24
87:13 95:3,7,8	106:7,23	85:24 86:1,3,14	clifford 2:18 80:10
105:15	carved 20:9 44:15	92:11,22,24 95:17	close 7:15,20
built 39:21 40:22	45:1	99:15,18 100:2	56:20 116:10
80:17	case 61:12 94:13	102:4,6 114:11	closed 124:19,22
bulk 9:11	94:23 128:5	charted 129:13	closer 26:20 36:13
bumped 99:21	131:10	chat 6:9,10,11	45:12 69:20
bunch 11:18	cast 51:7	children 85:9	closest 33:11,19
burned 111:2	cement 56:4 96:20	choose 82:13	40:20 45:4 98:6
bus 81:11,14 103:4	certainly 58:2	131:15	closing 94:1
118:11,14	60:24 65:11 79:7	chose 23:7 33:8	code 15:4 65:21
bush 50:10	85:13,16,20	113:1	116:8 129:7
bushes 56:12	109:10 131:13	chunk 122:6	132:13
business 5:13	certified 135:5	chunked 10:4	cody 85:3
110:6 132:14	certify 135:8	chutes 107:4,7,18	coffee 118:8,13
butchery 110:19	chabad 110:5	107:19	coherent 65:12
110:20,21 111:2	111:11	circle 55:6	68:20
buy 111:24	chair 69:15 119:11	citizen 90:13	cohesive 20:15
byproduct 47:23	132:9	city 59:3	22:4 26:12 30:23
c	chairman 2:13	civil 14:9	cold 118:12
c 2:2 3:1 135:1,1	86:9	cladding 55:12	collaborative
café 117:5	challenge 57:17,18	99:3 114:24,24	21:21
calendar 4:22	change 11:10	claimed 79:18	collected 83:15
130:22	16:22 20:1 26:1	clarity 114:11	collision 82:15
call 3:6,14 6:4,8	38:8 39:11 41:15	clean 33:10 34:1,5	color 20:19 25:7
46:3 56:16 81:10	45:7 65:9 74:5	77:19	25:23 65:9 69:21
40.5 50:10 81:10			

[color - context] Page 6

			1
70:6,21 72:2 96:3	comments 8:6,6	complain 112:20	132:7,10 133:2
96:21 114:24	20:10,16,17 46:22	complained 89:12	conduct 4:10 5:13
125:1 127:2	47:3 49:5,10	101:24	conducted 3:24
coloration 28:16	51:20 54:16 56:17	complaining 106:5	6:4
colored 25:8 58:9	56:22 60:8 62:4,5	110:5	conducting 17:17
colors 26:11 68:22	67:13 71:14 75:2	complete 81:15	conference 4:21
126:24 127:5	75:3 97:1 98:18	completely 25:22	5:1
columbia 77:6	102:3,8 112:11	complex 66:17	confident 83:1
column 32:15	114:13,15,21	68:18	confirm 3:4
columnar 21:19	133:7	compliments	connected 29:15
52:12 55:20	commercial 12:10	99:22	30:15
columns 25:20,21	12:17 100:23	component 6:5	connection 14:22
28:21 29:16 30:18	101:4 102:22	18:12 27:15 29:4	28:23
50:12,24 51:1	104:6,13 105:17	29:9,10,19 38:8	connects 93:14
52:3	115:21 117:3	components 31:9	conscious 7:19
combined 24:4	118:2	51:9	consecutive
59:20	commission	comprehensive	130:19
come 12:11 17:23	135:20	116:1 133:1	consider 84:10
26:4 33:24 35:1	commissioner	computer 5:4,20	considered 11:24
36:19 51:1 57:23	128:16 129:6,9	concentrating	69:19 78:24
63:23 72:14 94:6	132:6	9:20	considering
103:21	committed 93:20	concern 78:10	115:19
comes 9:6 28:17	93:22	81:1,2 132:21	consistent 4:1 7:1
32:10 34:4 62:13	commonwealth	concerned 60:12	86:14 96:11
83:23 88:2	4:4 135:2,7	82:17 112:22	114:13 133:3
coming 19:17	community 2:5	concerning 4:3	constantly 87:9
23:14 32:4 41:16	80:4 110:24	concerns 7:1,1	constraints 59:13
49:4 68:16 75:24	compare 87:19	79:7 84:18 96:12	119:5
85:21 94:7 103:14	compared 39:4	98:9 108:21 109:1	construction
122:3	40:15 41:8 71:23	109:4 132:17	81:22 94:11,21
comm 93:19 95:2	87:13 106:6	concert 98:12	125:14 132:16,18
comment 4:20	120:23	concerted 59:10	133:24
5:18 6:2,5 25:6	comparing 25:1	concessions 90:6	consultant 13:23
55:7,19 64:18	comparison 26:13	conclude 5:16	consultants 109:2
70:20 71:7,15,15	28:20 33:17 44:19	15:21	132:5
91:10 94:10	49:12	concrete 51:8	consulted 13:13
100:15,17 108:23	comparisons	condition 39:21	content 7:9
109:17 114:8	18:16,17,19,20	132:19 134:1	context 59:8,11
122:19	19:6 21:12 28:10	conditions 14:4,11	62:1,2,3 65:16
commented 85:12	32:3 36:21 37:4	41:7 86:11,14	78:11,16,19,24
112:17	39:6 43:23	118:22 129:19	94:23 95:2 98:19

100:6 101:18	corners 48:5	cross 103:3,4	67:18 68:1,2
118:16	cornice 62:16	crosswalks 27:4	77:13 96:5
continue 103:20	corona 112:7	cruiser 109:12	darker 25:11,14
103:23	correct 7:16	cube 18:6	34:13 94:1
continues 86:3	126:16 134:2	cubic 44:23,24	date 115:12
continuing 69:9	corridor 23:21	120:23	dates 115:11
98:8 114:17 134:8	36:7	cue 6:13	130:12 131:11,14
continuity 7:6	cost 94:11	curb 102:23 103:1	datum 36:19
continuously	costs 83:7	103:9	david 2:22 105:22
123:8	counsel 109:21	curious 92:7	106:2,2 108:16
contractor 134:5,6	count 20:7,8 43:21	current 4:3 44:3	109:24 113:4,5,14
contractor 134.5,0	45:5,8	59:5 63:11 69:11	day 64:6 106:19
contrasting 96:22	couple 17:16,23	128:14	135:14
contributed 110:3	32:24 47:6 50:23	currently 102:23	deadline 7:14,20
control 104:21	51:3 53:8 55:8	customers 103:3	17:20
convening 4:20	77:6 85:2,5	104:11	december 17:15
conversation	course 64:13	cut 102:23 103:1,9	44:1
18:15 21:21 54:21	85:23 99:19	cvr 135:18	decide 127:15
conversations	court 113:15	d	decision 7:21
21:5 79:22	135:5		101:8 133:2
convinced 63:7	cover 5:12	d 3:1	deck 43:11
64:2 127:2	coverage 97:10	danesh 2:21,22	decreased 86:6
convincing 94:17	covid 4:4,7 125:12	71:4,4,18 97:23	deemed 26:23
cooking 101:2	create 22:19 25:23	102:7,11,12,14	33:4
117:21	26:12 30:6 33:14	105:22 106:2,3	deepest 11:12
coolidge 106:8,9	33:24 34:19 35:17	109:24 113:4,14	defined 57:24
106:16	36:2 48:2 52:19	118:5,6 121:24	definite 32:11
coordinated 95:17	55:24 56:9 86:14	122:1 123:7,22	35:11
copper 73:11	created 31:10	125:10 126:19,21	definitely 30:16
corey 95:4	47:21 48:7,8	126:22 130:5,6	35:9 48:1 75:17
corner 25:2,11	creates 24:12,14	133:22,23 134:4 134:17	117:6
26:6,15 27:3,5	creating 23:14		degree 10:10
28:5,6 33:11	25:8,15,15 26:3	danger 82:3,14 danny 2:21 71:4	33:10 68:22 99:9
35:10 36:20 37:24	27:2 28:5 34:7,12	71:13 102:7,11,12	101:23
38:6,9 40:10	35:10,11 82:14	102:14 118:5,6	degrees 38:21,22
41:15,24 42:13,14	creative 57:19,24	102:14 118:3,0	39:1 40:19,24,24
42:17 46:8 48:22	58:1 62:6	121.24,24 123.22	deli 110:15,17
49:1 60:1 67:14	creatively 58:21	125.10 120.19,21	deliveries 103:2
70:5 72:20 87:6	critical 61:21 69:6	133:22,22	103:13 106:24
93:13 98:10	critiques 96:2	· ·	107:1
The state of the s	1	Hark Thild IXIII	1
	•	dark 26:14 28:17 42:1 51:22 67:5	

[delivery - dozens] Page 8

delivery 103:21,22	detail 32:16 62:17	59:23 62:21 69:20	disingenuous
delta 128:22	133:8	70:6 94:12 96:15	77:24
density 57:20,20	detailed 18:2	96:21 119:19	disjointed 67:8
57:21 62:1,7,12,23	35:21 62:5	differential 129:2	dispute 49:23
65:6	details 7:24 30:1	difficult 108:10	distance 60:18
deny 85:20	64:14 74:24	dimensional 74:8	distancing 4:8
department 12:20	122:23	dimensions 38:11	distant 61:19
14:21 15:2 78:5	developed 123:9	39:13	distinctive 35:11
104:20 116:2	developer 7:5	diminished 10:10	distinctly 27:16
132:4	59:10 87:14 88:14	direct 39:5	29:19 32:17 34:20
department's	89:6,10 90:1	direction 23:6,8	distribution
14:23	91:18,21 101:12	68:19,24 70:22	134:14
depends 116:12	101:19,23 102:4	81:24 82:12,24	disturb 118:4
depicted 81:18	106:3 107:16	83:6 91:11 93:21	dividend 122:17
depth 19:16 23:15	109:18,20 112:12	109:18 112:11	division 64:11
30:8 133:3	113:23 115:5	114:18	109:3,6
depths 30:9	130:1	directly 105:10	document 19:2
described 80:24	developer's 81:19	director 13:16	documents 39:23
114:19	126:10 127:24	disagree 67:24	70:1
deserves 94:18	developers 43:14	disappear 36:14	doing 26:13 28:20
design 21:2,22	79:18 82:19,20	disappears 36:16	36:9 37:16 57:16
26:12 32:5 36:2	83:1 85:19 89:13	disappointed	57:24 58:1 61:17
38:23 54:12 61:12	101:13,22 102:1	91:20	89:13 97:2 102:1
64:16 66:17,19	developing 124:3	disaster 105:19	108:3 125:19
73:14 75:20 77:9	development 2:5	discourage 98:13	donuts 117:11,24
77:19,22 80:24	70:13 73:19 78:12	discouraged 87:3	door 28:24 39:22
81:4 85:6 88:5,5	78:17 85:4 94:19	88:13 90:1	64:8 75:18 94:8
93:21,22 94:12,14	99:23 102:12	discuss 49:3	dopazo 2:20 17:6
95:12 96:1 100:11	118:7 122:1	113:10 115:19	108:16 113:5
100:12 114:18	developments	126:8 128:18	116:17,21 117:13
120:13 128:13,14	57:8,18,23 78:16	discussed 47:11	118:1 119:9 120:1
133:12	diagram 13:22,24	71:9 122:8	120:5,12,18 125:4
designed 102:20	difference 11:15	discussing 102:16	126:13,17 130:8
104:4,24 105:13	15:15 28:16 36:24	discussion 29:23	130:14,21 131:8
designs 66:20	44:12 61:2 80:14	47:14 49:8 55:13	dotted 28:12 34:22
76:13	differences 18:22	55:15 65:4 68:13	double 101:10,15
desire 18:24 20:3	different 10:4,6,7	72:16 91:10 92:18	106:7 108:7 113:8
despite 85:15	20:16,21 22:14	discussions 6:21	113:19
destination 12:14	27:16 29:19 32:4	57:13 114:4	doubt 68:10
117:2	32:17 33:1 34:20	dishonest 90:2,3	dozens 47:17
	44:16 48:4 59:13		

[dpw - expensive] Page 9

J 12.24 14.7 11	economics 24:5	an an are 65.10	
dpw 13:24 14:7,11		energy 65:19	evening's 75:1
dramatic 67:22	91:14 125:8	engage 6:1 61:24	everybody 19:12
draw 71:2	edge 21:20 37:1	engaged 92:17	22:1 24:1 45:18
drawing 52:11,24	42:9 43:2	engaging 30:15	56:20 125:13
68:7 88:18	edit 58:8	36:1 97:20	everyone's 98:20
drawings 14:14	effect 25:9 55:16	engineer 14:10	exact 69:5
50:16 63:11 88:16	effective 5:13	engineering 13:16	exactly 41:18
88:22 89:1	16:21 24:21	enhance 64:19	62:15 106:10,12
drive 43:13 51:5	effectively 24:13	ensure 5:14	exaggerate 124:18
81:24 82:13	efficient 75:5	enter 6:9	example 90:21
103:17	effort 54:7 59:10	entered 8:9	110:5 117:14
drivers 108:6	98:23 99:9	entering 36:6	examples 62:19
driveway 10:22	eight 32:22 36:23	entertaining 117:4	78:1,18
13:20 35:3 36:11	38:10 121:22,23	entire 21:17 60:10	excellent 67:23
87:10 108:4,11	either 8:16 13:20	63:13 69:3 110:8	exception 51:14
driveways 13:17	51:7 97:22 124:24	entirely 4:14 46:7	114:7
13:17	125:2	58:23	exchanging 93:15
driving 82:9,12	electric 63:19,22	entrance 25:19	exclusive 119:4
102:9,18 104:11	117:18	29:13,14,18 30:14	excuse 13:15
104:15	element 26:6	104:7 105:2	89:21
drop 98:9 103:19	54:12	entrances 106:15	executive 4:2
dropped 103:15	elements 20:14	entry 25:12 27:3	exercise 58:4
dropping 106:22	elephant 115:5	environment	existing 36:10
dudley 80:15	elevation 16:15	80:16	38:15 40:18,22
due 4:4 10:8	22:7 30:17,23	especially 8:15	114:6
108:19	31:9 52:24 55:9	20:20 22:4,6 24:1	exists 25:14
dunkin 117:11,23	60:10 63:10,13	39:13 73:9 77:10	101:17,18
duration 111:7	64:4 65:13 72:15	90:11 106:19	exit 27:8 48:18
e	73:6	115:16	103:2,3
e 2:2,2 3:1,1 135:1	elevators 23:22	essentially 61:5	expanded 121:14
135:1	eliminate 8:12	62:8	expect 129:3
earlier 10:17	22:20 63:20	establishment	expectation 16:20
26:22 114:14	eliminating 65:6	92:6 116:24	expectations
126:23 129:20	emergency 4:3	estate 80:4 122:10	128:19 133:11
easement 13:9	emphasizing 73:3	estimation 15:14	expecting 116:13
east 61:2	encourage 114:17	etcetera 65:21	116:13,14,20
	ended 70:14 111:9	96:20	expense 69:16
easy 84:4,6 91:2 124:15	111:9	evaluate 41:20	85:15
	ends 115:15	evaluated 12:19	expensive 91:15
echos 66:19	129:15 132:3	evening 3:2 74:21	91:22 94:21 96:18
economic 124:1,5		134:16,18	
124:11		,	

experience 28:15	factor 98:15	91:16 96:11	finally 5:11 6:3
31:23 93:11	fair 105:7	100:10	104:2
105:14 125:19	fairly 133:2	feeling 22:1,6	find 6:10 54:1
expires 135:20	fall 94:6	118:24	70:23 74:5 77:24
explained 126:19	familiar 58:13,18	feels 24:2 29:15	89:4 90:10 91:21
exploring 70:16	73:1,5 93:16	30:15 32:16	fine 9:20 47:8 50:4
exposed 56:4	95:14 98:18	feet 11:12,19 12:7	55:9 83:3 100:17
exposure 40:5,15	families 83:17	13:8 23:11 32:22	112:4 130:5,6
extended 21:20	family 9:23 11:6	33:20 36:24 38:10	fined 103:17
extension 129:21	36:5 93:12 95:3	38:12,12 39:8,10	finish 117:20
extensions 7:22	family's 110:2	40:2,3,18 44:7,9	fire 14:21,22,23
17:19 87:16	far 24:11,19 26:20	44:11,12,23,24	110:19,20
extensive 14:7,9	51:22 60:11 74:4	45:16,17 47:17	first 19:9 37:10
extent 75:1	84:8	92:5 102:24	38:16 46:4 53:9
exterior 38:9	fashion 58:21	112:21,21 117:1	71:17 72:3 102:10
42:21 43:10,13	father 82:16	120:14,23 121:21	110:1
externalities 84:3	favor 6:16 74:20	128:24 129:2	fitness 29:10 37:18
extra 29:17 88:17	75:16 76:6,19	felt 8:10 16:20	42:2,4
extreme 81:20	favorably 119:13	22:2 24:1 32:1	fits 100:10
extremely 63:2	favoring 49:6	33:12 44:18 52:3	fitting 56:3 98:19
eye 58:9	favorite 64:12	77:12	100:6 115:21
f	feasability 15:19	fence 30:5	five 9:2 36:18 80:5
f 135:1	feasibility 132:4	ferdinand 58:17	89:8 93:17 100:9
fabric 73:2	feasible 126:20	58:19,24 59:4,6,8	107:6 114:3,5
facade 11:11	feature 4:19	62:19 80:11,15	120:4,5,7,8,10
20:20 26:6,11	february 6:19	99:1	fix 61:13
	<u> </u>		
33:3 34:3 51:4	8:11 18:21 19:5	fewer 114:16	flat 26:17 31:12
33:3 34:3 51:4 56:10 69:16 99:20	19:18 38:23 44:3	fiber 56:4 96:19	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24
56:10 69:16 99:20	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1 84:2 87:23	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7 96:3 119:20	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13 36:14 43:2 45:3	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8 floor 5:24 8:18,20
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1 84:2 87:23 faces 91:19	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7 96:3 119:20 129:11	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13 36:14 43:2 45:3 48:15,21 120:9	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8 floor 5:24 8:18,20 9:12,13 10:20,20
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1 84:2 87:23	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7 96:3 119:20 129:11 feel 11:14 19:3	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13 36:14 43:2 45:3 48:15,21 120:9 figure 24:10 43:17	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8 floor 5:24 8:18,20 9:12,13 10:20,20 11:11,13,21,22
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1 84:2 87:23 faces 91:19 facing 9:13 20:23	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7 96:3 119:20 129:11 feel 11:14 19:3 20:21 25:9 29:18	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13 36:14 43:2 45:3 48:15,21 120:9 figure 24:10 43:17 fill 38:7 43:17	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8 floor 5:24 8:18,20 9:12,13 10:20,20 11:11,13,21,22 12:13,14 19:21
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1 84:2 87:23 faces 91:19 facing 9:13 20:23 31:8 32:18 46:9	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7 96:3 119:20 129:11 feel 11:14 19:3 20:21 25:9 29:18 30:23 34:20 36:18	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13 36:14 43:2 45:3 48:15,21 120:9 figure 24:10 43:17 fill 38:7 43:17 filled 37:17	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8 floor 5:24 8:18,20 9:12,13 10:20,20 11:11,13,21,22 12:13,14 19:21 23:19,20 25:5
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1 84:2 87:23 faces 91:19 facing 9:13 20:23 31:8 32:18 46:9 46:19 72:23 fact 24:4 68:14	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7 96:3 119:20 129:11 feel 11:14 19:3 20:21 25:9 29:18 30:23 34:20 36:18 37:1 42:5 46:11	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13 36:14 43:2 45:3 48:15,21 120:9 figure 24:10 43:17 fill 38:7 43:17 filled 37:17 final 14:14 100:8	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8 floor 5:24 8:18,20 9:12,13 10:20,20 11:11,13,21,22 12:13,14 19:21 23:19,20 25:5 26:21 29:11 32:21
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1 84:2 87:23 faces 91:19 facing 9:13 20:23 31:8 32:18 46:9 46:19 72:23	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7 96:3 119:20 129:11 feel 11:14 19:3 20:21 25:9 29:18 30:23 34:20 36:18 37:1 42:5 46:11 46:21 49:11,12	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13 36:14 43:2 45:3 48:15,21 120:9 figure 24:10 43:17 fill 38:7 43:17 filled 37:17 final 14:14 100:8 107:14 126:10	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8 floor 5:24 8:18,20 9:12,13 10:20,20 11:11,13,21,22 12:13,14 19:21 23:19,20 25:5 26:21 29:11 32:21 32:21,23 33:6,7,20
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1 84:2 87:23 faces 91:19 facing 9:13 20:23 31:8 32:18 46:9 46:19 72:23 fact 24:4 68:14 78:20,21 88:24	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7 96:3 119:20 129:11 feel 11:14 19:3 20:21 25:9 29:18 30:23 34:20 36:18 37:1 42:5 46:11 46:21 49:11,12 56:4 73:15 79:4	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13 36:14 43:2 45:3 48:15,21 120:9 figure 24:10 43:17 fill 38:7 43:17 filled 37:17 final 14:14 100:8	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8 floor 5:24 8:18,20 9:12,13 10:20,20 11:11,13,21,22 12:13,14 19:21 23:19,20 25:5 26:21 29:11 32:21 32:21,23 33:67,20 33:21,23 34:5,13
56:10 69:16 99:20 116:9,10 133:7,13 face 23:16 33:19 35:12,13 51:1 84:2 87:23 faces 91:19 facing 9:13 20:23 31:8 32:18 46:9 46:19 72:23 fact 24:4 68:14 78:20,21 88:24 90:9 111:21	19:18 38:23 44:3 92:21 fedex 103:12,21 107:12 108:6 feedback 66:7 96:3 119:20 129:11 feel 11:14 19:3 20:21 25:9 29:18 30:23 34:20 36:18 37:1 42:5 46:11 46:21 49:11,12	fiber 56:4 96:19 field 66:14 fifth 9:12,13 23:10 23:19 26:21 32:23 33:6,20,21 34:5,13 36:14 43:2 45:3 48:15,21 120:9 figure 24:10 43:17 fill 38:7 43:17 filled 37:17 final 14:14 100:8 107:14 126:10	flat 26:17 31:12 91:24 flatter 40:14 flexibility 41:19 flip 38:7 floating 25:9 71:8 floor 5:24 8:18,20 9:12,13 10:20,20 11:11,13,21,22 12:13,14 19:21 23:19,20 25:5 26:21 29:11 32:21 32:21,23 33:6,7,20

[floor - go] Page 11

37:13,14,18 38:4	footprint 14:18	94:18 109:17	general 42:19
38:17,17,17 39:7	forgive 117:10	113:22 114:9	57:19
40:4 41:13 43:2,5	fork 50:12	115:7,8 128:11	generally 11:13
43:7 44:14,22	form 72:14 101:2	133:21	60:5 61:12 68:16
45:2,3 47:4,13,22	forma 43:19	g	generate 5:22
48:15,21,22 55:10	127:13 128:2	g 3:1	gentleman 111:12
70:20 86:4 96:6	format 16:15	gallimore 86:22	geometry 15:21
99:7 100:17	45:13	86:24	gesture 31:13
107:19 114:7,23	forth 132:9,11	garage 36:11 50:7	60:16 61:9 62:2
119:1,24 120:2,10	forward 23:7 39:7	50:20 52:4 64:8	gestures 65:16
120:10,11 121:2	76:2	83:5 97:3 105:4	getting 31:10 40:6
121:13,16,17,19	foundation 15:2	105:10 106:16	62:6,22 68:15
122:3 126:15,18	116:6 129:6 132:6		83:4 93:24 108:4
127:2	132:12	107:5,9,10	132:12
floors 8:12 9:5	four 13:8 35:18	garbage 107:10,11 107:23,24 108:3	gilbert 2:20 17:6
19:19 23:11 34:7	36:19 56:22 61:5	'	108:16 113:5
37:23 39:2 44:20	69:7,8 70:6 80:6	gas 63:12,20 geller 2:13 3:2,3	116:17,21 117:13
48:13 62:8 86:2	84:13,14,14 89:23	3:10,12,14,18,21	118:1 119:9 120:1
90:6 121:13	93:17 107:6		120:5,12,18 125:4
122:21	122:20	7:17 8:8,9,20 9:9 9:12,15,19 15:23	126:13,17 130:8
fly 21:24	fourth 48:21	16:4,7,10,16,17	130:14,21 131:8
focal 36:2	frame 60:6 95:6	17:1 46:2,24 53:6	give 35:4 56:17
focus 19:17 20:4	framework 72:18	55:4 56:14 65:24	102:4 119:10
58:10 61:14 62:13	frankly 6:24 101:7	69:22 72:7,12	121:18 129:9,11
74:24	123:24	74:15 75:11 76:20	given 60:18 88:12
focused 44:21	friendly 36:1	79:9 84:19 86:20	90:10 100:21
focusing 27:7	friends 80:3	91:6,9 92:12,15	119:4
44:19	front 50:24 78:14	95:21 98:17	giving 74:23
folks 98:3	119:12 131:4	102:10,13 106:1	glad 80:10
follow 5:10 7:19	fronts 31:7	108:24 109:16	glass 34:9 42:3
15:16 19:1	fulfilling 79:5	112:10,14 113:21	68:9
followed 93:23	full 57:10	112:10,14 113:21	glow 115:2
following 130:16	fuller 9:14 67:7	116:3,16,20 117:8	go 8:4,5 18:10
food 92:6 106:23	87:8	117:23 118:15	19:14 23:7 31:5
107:1 110:21	functionally 99:17	117.23 116.13	37:16 38:6,11
111:1 116:24	functions 37:21	126:1,6,16 127:9	39:18,19,20 43:1
117:6,9,10	furniture 58:20	127:17 128:4	43:15 48:11,24
foods 117:12	further 18:1 19:1	129:24 130:4	49:11 51:4,22
foot 101:1	25:18 27:6 29:12	132:14,24 133:14	56:24 57:4,10
footage 42:15 44:6	31:5 35:6 36:15	132:14,24 133:14	72:12 82:23 85:13
120:24 123:19	42:22 68:24 70:16	133:10,20 134.2,9	91:15 92:4 97:21
		137.10	

[go - head] Page 12

102:5 103:24	61:10 63:8 74:12	70:19 74:23 96:6	63:19 75:18 77:10
104:5 106:14,18	78:4 81:4 84:10	100:14,17 114:23	86:18 124:10
107:8 108:1 118:3	85:8 90:13,13	121:2,13,16,17	127:3,4
118:17,21 121:15	91:2 94:7 95:19	127:2 133:13	hard 26:16 50:17
124:6 129:14	108:17 111:20	group 9:24 10:17	58:12 91:1 129:19
130:20	124:1,2 134:18	15:9 47:12 49:3	132:8
goal 40:11 62:22	gotten 7:22 87:18	86:9	harding 2:19 18:1
goes 23:22 27:3,24	88:11 121:13	groups 10:17	18:4,6 40:11 48:1
31:5 41:17 72:2	governor 4:1	grow 55:21 85:8	48:17 49:9 50:17
101:4	governor's 4:12	97:6	50:21 51:24 52:19
going 7:13 11:23	grade 26:4 71:21	guarantee 54:23	53:2,12,18 54:5,20
12:4 18:8,10	grant 127:15	guess 11:8 29:9	55:3,17 69:23
19:11 22:13 23:6	132:24	33:8,18,23 48:3	87:22 97:14 120:3
24:22,23 29:7	graphical 54:7	49:17 50:20 55:18	120:3,8,16,21
37:3,4 38:5,6,10	graphics 19:9	68:3 69:23 78:8	121:5,11
39:18 40:6,17	gray 26:15 28:17	86:24 87:4 96:24	harvard 1:7 9:3,13
42:11 43:7 46:8	34:14 42:1 46:10	98:12 100:17	9:21 11:5,5 12:23
47:9 51:6,8,10,16	46:11 66:3 67:5	123:7 126:7	13:4 15:3 18:11
51:22 53:20 54:23	70:8,8,11,13,17,17	127:16	21:14 22:10 24:2
55:15 64:2 66:10	72:1,4 77:12	guidelines 7:18	24:12 25:3,16,24
68:9 73:19 74:4	85:14 96:5,8,12	78:11	26:20 28:4,7,14
74:18,19 75:8,17	100:13	guiding 73:18	30:10,21 31:22
76:5,8,23 79:10	great 3:21 17:1	guys 29:23	36:6 37:6 39:16
81:23 82:1 86:21	19:12 46:24 72:7	h	39:20 40:17 45:4
87:2 91:16 92:1	73:17,21 76:14	hair 77:4	48:12,14 49:7,13
94:6 97:8 100:11	96:17 100:1	half 11:12 32:22	57:2,8 59:12,16
100:19 105:6,8	105:23 118:15	36:24 38:10 80:23	63:15 67:6 71:1
106:21 109:5,11	123:22 133:20	99:19 123:10,11	81:8,17 82:5,14
109:13 110:7	134:6	hallway 42:5	87:24 99:16
111:7 113:7,9,12	green 54:17 55:14	hand 46:22 135:13	102:22,24 103:15
113:16,19 114:12	55:18 56:11 70:8	handing 109:12	103:20,21 104:1,3
116:24 117:1	97:2,10 115:3	hanging 50:14,19	104:4,8,10,14,23
118:20 119:18,18	greenery 54:4,18	51:19	105:12,20 106:11
120:14 122:9,11	55:19 64:19 97:16	happen 84:16 87:9	106:12,14 112:17
124:17 125:3	ground 5:12 8:18	108:13	116:7,10 123:10
126:11 127:14	8:19 10:19 11:22	happened 44:22	125:7,16 131:18
128:11,12 130:7	12:13 19:21 25:5	98:3 110:18	hat 125:21
130:10 132:10	26:9 29:10 37:12	happening 41:22	hate 29:23 90:7
133:16	37:17 38:4 44:14	106:12 107:6	hazard 98:15
good 3:2 21:5	44:22 55:10 61:16	happy 17:24 29:24	head 16:1 122:4
48:19 50:10 57:23	63:10 65:8 68:23	45:18,21 54:18	
		,	

[health - initial] Page 13

health 12:20 15:2	helped 22:5 61:4	housing 75:22	impossible 79:19
108:20 113:12	helpful 121:10	124:14	_
	_		improve 8:13,23 9:11 19:20 20:22
116:2 132:4	helping 27:4 111:8	huge 58:2 59:7	9:11 19:20 20:22 55:14
hear 3:6 45:22	helps 5:22 35:17	122:9,11	
71:11 98:8	37:8 61:10	hypocrisy 89:23	improved 77:10
heard 20:2 54:15	hereunto 135:13	i	99:24
85:23 86:8 102:8	heros 124:11	icon 6:10,11	improvement
109:9 112:23	hi 56:19 112:14	idea 48:24 69:3	10:11 41:9 67:16
118:5,7 119:19,20	123:5	91:23 93:11,11,15	99:7
132:17,21	high 29:14 125:14	114:4	improvements
hearing 1:6 3:23	higher 62:23	ideal 103:7	75:20
4:13,19,20,23,24	highlight 28:11	identified 21:16	improves 9:16
5:9,9,14 6:4,6,19	39:14 65:1	identifier 35:17	improving 85:6
7:3,8,9,14,21 8:11	historic 58:19	identifies 4:22	incarnation 59:5
9:17 11:3 17:15	history 19:1	identify 3:22 6:7	include 4:16
19:5,18 33:2 49:5	hit 40:8	idle 87:7	included 12:7 14:8
49:10 74:18 75:1	hold 5:18 19:5		29:5
95:1 97:18 101:18	76:16 113:18	idling 82:7,8 98:9	includes 94:12
113:24 115:23	131:14	ignore 114:22	95:1
116:12 118:20	holding 50:8 64:7	115:5	including 68:5
119:19 126:7	118:13 131:10	ignored 86:3	85:19 109:3
129:12,16 130:12	home 103:14	image 20:15 22:3	income 122:15
132:23 133:9,17	111:24 112:2	24:12,24 25:2	incorporate 29:20
134:8,10,13,14	honestly 36:2	27:18 28:9 39:4	incorporated 30:2
hearings 7:4 55:8	66:11	40:22 48:18 58:7	45:22
74:18 88:21	hope 77:13 85:16	images 22:21	increase 39:1
129:18,18,23	hopefully 7:11	27:20	99:14
131:21	29:8 39:19	imagine 47:17	increasing 41:6
heavier 25:15	hoping 17:20 29:6	105:18 133:11	incredibly 17:18
heeded 9:5	45:20 89:15 97:21	impact 9:16 10:8	99:11,24
height 8:20 28:4	horizontal 60:17	11:15 59:21 86:10	indian 111:22
41:17 72:4 80:12	61:5 71:23	88:8	indicate 63:12
80:13 108:19	horizontally 31:11	impacted 90:23	indicator 35:9
112:21 123:20	horse 23:7	impede 55:24	individual 8:6
	host 6:12	impedes 90:20	30:24 56:8 95:16
127:16 128:24		implement 109:5	
129:1	hot 118:13	implied 30:18 51:2	95:18
held 10:1	house 36:11 38:13	importance 81:21	infiltration 14:17
hello 112:15	39:12,22 110:11	important 56:23	information 18:2
help 5:13 30:12	111:13 112:4	59:20 60:15 63:2	infuriating 89:5
63:20 82:21,23	houses 66:6,16,16	75:23 80:20 98:1	initial 16:13 44:11
101:20	78:21 95:6	101:9	44:23 99:15

[input - know] Page 14

	T	I	I
input 127:20	109:11 113:9	jump 11:8 17:4	kids 82:16
inside 28:22,23	119:16	19:13 67:1	kind 19:13 20:15
29:2 41:17	issues 6:24 14:13	june 112:16	20:24 21:7,11,23
installation 64:22	108:24 113:11	k	22:5,9,13,21 23:12
installing 55:12	items 17:9	kate 2:15 3:8	23:14 24:1 25:2,9
instance 12:3	iteration 31:15	15:24 16:2 46:3,4	25:12 26:15,16
intense 101:3	44:13 45:1 128:10	46:24 60:8 65:24	27:4,12,13 28:11
intensity 12:2,11	iterations 11:1	72:17 91:12 92:12	29:9 30:7,10,17
intent 101:3	19:2 27:24 44:1	112:13,14 113:7	31:8,11,18,19 32:3
intention 42:3	iterative 7:10	113:21 123:5,23	32:4,7,14 33:9,10
48:3	16:12	125:11	34:3,5,6,11,13,15
intentional 33:12	i	kate's 60:8 67:3	34:16,17,18 35:2
interest 54:2	jarring 67:18	keep 18:8 30:12	35:15 36:7,10
114:11	jennifer 2:20 17:3	35:19 42:8 46:15	37:10,19 38:12,14
interested 46:16	18:4 97:22 109:17	110:7,13 121:18	38:19,21 39:10,12
111:6	130:4,11 133:20	keeping 22:16	40:20 41:15,24
interesting 56:21	jesse 2:13 3:3	105:16	42:2,6,10,20,23
58:6 79:15 83:13	24:15 53:4 72:9	keeps 85:6 122:3	43:10 44:15,19,21
interim 10:15 21:9	74:14 113:18	kent 131:10	47:8 50:15,23,24
126:11	114:10	kenwood 8:15	51:4 54:10 55:20
internally 54:11	jewish 110:24	9:16,22 10:9,19,23	56:7 57:17 60:3
intersection 13:17	joanna 86:22,22	13:12 20:20 21:10	61:18,19 62:20,21
80:17 82:4,15	job 64:3 91:2	21:15,19 22:7	64:21 65:20 68:20
106:17 108:7	jogged 34:18	23:12,14 24:13,16	70:14 71:1,8
interstitial 73:22	jogs 34:17	26:3 28:7 31:21	73:14 81:21 98:11
introduce 5:15	johanna 2:14 3:12	32:8 33:16,19,21	101:19 102:15
102:10	16:7 53:7 55:7	33:22 35:1,3,6	106:20 109:22
introduced 11:17	71:20 72:17 95:22	36:10,18 37:11	kindly 81:12
115:17	115:6 127:1	38:13 42:13,14	kirrane 14:2
introducing 57:19	johanna's 64:18	46:9,18 52:13	kitchen 12:10,18
58:5 62:7,12	68:10 115:2	57:14 63:14 72:23	115:21 117:3
introduction 12:1			118:2
intruder 80:23	john 2:19 18:1,6 40:8 47:5 52:11	81:8 82:1,8 85:3 93:10 102:19	klock 76:9,10,12
invitation 5:9			76:12
invite 5:17 17:2	53:7,9 55:4 56:24	103:16,24 104:3,6	knew 77:3
involved 23:10	97:13 120:1,3,7,12	104:13,15,19 105:19 109:2	knife 31:18
33:2	126:3,5	kenwood's 38:13	know 13:14 17:3
involves 58:2	jonathan 119:23		17:12 20:13 24:18
iron 70:24	judi 127:12	kept 111:7	26:7 29:13,20,24
issue 12:2 22:5	judith 76:22,23,24	key 27:13	30:17 32:24 34:5
63:5 69:5 104:23	77:5	ki 117:17	34:11,12 35:8
			,

[know - locations] Page 15

37:21 38:18 39:9	127:17 129:22	66:13 104:18	listening 84:17
39:14 40:13 41:14	130:22	legitimate 60:20	literally 125:22
42:6,18 46:10	knowledge 135:12	letter 112:16,20	litigation 113:10
47:7,7,17 48:22	known 45:6	130:9	little 20:14 23:15
49:6 50:2 51:15	knows 122:10	letters 17:22	26:18 27:7,11,17
54:5,10,15 56:8	125:13	level 23:3 29:1	28:13 30:3,5
58:4,14,24 60:12	kosher 110:20,21	32:16 38:4 52:21	31:10,12,21 32:18
62:17 64:7,8,21	111:1	55:10 57:1 60:18	33:23 34:7,21
66:17 67:6,11,13	1	61:1,16 63:10	35:6 39:11 40:9
68:1,13 70:14,23		67:6,19 68:23	41:16,20 42:3,8,22
71:10,10 72:20	la 117:9,10	79:4 94:9 100:14	51:2 52:20,20
73:1,4,14 74:7	lady 81:13	133:13	54:17 55:22 56:6
75:14 79:23 80:1	landed 58:7	levels 42:11 44:5	59:4 67:8,17 71:7
80:1,3,6,8 81:18	lane 80:18 103:4	62:12	71:21 82:16 89:24
82:10,12,17,23	language 93:17	library 77:8	96:21 112:22
83:2,3,6,8,17,21	94:15 95:12	lies 89:24	121:14 132:8
83:21,24 84:3,4,7	large 18:10 58:19	lieu 20:12	live 10:2 23:24
84:9,14,15,16 85:7	88:7 99:11,24	life 57:11 117:16	77:5 80:21 84:1,9
85:9,18 86:8 87:4	larger 58:5 late 65:3	light 24:15 25:8,22	85:3 124:20,21,23
87:7,11,16,24 88:1	latest 128:10,10	33:15 40:5,15,20	lived 89:22
88:4,6,9,18 89:1,4	laugh 79:21	41:2 58:9 70:21	lives 90:12 111:13
89:9,15 90:11,11	launch 8:2	lighter 70:9 96:8	111:14
90:13,15,17 91:2	law 4:9,18	liked 52:8 91:18	living 11:19 115:2
91:13,14 92:7,24	laws 122:14	limitation 100:22	loading 12:23 13:3
93:8,18,20 94:11	lawyer 87:15	limited 12:16	13:4,14 27:19,20
94:16,19,20,22,24	layer 72:3	122:16	102:9,18 103:10
95:4,7,9,11 96:5	lead 17:5	line 23:21 26:16	103:10,22 112:19
96:18 97:3,5,6,7,7	leading 19:4	28:18 33:7 34:22	113:2
97:11 98:3 101:17	leads 78:7,10	35:11 38:12,13	loan 110:7
101:22,24 102:15	leans 70:13	39:8,10 40:3,14	lobby 37:19,20
102:15 104:20	lease 100:20	41:2 60:17 61:5	41:16,17 42:4
105:5,7,14,15	leasing 37:13 38:5	81:18 90:7 128:18	94:7,8 104:1
111:18 114:20	38:5	lined 75:6 76:19	106:15 107:8,10
117:5 118:11,12	leave 45:24 113:17	lines 21:10 28:12	located 93:4
119:3,15,17 122:4	113:20	28:18 56:8 74:7	102:22 105:2
122:5,7,7,9,11,16	leaves 87:1	lingering 98:8	locating 108:10
122:18,20,22	leaving 23:19	lining 53:22	location 4:11 33:9
123:1,17,23 124:1	27:10 36:4 52:4	lisa 85:3	100:1 108:11
124:3,3,15 125:8	lee 75:7,8,10,13	list 124:19 128:9	111:10
125:11,15,19,21	left 25:19,22 37:15	128:14,19,21	locations 33:13
126:9,23 127:5,7	38:9 42:7 50:11	129:3 133:16	

[long - materials] Page 16

long 4:15 9:21	117:17 120:19	m	market 110:23
17:18 76:1 110:23	130:21 133:6	ma 2:8	maroon 70:7
111:5	looks 43:15 47:21		marrying 62:21
longer 29:5	58:13 68:6 71:21	magic 116:16	mary 95:4
look 10:3,13 14:21	77:17,17,20 94:2	magnifier 40:9 mail 37:20	maso 84:22 85:1,2
15:18,20 19:18	98:24,24 99:1,2,19	main 37:20 main 26:5 37:21	masonry 58:11,14
22:16 23:4,13	127:3	42:13 113:11	61:21 66:9 67:13
35:5 39:16 49:18	loop 15:17		68:1 73:1,9,13,22
52:1 55:10 57:8	loose 115:15	maintain 64:11	74:1 93:17 94:2
57:11 62:18,19	129:15 132:3	maintained 20:6	96:10,17,18
63:2 64:3,5 66:22	lose 8:17 43:20	maintaining 37:21	100:14
67:15 68:2 69:15	92:3	37:22 54:4	mass 9:6,20 23:13
70:15 72:5,6 73:8	losing 8:23 24:4	major 26:1 43:7 93:13 94:17	26:5 27:5 28:5,12
76:1,14 77:15	loss 13:5		49:7 50:2 60:23
84:12 85:16 88:21	lost 43:6 44:23	majority 79:8	93:4 119:12
96:14 97:2 98:11	60:3 120:16,22	making 20:21 27:16 29:1 33:10	massachusetts
99:9 114:2 123:18	121:21	38:3 65:15 110:13	135:2,7
128:16	lot 18:1,2 19:3		masses 35:20,21
looked 10:5,16	21:4 32:4 44:13	management 64:22 132:17,19	massing 8:20,21
21:18 22:14,23	45:2,20 55:23	133:24	9:16,18,21 10:13
23:18,24 24:7	57:5,13,16 62:4,9	mandate 63:22	15:12 19:22 20:9
25:3 31:16,19	66:15 74:7 78:3	manner 4:1	22:10 24:14,20
32:24 38:16 43:24	90:17 98:22 99:12	march 1:16 4:2	25:13 26:19,23
44:2,17 59:2	100:4,11 105:5	10:1,16 21:4	28:2 31:8 33:6,12
60:13 69:2 70:6	110:3 118:5,7,11	130:13 131:18	34:15 35:15,24
87:6,18 98:2	119:19,20 123:18	132:3 133:17	36:12 42:16 47:24
114:1 119:11	love 22:24 29:23	134:8,11 135:14	49:13 60:4,5 61:1
looking 10:23 12:6	90:21	margins 122:24	68:15 121:12
19:19 20:5,22	low 40:13	maria 2:4 3:15	125:2 127:6
21:6,9,10,11,12	lower 35:20,20	6:12 7:21,23	mast 51:16
25:3 26:10 27:8	40:5,13 63:13	15:23,24 16:9,10	material 31:6
28:14 29:14,20	86:2 91:18 113:1	17:7 18:5 19:16	60:16 66:4 69:9
30:20 31:18,24	124:7,9	20:18 21:24 27:18	69:20 71:3 72:17
32:7,8 33:5 34:2	lowering 60:1	35:2 37:7 52:7	73:10 74:5 94:1
34:23 36:8,18,20	61:23	75:6 81:12 83:12	94:12 96:16
36:22 37:5 38:14	lowest 41:1	85:24 91:6 92:7	materials 4:13 5:6
38:22 44:4 47:18	lumber 125:14	115:10 126:2	6:21 26:12 27:1
48:13,17 59:1	lunch 107:2	127:9 131:9,20	46:8 65:3 68:23
65:1 68:4,11		maria's 7:13	78:13 95:8 96:4,8
69:12,13 93:9		marion 124:21	96:19 116:5,15
94:8 112:15		121.21	125:2 127:5

122 12 121 12	10.00.00.00.00.00.00		
132:12 134:13	49:23 50:19 51:12	mind 61:23 86:2	92:8 115:14 116:4
matter 64:14	52:10,23 53:3	mind's 58:9	116:19 118:19
69:16 88:19	71:13 72:9,13	mindful 50:1	119:7 126:3
matters 64:1	73:18 74:3,13	115:12	127:12 128:7
88:19,19	92:16 114:10	minimalist 77:20	130:2,7,10,17
matti 76:9,12,15	131:9,15,20,24	minimum 13:7	131:1,7,12,17,23
max 129:1	member 2:14,15	minor 27:5 28:7	132:2,15 133:5,15
maximized 121:17	2:16 5:17 77:7	42:18	133:19 134:3,7,18
maxx 27:8 48:18	members 3:4,6 5:7	minute 8:4	motherfuckers
mayhem 106:20	6:1,2,6,13,14 7:7	minutes 5:14,22	113:15
mean 18:20 47:23	15:24 74:19 85:12	missing 23:13	motions 5:18
48:2 49:24 51:6	91:10 109:17	128:18	mouth 88:3
51:12 67:12 74:3	112:11 114:7	misunderstanding	move 23:7 26:23
90:7,19 91:14	127:19 131:2	116:23	39:7 61:11 65:5
113:22 114:22	memo 14:7,9	mitigate 4:6	108:13 113:24
115:4 117:22	memorize 39:6	mitigation 58:3	120:12 127:10
125:1 130:19	mention 79:16	60:20	moved 8:19 82:11
meaningful 37:9	81:3	mix 20:7 45:12,12	moves 15:15 30:1
38:3 41:5 57:7	mentioned 17:10	53:11	31:8 33:12 83:5
meaningfully 9:21	17:21 81:9 83:11	mmorelli 2:10	moving 38:24 60:3
means 128:15	85:18 101:9	model 10:3 57:10	60:24 65:5 68:19
measurable 11:14	115:14 118:10	117:24	75:21 76:7 103:13
measurably 10:11	124:12	modeled 27:19	111:10 119:12
measure 58:2	message 83:12	modifications 26:8	multiple 21:10
measured 10:12	86:8	modulate 24:20	mute 5:20
measures 119:21	metal 73:11,23	modulation 27:6	mutually 119:4
mechanicals	74:1,1	28:8	n
112:22	meters 63:12,20	moment 127:18	
meet 4:14 8:13	method 15:2 62:12		n 2:2 3:1
14:1 52:6	116:6 129:7 132:6	mondays 130:22	name 3:7,15,22
meeting 4:9 22:18	132:13	money 79:19 80:5	5:17,19,24 6:7,8,9
77:7 79:17 97:24	metric 16:23	122:21	74:23 102:11
112:8	metrics 41:11	month 44:3	106:2 111:14
meetings 4:10	43:15	months 17:16	118:6
6:22 17:17 76:1	mice 107:24 108:1	103:7 111:4,4,5	narrow 80:18 81:6
131:4	michael 84:22,22	morelli 2:4 3:16	81:7
meets 71:24	84:24,24 85:2	3:17,20 7:16 8:2	narrower 72:1
meiklejohn 2:16	michigan 111:14	16:16,19 69:14	nature 57:22
3:10,11 8:22 9:5	middle 28:19	75:7,12,13 76:8,11	neatened 99:3
9:10,20 16:5 40:8	million 112:1	76:15,22 79:10,13	necessarily 18:15
47:2 48:11,19	112.1	84:20 86:21 91:8	100:24 132:23
17.2 10.11,17		01.20 00.21 71.0	

necessary 49:4	110:4,13 118:8	121:8 122:18	open 3:24 4:9 23:5
need 7:18 12:19	125:24	124:4	29:15 33:14 42:5
13:15 14:24 64:3	never 70:2 87:17		45:24 53:12 65:23
75:3,22 83:6 84:9	89:11,13 123:16	0	70:16 74:18
108:21 113:22,24	new 38:15 47:17	o 3:1	opened 17:14
116:4 117:19	53:10,24 57:20	object 77:21	39:15
128:5,16 129:5,14	58:23 76:2,3	100:13	opening 50:20,22
needed 7:23 41:21	86:18 112:3	objection 107:15	openness 37:1
110:23 111:3	nice 24:12 34:12	objections 78:3	operating 124:4
needing 13:9	35:9 48:9 54:2	observation 64:6	opinion 69:12
112:24	64:23 73:12 75:20	obvious 63:17	71:12 73:7 83:14
needless 87:2	97:16 118:14	obviously 29:22 36:15 40:21 57:5	93:4
needs 8:12	nights 131:5,6		opinions 113:23
negative 47:16	nine 103:6 111:5	74:8 98:3 103:5,7 occasions 111:15	opportunities
84:2	121:23		65:18
neighbor 24:16,21	noise 12:12	occupant 80:22 81:3	opportunity 60:3
31:21 40:2,19,23	norfolk 135:3		92:19
55:24 61:20,21	north 15:4 57:3	occupied 54:10 occurred 66:23	oppose 107:4,13
105:23 110:9,10	60:1 61:6,21 62:1	october 17:14	108:19
neighborhood	116:7,9	odors 12:12	opposed 91:23
8:14,15 9:14,23	northeast 48:22	offer 48:9 67:10	108:14,20
10:9 36:5 37:2	notarial 135:14	99:22 128:10	opposite 57:2
45:19 61:10,11	notary 135:6,19	offered 69:24	70:22
67:7 76:3,4 78:2	note 5:10 12:22	82:18	opposition 6:16
80:21 81:23 83:15	125:5	official 125:6	76:18,21 84:21
83:18,23 91:19	noted 9:12	officially 129:24	option 23:18
93:13 96:13 97:20	notes 65:2 77:15	offs 98:9	options 11:23 21:7
98:5,16 101:4,21	133:6	oh 52:16 64:17	68:11 69:13 70:6
102:3 109:22	notice 62:10 98:4	66:13 77:3 78:7	70:15
110:3 112:6	notion 62:6 100:16	84:6 88:22 120:5	order 4:2,6,12
neighborhoods	101:5	120:18	6:13
11:6	november 135:21	okay 13:3,3 56:15	oriented 89:20
neighboring 60:19	nubian 58:18	66:13 74:17	original 18:16,19
66:6 70:18 99:6	nuclear 84:6	111:23 112:1	43:24 45:13
neighbors 19:21	nuisance 98:15	113:4 127:12	120:13,15,22
20:2,4,23 37:7	number 6:23 39:5	131:16	originally 45:8
47:16,17 58:3	40:5 81:18 85:11	old 65:18	110:15
63:6 64:5 66:7	101:16 114:2	once 29:2 68:15	outbreak 4:4
76:2,3 79:8,21	115:4 120:14	93:22	outreach 97:21
86:16,19 97:19,24	numbers 39:7,11	ones 20:17 39:18	98:5 109:22 112:6
106:4 108:9 109:1	40:12 120:17	73:12	

[outside - play] Page 19

outside 26:9 27:12	parked 107:12	pending 113:7,10	persuasive 94:13
34:7 47:18 48:8	108:7	people 6:18 30:7	phone 5:20
98:2 104:5 107:2	parking 11:21	59:2 62:9 66:23	physical 4:8,11
107:10,11	13:5,15 15:18,19	73:4 74:20 75:6	57:10
outstanding	25:12 38:4 42:9	76:17 77:12 79:3	pick 23:6
109:21 131:3	82:5 89:16 104:15	79:3 80:4 81:17	picking 18:14
ovens 117:12,19	106:7 121:14,15	83:20,22,22,24	106:23,24
overall 8:5,10	121:18 132:4	84:1,17 85:6,18	pickup 104:2
20:17 34:15,16	part 12:15 21:22	88:20 89:3 101:11	105:2,9 107:5
38:11 44:4,6,10,22	34:6 58:10 68:12	101:16 105:6	picture 39:21
45:5,15 50:1 78:8	71:20,23 75:23	106:24 107:1	81:11
92:20 94:15,22	77:12,16,21 89:20	112:8 118:11	pictures 81:12
121:4,9,20,21	92:24 101:8 107:2	122:7 124:17,19	87:12
122:6 123:19	132:23	124:21,23	piece 33:24 34:19
overarching 100:2	partial 9:8	people's 54:13	56:9 69:10
overhang 37:15,17	partially 117:20	perceived 49:21	pieces 20:1,24
overhanging	participate 3:5	percent 86:7	24:11 28:8 32:4
25:20 28:16	participating 5:1	120:19,20,22	pillars 91:24,24
overshadow 78:20	participation 4:17	121:1,23,23	place 6:22 51:8,19
oversized 83:9	4:17 134:15	122:16	62:23 86:11 99:12
overwhelming	particular 77:21	perception 61:16	110:21 111:1
83:13,14	78:21	86:15,16	114:4
owned 111:16	particularly 67:14	perfect 47:11	plan 24:14 120:2
p	98:6 119:4	period 108:21	132:17,19 133:24
p 2:2,2 3:1	parts 95:16,19	126:11	plane 26:10 33:15
p.m. 1:17,17 134:9	pass 13:8	permanent 82:3	planned 12:4
134:11	passing 81:17	permit 5:12 63:24	planner 2:4
page 118:17	pattern 62:16	133:1	planning 2:5 78:4
pages 1:1	patterns 82:10	permitting 124:2	111:18 131:4
paid 63:8 110:10	pay 83:3 88:20	person 10:19 75:4	plans 10:1 17:2
pallet 96:4,9,21	112:1	84:21 110:14	29:11 41:13
panel 26:15 56:9	paying 56:20	112:8 124:18	plant 54:24 56:13
panels 56:5 62:16	peak 38:22	personal 114:1	planted 52:16 97:5
parallel 51:1	pedestrian 10:19	personally 22:23	planters 54:21
parapet 33:7	10:23	persons 3:4	97:14
34:16	pedestrians 13:8	perspective 10:23	planting 27:11
parapets 22:18	13:19 63:14 64:5	14:23 34:2 35:4	plantings 29:21
pardon 116:19	64:11 103:8	61:3,4 96:16	53:24 64:2
park 79:22 103:22	peer 15:13,16,18	127:23	plate 74:1
104:12,16	56:16 90:18	perspectives 10:6	play 8:21 10:3
		12:24 57:1	26:19 42:16

[play - property] Page 20

126:24	possible 40:13	presented 6:20	product 117:7
played 43:12	76:4 91:20 93:22	74:21	production 117:12
72:18	possibly 47:14	presenting 98:14	productive 21:3
playing 19:21	posted 4:12,21	preserve 59:7	profitable 122:11
please 3:7,15 5:2	potentially 118:3	pressed 58:12	profits 122:9
5:18,19,23 6:2,9	poverman 2:15	pressure 59:7,21	program 8:21
8:1 76:14 113:6,6	3:8,9 8:22,24 16:2	pretend 100:7	11:18 12:5,15
113:6	16:2 46:3,5 66:2	pretty 15:8 50:10	19:21,23 23:21
plumbing 14:19	91:13 92:13	87:3 88:2 109:13	43:18,18
plunk 81:5	112:13,13,14,15	prevented 112:7	programmatic
plus 112:21	113:16 123:5,5	previous 49:14	20:14
podium 51:6	125:23	95:1	progression 11:2
point 29:16 31:4	power 84:7 110:8	previously 28:21	project 6:17 7:11
32:12 36:3 40:21	110:11	32:23 67:17	9:3 11:2 15:5
41:24 53:21 58:8	practical 92:5	prices 125:14,14	24:24 54:16 56:15
61:16,22 65:22	practice 4:7	prior 3:23 7:1	58:7 69:19 76:6
78:7,15 80:20	preceded 75:4	69:24 96:1 97:1	83:8 86:11 97:1
87:11 88:16 92:2	precise 108:11	prioritize 131:17	97:12 99:10
92:9 93:9 102:5	preclude 12:10	prison 77:18	102:17 105:1
104:22 105:24	prefer 66:9 93:1	privacy 32:19	106:11 107:13,15
107:14 108:3,17	122:22	private 35:12	108:15,19,20
108:18 131:18	preference 85:12	54:13 99:18	113:3 117:16
132:1 134:12	85:14 92:2	pro 43:19 127:13	121:4,6,9 122:5
pointing 60:8	preferred 49:21	128:2	123:3,9,24 125:3
points 65:17,18	130:23	probably 12:14	125:12 126:20
74:10 109:14	preliminary 15:4	41:7 45:11 53:14	128:3,8
police 104:20	116:8 129:7	69:4 72:6 79:23	project's 77:24
109:12	prep 117:9,10	87:4 103:6 110:4	projects 11:4,7
poll 119:22	prepare 116:15	121:22	21:13 37:6 39:5
pollution 89:18	128:9,13	problem 105:11	71:2 75:24 117:15
porches 73:12	prepared 13:22	106:10 108:2,5	122:20,24 128:20
95:9	14:7 117:20 128:2	111:23 112:2	prominence 28:6
portion 42:20	132:20	123:7	promote 75:8 76:9
121:6	prepares 134:5	problems 76:5	76:23 79:11 86:21
position 113:18	presence 65:15	proceedings 135:9	promoted 75:8
126:18 127:24	present 3:6,13	135:11	76:24 77:1 84:23
positive 71:15	17:2 18:2 46:11	process 17:18	86:22
92:21 96:19	presentation	21:18 32:9 43:14	property 28:17,18
119:20	15:11 16:13 17:9	61:12 75:5 79:15	39:8,10 46:9
possibility 55:13	18:9,13,18 23:9	98:2 127:11	110:16
98:14	25:1 46:3 53:1,9		

proponent 7:11	purpose 46:10	quick 133:23	real 20:3 42:11
58:22	purposes 55:9	quickly 109:13	51:3 57:11 62:1
proportionately	pursuing 24:9	quite 17:22 25:9	80:4 94:11 122:10
9:19	push 24:11,19,19	36:24 50:15 68:1	realize 89:1,2
proportions 24:3	38:10 43:8 47:22	74:4 96:11 101:12	really 12:17 16:20
31:14 42:16,24	48:2 68:24	123:24	16:24 17:7,19,24
43:13 73:2	pushed 32:21	r	18:2 19:17 22:5
proposal 93:3	33:19,22 34:18		23:15,23 24:2,6,7
propose 84:5	43:2,4 90:16	r 2:2 3:1 135:1,5 135:18	26:7 27:1,4,8,12
129:1,11	pushing 23:23	railing 22:19,20	27:23 28:10 30:2
proposed 12:23	put 29:6 68:4 81:3	23:2 27:10	30:20,22 32:4,9
21:6 22:11 24:24	81:10,12 82:19,20	raise 46:22	33:5,14 34:19
52:12,14 80:17	85:14 90:19	raise 40.22 raised 7:2 56:8	35:17 36:14,17,24
85:4 95:12 100:19	102:15 104:17	108:24 113:9	37:8 39:14 41:15
125:7	106:15 107:20	115:1	42:23 44:21 46:12
proposing 30:5	120:16	raising 22:18	48:4 54:19 56:21
49:16 50:23	putting 83:2 89:7	ramp 28:23 41:16	56:22 57:7 59:4
130:13	q	42:4 94:5	59:16,19 61:4,22
provide 5:17	qualified 96:2	ran 70:3	62:13,23 63:16
10:15 19:1 54:1	qualifier 114:14	randolph 2:16	64:1,3,14,19 66:2
88:16 96:3 130:8	quality 29:14	3:10 16:4 25:7	68:8 69:7,8,11,12
provided 4:15 5:7	quarrel 112:18	47:1 52:1 53:6	73:3 74:12 75:11
17:18 88:24 98:12	quarters 99:20	59:24 71:6,10	75:21 78:6 85:22
provides 97:15	question 10:7 46:6	72:12 74:15 92:15	87:6,16,17 88:12
providing 54:21	47:10 49:24 50:5	95:21,24 96:3	89:2,20 90:9
proximity 15:3,6	51:23 53:10,23	113:22 114:8	99:13,17 104:22
116:6	66:11 67:2,3,5,23	126:23	105:7 108:17
public 1:6 4:9,15	68:10 72:10,15	randolph's 61:22	122:17,17 129:19
4:16,16,19,22 6:5	79:2 88:2 92:5	64:6 91:23	132:16
6:6,15 11:2 30:12 30:12 35:12 61:18	102:5 109:21	range 38:21	realm 35:18 61:18
	114:23 115:1	115:18,23 116:22	62:18 realtime 69:2
74:19 118:19 129:18 132:23	122:2 123:23	rationale 46:7	
129:18 132:23	126:9 127:10,18	reach 109:24	reappropriated 44:16
publicly 4:10	133:23	reached 89:11	rear 11:7 73:13
pull 63:23 99:15	questions 5:18	reaching 101:21	99:5 115:3
pulled 31:16 45:3	15:22,24 16:3,6,8	reaction 78:8	reason 33:8 71:20
88:9	19:3 46:1,4 47:2	read 78:11 87:1	77:4 81:2 103:16
pulling 23:10,20	53:4,7 65:23 66:1	reading 65:12	103:24 106:10
36:23 125:20	71:17 72:8 74:16	69:8	124:5
50.25 125.20	91:3 92:18 115:4	reads 34:6	141.5

reasons 59:6	reducing 99:7	relief 54:2 97:16	request 7:6,12
123:20 126:19	reduction 9:16	remain 29:10	50:3 114:1 126:8
reassess 43:18	86:7 99:5,12,14	125:14	126:12,14 128:2
recall 6:19	reeling 90:5	remains 11:21	requesting 97:1
received 6:14 98:4	reenvisioning	22:12 43:21	requests 6:13
recognizable	67:12	remarks 5:16	require 118:3
95:14	reference 74:9	remedy 77:13	128:24
recognize 91:1	referenced 9:2	remember 5:20	required 4:18
recommend 59:1	referred 11:4	47:13 60:13 69:2	14:18 128:21
68:4 128:8	referring 16:18	108:12 123:17	requirement 4:8
recommendation	26:22	remind 13:2 17:13	requirements 52:7
9:4	refine 29:24	74:22 80:11	79:6 133:4
recommendations	refined 95:15	125:18	research 125:22
109:5,10	refinements	reminiscent 66:5	reservation 100:2
recommended	133:12	remote 1:11	reserve 46:22
14:12	reflect 128:14	remotely 3:24	resident 103:19
reconnecting	reflects 128:9	4:14	residential 8:19
72:16	refuse 88:15	remove 85:14	19:23 24:5 25:19
reconsider 101:19	regard 14:6 15:9	126:14,18	27:15 29:18 32:17
record 8:10	regarding 12:21	removed 16:24	36:5 44:4,5,18
135:11	70:1 107:15 112:9	20:11 25:20 26:18	46:11,21 96:13
recorded 135:9	127:6 133:7,12,23	28:12 103:1,9	98:16 104:7,12
recording 5:5	regulation 79:6	removing 26:10	105:1,17 121:1,6,9
rectified 14:20	regulations 84:14	render 7:21	121:11
red 28:12 34:22	122:15	rendered 58:11	residents 42:8
35:23 67:18	reinforced 69:9	rendering 69:3	45:21 48:10 54:8
reddish 96:10	reinforcing 68:20	rent 19:24	63:18 103:11
redistributed	reiterate 96:24	rented 110:22	104:16,18 118:4
60:23	125:16	renting 111:6	resolve 95:19
redistributing	related 14:4 39:22	rents 125:12	resolved 93:5 94:4
59:22	65:19 67:2	repeat 75:3 114:16	respect 58:3 72:15
redone 58:21	relates 34:13,15	repeated 85:24	78:16,19 121:8
reduce 8:20,21	94:15	replicate 29:8	respond 3:7,15
19:22 20:8 86:1	relating 112:16,18	report 7:24 8:3	14:10 92:10 113:6
123:15	relationship 8:14	12:21 15:22 16:9	113:13 118:24
reduced 9:1,22	9:22 19:20 57:14	17:8 18:5 115:15	129:10
11:20 29:2 33:21	relationships 37:7	reporter 135:6	responding 5:23
44:6,8,10 45:6	38:14	representative	20:4 70:20
99:10 120:24	relegated 14:13	66:15	response 71:7,19
121:12,20 123:11	relevant 56:23	representing 18:7	95:20 102:6 116:1
	101:17 113:3	83:16	116:1 118:21

[response - see] Page 23

119:3,5,7,10,10,11	reviewer 15:13,18	rubbing 78:6	131:3
119:14,17 126:10	56:16 90:19	ruben's 110:15,17	scope 29:2
126:10	reviewers 15:17	rules 5:12 74:23	screen 5:3 6:11
responsibility	revised 6:20 10:1	rumors 105:5	19:11,12 30:6
64:23	17:2	run 28:18	39:19 97:3 126:4
responsible 54:3	revision 118:21	running 105:15	screening 21:19
responsive 92:22	revisions 91:3	107:24	52:20 64:9 97:9
92:23 96:1	rework 28:22	runs 61:6	seal 135:14
rest 23:8 32:12	rid 31:11 43:3	russell 104:12	second 35:13
53:16	93:24	S	38:17 39:18 76:16
restaurant 12:14	riddance 94:7	s 2:2 3:1	section 6:9 13:14
111:16,17,22	ride 103:12,14	safety 52:9 81:1,1	13:21 14:2 26:17
117:2	right 3:20 6:12	98:15 108:20	37:11 39:23,24
resting 50:14	25:10 27:3 31:20	113:12	47:8
restrain 50:3	32:1 38:6 39:24	salt 78:6	sections 10:6 11:1
restricted 52:3	40:10 44:19 46:6	sandwich 117:5	11:3 37:4
result 6:21 11:20	46:21,22 47:8,22	satisfactory	secure 30:7
retail 8:17,17	48:8 50:13 51:12	119:16	see 5:2 7:10 8:18
11:16 12:1,7,9,21	51:14,17 52:19,23	satisfied 93:6	9:15,18 16:12,21
19:22 20:3,5,12	53:3 54:14 57:3	save 47:3 110:16	16:22,24 17:8,22
25:4,14 27:15	62:15 66:10 78:9	savings 65:19	17:24 18:22 19:12
29:6,8,19 37:18	81:23 82:10,22	saw 18:18 45:2	22:21 23:8,12
38:8 41:23 43:20	86:15,16 103:1,4	47:12 65:3 70:24	25:2,4 26:1,14
44:15 45:15 61:9	107:10 117:17	106:12	27:9,14,18,18,20
75:16 76:13	130:3 131:12	saying 40:12	28:11,15 29:11
100:16 104:9	134:1	117:10 123:3	30:14 31:5 32:2,9
105:16 106:16	rigor 57:6	124:6 126:14	32:20 33:16 34:3
115:16 117:16	rigorous 59:20	says 90:12 91:21	34:17,21 35:13,13
121:19 123:12	road 81:16 95:4	scale 8:13,24 9:11	35:15,23 36:12,21
125:11	127:7	10:8 23:2 58:3,4,5	37:11,14,16 38:4,8
retained 12:8	roll 6:4	64:16	38:11,20 39:3,5,13
returning 61:8	roof 43:10 48:2,8	schedule 129:22	39:17 40:1 41:13
reveal 35:14	room 104:7	scheme 18:17,18	42:12 43:7,15
reveals 56:5	105:19 107:20,21	20:6 45:10 49:14	46:18 47:4 48:20
review 14:13	107:22 115:6	schneider 2:14	50:16,17 52:5
15:17 41:21 56:17	roughed 68:7	3:12,13 8:18 9:9	53:13 54:18 57:11
65:3,21 126:12	roughly 120:22	16:8 53:8,17,23	66:23 73:8 75:19
128:2 129:6	round 119:18	54:14 55:1 67:1	76:16 77:10 78:23
reviewed 10:1	route 104:4	95:23 109:19	79:12 80:9 82:10
13:24 15:1,9	rubbed 101:11	115:8 119:23	87:18 88:21 90:21
		121:3,7 127:22	90:22 91:18 93:18

[see - small] Page 24

	1		Т.
93:19 95:3,7	share 5:3 19:11	sidewalk 13:9,19	sitting 51:18 81:14
106:20 107:9	75:9 77:1 84:23	28:15,19 29:1,15	107:1,11 109:12
114:2 115:21	85:13 86:23 30:13,16,21 35:1		situation 14:20
126:4 128:20	103:12,14	35:15 36:3 52:5	103:8 106:7
129:17 131:11	sharing 126:4	52:17 68:9 94:9	108:14
133:11 134:16	sheds 10:6	sidewalks 13:18	situations 105:13
seeing 36:9 46:16	sheer 23:16	81:7	six 9:1,7 79:20
74:7	sheet 73:11	siding 31:6	86:4 89:7 91:17
seek 18:24	shifting 20:13	sign 14:5 82:21,22	100:4 111:4
seen 11:13 34:24	shifts 33:3 42:15	82:22 104:17	121:15,16 122:5
67:16 92:21	shop 117:5 118:8	signage 29:21	123:2 125:7,21
senior 2:4	short 108:18	98:11	sixth 8:23 9:8
sense 29:17 33:13	shorter 18:9 86:17	signals 14:4,5	11:20 23:11,20
43:16 60:3 66:19	shorthand 72:19	signature 135:17	32:21 33:7,22
sensitive 64:10	shortly 41:12	signatures 83:16	34:14,16 36:13
101:13	shoved 78:2	significant 6:24	43:7 45:2 47:4,13
sent 70:2,4 81:12	show 15:12 27:12	7:6 11:10 61:2	47:22 114:7
separate 72:11	54:7,9 89:3	significantly 20:8	118:24 119:24
separated 30:17	showed 19:4 33:3	signifier 35:19	120:9 126:15,18
separation 36:10	showing 11:9	similar 7:8 24:23	size 12:16 57:10
serious 81:1	21:14 27:11 81:13	25:16 26:14 45:12	78:22 98:22 99:11
serve 110:21	shown 12:24 78:18	49:16 58:21 67:3	100:4 117:3
service 42:7	shows 19:2	67:4 80:12,13	123:15,17
servicing 82:7	side 13:20 16:21	107:3 125:9	sketch 10:3
83:5	16:21 23:12 24:24	simple 63:17 86:1	skill 135:12
session 3:24 21:4	25:1 26:20 27:7	simpler 68:17,20	skipping 44:1
21:23 23:24 26:24	30:12,21 37:15	94:8	sky 24:14 33:15
31:2 49:19 68:14	42:13 46:19 48:12	simplification	skylines 39:15
70:1,3 71:10	48:12 49:7,13	55:5 99:4	slats 66:9
sessions 33:1	50:11,13 51:1,5	simplified 32:5,23	slender 31:17
60:14 63:3	52:18 53:1 57:2	simplify 33:6,7	slice 89:24
set 14:13 102:15	59:16,17 61:6	simply 75:4	slid 42:23
135:13	63:9,12 69:3,7	single 17:9 36:5	slide 21:8 43:22
setback 27:17	70:12,18 72:21,23	singular 68:21	45:24 49:12 81:18
52:22 55:23 63:9	72:23 73:13,19	sister 59:4	slides 39:3 81:19
setbacks 9:4	91:19 92:1 94:10	site 12:5 13:10	slight 42:14
seven 39:9 53:15	95:6 106:8,9	14:5 37:3 60:21	slightly 22:19
sewer 14:8	sidebar 6:2	77:7 78:24 81:5,6	small 37:18 83:9
shadow 74:7	sides 22:4 31:8	83:10 100:3 103:2	92:6 99:10,13
shaking 16:1	32:18 81:8 94:17	103:3 106:3	117:4,15,17
		117:24	125:18

[smaller - street] Page 25

smaller 45:13,16	spaces 13:5 27:13	115:15	steps 24:14 85:7,8
60:5 62:5 84:13	42:10 121:15	stair 42:21 94:5	stick 17:20 86:13
86:17 95:7 112:24	speak 5:21 6:7,16	stairs 28:21	stoked 76:13
smelly 111:22	15:13 69:5 74:20	standard 65:20	stop 14:5 81:15
smooth 34:12	75:15 76:6,17,19	101:10,16 113:8	82:20,22,22 89:8
smoother 46:14	84:21 85:22 89:10	113:19	103:5 109:13
softened 77:18	101:6 102:2 115:6	standards 14:1	118:11 126:3
solid 51:18 52:2	115:18	standing 10:21	stopped 81:21
solution 31:20	speaker 5:15	standpoint 52:9	stops 32:10
36:2 63:17 82:18	speakers 3:22	stark 77:20	storefront 64:14
somebody 52:4	speaking 3:23	start 68:15,16	68:6 133:8
54:23 79:3 84:4	5:21 6:1 49:6	74:19,23 76:22	stories 9:1,2,7
somewhat 77:9	76:17 126:22	91:11 132:7	58:9 88:9 123:10
soon 76:4	specific 20:17	started 45:16	123:11 125:21
sorry 39:17 66:10	67:10,24 72:5	125:12	storm 14:6
69:1 71:18 77:5	specifically 18:22	starting 73:7	story 8:23 9:8,14
77:15 78:15 85:1	22:7 49:17 59:24	starts 36:14	35:18 36:18,19
102:11 104:3	85:11 115:23	state 4:3 5:24 79:4	37:9 38:2 49:1
sort 16:12 19:8	118:23	state's 14:19	61:5 69:7,8 79:20
47:21,23 50:12,19	spell 118:16	statewide 57:18	80:5,6 84:14 89:7
51:19 57:22 93:10	spend 8:4	station 84:7	89:8 91:17 93:2
96:15 97:2,15	spent 30:20 57:13	statistical 19:6	93:17 100:5,9
100:15	124:1,2	stay 39:17	114:3,5,16 125:7
sound 66:13	spoke 45:21 103:6	steadily 68:19	straight 52:24
sounds 54:18	127:1	steal 7:13	87:23
118:17 128:12	spoken 111:11,12	steel 51:7 92:1	strategy 60:20
134:7	111:17 112:5	step 26:5,21 27:9	streamlined 33:12
south 25:3 57:2,4	spot 32:1 43:6	47:5 49:4 69:14	street 1:7 2:7 9:14
61:6 65:13 73:24	70:24	95:9 126:8	10:5,22 11:1,3
space 8:17 12:8,9	square 11:19 12:6	stepback 11:10,12	12:24 13:4,5,14
12:16 13:10 28:23	42:15 44:6,7,8,11	11:20 32:2 37:22	18:11 22:4,8
29:2,3,6,8,17	44:12 45:16,17	41:6	24:12,13 25:17
31:10 34:8 36:23	58:18 80:15 101:1	stepbacks 9:12	27:6 28:14,24,24
37:13,19 38:5	117:1 120:13,24	16:23 32:20 63:9	30:16,21,22 31:7
41:23 42:2,6	121:21 123:19	stepdown 49:15	31:22 32:18 33:22
44:14,24 48:7,8	ss 135:3	stepped 110:10	35:7 36:7,8 39:21
54:17 65:6 82:5	st 95:4	stepping 27:23	40:17 48:12 49:7
94:5 99:18 101:1	stackers 15:20	28:2,5 33:14 34:6	49:13 52:13,14
104:9 110:22	staff 3:14,19 6:22	35:16 48:15,21	57:1,2,9,14 59:13
111:7 113:1	7:24 8:3 13:13	119:13	59:18 60:4 63:15
117:16 123:12	15:21 98:13		63:15 64:12 67:5
			1

[street - thank] Page 26

			I
67:19 72:21 77:6	stuff 19:8 113:2	surprised 90:18	117:11 123:6
80:19 81:17 82:1	127:6	surrounding 8:14	talks 23:3 32:18
82:1,4,6,9 83:24	subdivided 65:13	suspect 127:19,20	tall 31:17 96:22,23
84:1 85:3 87:8	submission 18:21	suspended 4:11	task 62:20
88:1 89:9 93:11	19:10 43:24 44:11	sustain 100:4	tatte 25:16 87:8
93:14,19 95:2,5,6	44:24 45:7,13	sympathetic 87:17	96:7 106:7,23
99:16 102:19,23	submitted 10:2	system 14:17 68:6	tea 87:1
102:24 103:15,20	17:13	78:15 105:16	team 69:19 70:13
103:21 104:1,3,4,8	substantive 16:14	systems 51:11	97:2 99:23 102:12
104:10,14,23	subtracted 44:20	t	112:7 118:7,24
105:3,3,10,12,17	succeed 63:22	t 135:1,1	122:1 128:8
105:19,21 106:8,9	successful 20:11	take 5:3 14:21	team's 17:11
106:9,11,13,14,16	22:11 26:23 28:3	15:18 17:4 22:15	tell 37:8 43:14
108:9 111:10	30:3 31:1,15 33:4	64:22 76:20 96:14	53:10 80:4
112:17 117:14	49:14 71:1	98:11 103:11,16	telling 38:1
123:9 124:21	successfully 65:8	104:18 107:19	ten 23:11 79:24
125:17	suddenly 73:10	112:24 116:18	120:18,19,22
streets 80:18	sufficient 10:10	117:24 126:11	121:1,15,16
streetscape 18:11	13:7 14:11 97:20	takeaway 22:9	122:16
59:16	suggest 73:21	41:4 49:20	tenant 111:6
strict 7:17	suggested 35:2	takeaways 19:13	tenants 54:22
strictly 49:3 58:10	103:18	taken 6:3 50:2,3	106:22 108:4,8
strong 27:2 60:17	suggesting 118:8	62:20 99:12 114:4	terminal 36:7
69:8	sum 95:18	takes 85:7	terms 14:22 15:19
strongest 68:12	summarizes 57:15	talented 71:11	66:14 80:12 97:24
strongly 79:4	summary 92:17	talk 19:7 41:14	111:20 115:11
structural 51:6,7	summit 83:24 84:1	48:20 49:19 51:20	116:15 118:19
structurally 51:10	84:5	52:11 55:17	terrace 88:17
structure 34:16	sun 40:6	108:21 110:12	terrible 66:22
50:15 99:6	support 12:5	113:7 116:21	texture 23:1 32:13
struggle 98:18,20	17:23 68:10 69:1	125:1,1 127:4	52:21
100:5,9	83:13,19,21,23	128:5 132:16	textured 56:10
struggled 123:8,20	114:12	talked 22:17 28:2	textures 26:11
studies 9:18 10:14	supported 51:10	29:5 31:2 48:5	35:13
10:15 21:9 22:14	51:16	52:7 54:11 56:11	thank 3:21 15:23
68:5	supporting 5:6	59:24 60:12 63:3	16:4,6,9,10 17:7
studio 53:18	83:22	70:2 77:19 79:16	18:4,5 19:12 46:2
studios 53:17	sure 11:17 13:1	80:10 93:8	46:24 53:4,6
study 22:14 23:10	21:1 51:13 55:11	talking 20:19	54:19 55:3,4
23:19	100:24 101:2	24:15 27:9 72:11	56:14 65:24 74:13
	125:13 128:17	81:22 105:6 117:9	74:15 76:8,15

[thank - topic] Page 27

79:8,9,10,14 84:18	43:22 45:18,23	thinks 23:5	45:21 53:19 57:13
84:19 86:19,20,24	51:14,21 53:14	thinner 42:20	63:5,23 65:2 70:4
91:5,6,9 92:15	54:15,20 55:7	third 38:17 72:22	70:10 75:21 76:1
95:21 98:17	56:2,19,21,22 57:1	86:6	83:4,21,21 84:17
102:13 109:14,16	57:6,7,12,15 58:6	thorndike 89:9	85:7,19,21 86:5,6
112:10 115:9,10	58:8,11,20 59:5,9	105:3	86:9 91:10 99:13
123:4 126:1,5	59:11,19,21,22,24	thorough 16:9	104:19 108:10
127:9 128:4	60:7,8,15,16,22,23	17:7	109:20 110:2,2
130:11 134:15,17	61:3,9,10,11,15,17	thought 8:3,23	119:4 122:13
thanks 53:9 55:2	61:19 62:13 63:1	55:19 63:4 64:6	124:2,3 128:3
131:16	63:3,5,6 64:3,17	66:24 70:23 71:6	124.2,5 128.5
themes 24:17	64:19,23 65:4,5,7	73:15,17,21 74:12	timeline 115:13
theoretically	65:9,11,14,17,21	131:24	times 107:6,17
123:14	66:8,12 67:2 68:3	thoughtful 60:24	111:17 112:5
thin 123:1	68:8,14,18 69:17	thoughts 67:21	111.17 112.3
thing 11:8 16:11	69:18 70:3,5,12,16	68:23 93:16	tj 27:8 48:17
18:14 30:4 33:18	71:16 73:6,21	thousands 59:2	today 16:14 77:4
50:12 52:1 64:24	74:9,12 76:16,18	124:17	80:11 83:12 85:24
69:6 72:5 73:5	82:21 84:20 85:6	three 20:21 22:6	87:13 102:21
75:21 83:11 97:5	88:5 90:19 91:4	30:24 39:2 43:20	124:6 129:20
98:7 105:23	92:8,10,20,22,23	49:1 53:13,15,15	130:2
124:12 125:10	93:3,20,23,24 94:4	59:15 70:6 74:8	todd 14:2
129:4 133:5	94:5,11,17,18,22	78:9 84:13 99:20	told 107:16 111:15
things 11:18 13:13	95:3,11,15,24 96:7	108:3 111:4	111:18 113:10,23
14:15,24 19:15,15	97:8,10,14,24 98:7	123:10,11 129:23	tone 70:9
19:17 24:17 41:14	98:14,20,20 99:1,2	130:12 131:21	tonight 97:22
47:11 61:13,14	99:8 101:3,5,7,9	thrilled 118:9	102:8 116:22
62:17 66:15 68:16	101:11,15 108:16	throats 78:3	118:10 122:8,19
79:16 84:10 85:2	113:22 114:13,17	throwing 66:21	124:13 131:22
85:5 97:18 101:6	114:20,22 115:22	thunder 7:13	tonight's 6:18 7:8
101:13 112:19	116:22 117:1,13	tickets 109:13	tools 10:5,18 15:11
116:11 117:24	118:15,22 119:17	tie 61:20 62:3	tooth 80:23
119:3,20 133:16	120:2 121:10	115:16	top 7:22 26:8,17
think 7:3,5 12:7	122:3 123:11	tied 65:8	32:21 38:9,20
15:8,17 16:11	126:6,9,24,24	tight 122:17	39:7 40:4 41:2
17:8 19:23 21:4	127:7,23 128:1,8	time 6:20 16:3	48:4 58:9 62:8,11
22:1 23:2 24:7,8	129:16 130:18	18:9,11 19:4	62:16 77:16 88:9
27:22 30:1,10,19	132:8,11 133:5,19	22:12 24:15,23	120:11 122:2
30:24 31:9,14	thinking 57:16	25:7 26:14 28:3	topic 79:21 81:20
32:16 36:1 37:8	72:24 74:5 127:13	29:5 30:20 32:24	102:18
38:2 41:4,5,8	127:14	33:3 37:12 45:18	

Page 28 [total - use]

total 86:5	treat 73:22	turning 5:11 13:12	understanding
totally 74:11	treatment 62:11	35:10 72:20 93:13	51:13 60:2 100:18
touched 16:11	73:24	turns 108:3	128:1
27:22 59:23 101:7	tree 108:13	tweaks 21:6	understood
town 1:5 2:6 5:8	trees 13:6 21:19	twice 78:22	109:16 123:16
52:15 77:7 89:20	32:15 52:12,14	two 7:4 8:4 9:14	uneconomic 123:3
89:24 98:12 109:6	55:20 56:12 97:4	9:23 11:6 14:24	125:17
131:5 133:3	97:5,7	26:3 27:24,24	unfortunately
town's 4:22 109:3	tremendously	33:20 34:8,9,9	110:17 112:6
tracking 133:16	63:21	36:5 43:5,9 50:12	unit 20:7 30:19
traditional 56:6	tried 19:15,17	51:1,11 52:2	43:5,21 45:5
58:14 66:20 67:15	28:11 33:5 34:24	53:15 59:14 62:21	53:11
72:2 74:10 94:4	39:14 70:22	74:17 75:10,15	units 8:19 11:21
traditionally 32:8	121:17	78:8,18 80:14,17	20:5 24:5 34:8,10
traffic 13:23 89:18	trim 56:4,9	80:18 82:16 83:22	37:14,22 43:8
98:9 106:9 109:1	truck 104:5	83:22 85:20,23	45:6,6,9,10,11,14
109:2,3,6	trucks 103:13	93:12 94:17 105:9	65:20 119:24
transcribed	107:12 108:3,7	120:2 129:17,18	120:10 122:5
135:10	true 79:23 87:20	130:15,18 132:1	123:2,12
transcript 134:12	88:15 100:24	type 100:23	unmute 75:9 77:1
135:11	135:11	103:11 105:16	84:23,24 86:23
transform 72:22	truly 26:3	116:24 118:8	unsafe 87:10
transit 89:19	trustee 77:8	typical 73:24	unusual 59:16
transition 24:21	truth 80:8	u	upcoming 27:18
25:18,23 27:14	try 18:8 21:1 39:6	uber 98:9 103:16	updated 21:2
28:4 29:1 32:12	40:7,12 42:15	103:19 108:6	56:17
46:15 50:22 95:6	64:8 72:13 92:16	ubers 87:7 103:11	upper 8:12 10:20
transitional 60:20	121:18	106:22	11:11,13 12:13
transitions 31:4	trying 24:10 30:11	ugly 77:17	19:19 34:7 37:14
35:12 73:8	30:22 35:4 40:20	ultimately 7:2	37:23 44:20 48:13
transmission 4:6	41:1 52:19 55:24	100:7	60:24 90:6 121:13
transparency 52:8	58:22 71:2,16	underneath 25:21	121:19
transportation	74:4 89:8 100:12	51:8	ups 82:18 103:12
13:2 14:3 89:17	110:16	understand 7:14	upset 101:16
109:7	tumbling 94:20	36:4 46:7,12	urban 36:6 80:16
trash 12:19 15:1	tuning 50:12	48:23 66:3 77:16	urge 86:13 101:19
41:18 104:2,4,5,7	turn 18:3 73:21	96:18 97:22 98:1	101:22 102:2
105:2,9,17,18	95:5	105:8 120:6 121:7	use 12:2,11 29:7
107.4 5 7 10 10 10		102.0140.0141./	
107:4,5,7,18,18,19	turned 57:11	127.24	44:16 54:22 61:9
107:4,3,7,18,18,19	turned 57:11 111:4,5	127:24	44:16 54:22 61:9 100:23 101:4,4
		127:24 understandable 64:16	

[use - week] Page 29

118:8 121:19	viewpoints 10:7	walking 30:8 35:5	way 5:22 14:10
useful 57:12	views 21:15 34:24	35:14,19 36:3	28:2 30:11 31:17
uses 12:3 78:1	47:6	93:12	41:24 46:18 50:1
100:19 115:18,23	virtual 17:17	wall 30:18 31:12	50:10 51:15 53:14
116:22	virus 4:5 112:7	38:9 42:21 46:20	53:21 60:22 62:6
usually 130:23	visibility 13:18	52:2 55:14,18	64:20 65:22 67:11
132:16,19 134:4	visible 63:14	56:11 63:6 94:21	68:3,9 69:10 70:5
utility 42:10	visual 14:5 27:13	97:2,3,10 115:3	80:19 82:4 91:16
	35:9 52:3 54:2	walls 20:23	92:4,17 93:4,5
V	visually 18:16	want 8:7 12:22	94:15 96:2 101:11
value 69:20	67:18	13:1 15:16,20	102:20 103:20,23
vanderkay 76:23	vitaly 79:11 87:6	17:1,3,4 40:6 46:3	104:24 105:9,13
77:3,5	vocabulary 73:14	47:4 48:14 50:7	115:21 123:3
variety 15:11	volume 8:12 16:22	51:24 55:6 56:12	125:13,15
vast 67:16	16:24 19:8,19	56:16 60:7 63:5	ways 7:9 19:20
vehemently 76:6	23:16 34:1,5	74:20,22 76:3	57:19,24 58:1
veksler 79:11,12	36:22 42:1 44:14	80:7 84:7,11	59:23 93:6 94:19
79:14	44:17,18 49:2	85:22 86:13 87:6	95:13 114:18
ventilation 12:12	86:1,5,5,7 92:3	87:11 88:15 89:3	we've 7:23 11:13
venting 117:19 118:3	93:3 120:20,21,24	96:24 98:23	20:11 21:16,18,20
verndale 76:12	volumes 10:4	100:18,22 102:7	25:3,4,10 26:7
104:13	34:12,20	110:12 114:8	27:22 28:22 29:6
version 44:2,8	volumetric 21:16	115:16,20 118:1	30:2 32:8 33:5
58:17 69:11	volumetrically	118:16,22 119:5,7	38:16 41:5,10
versions 27:24	44:20	119:9 122:1 125:3	43:6 44:6,8,19,22
33:1	vote 6:3,4	125:18 127:15	48:7,8 54:15
versus 20:5 27:15	W	129:8 130:18,18	57:12 59:12 67:16
vertical 55:22 56:1	wait 5:23	133:15 134:15	87:5,12,17 89:22
94:3	waiting 118:12,13	wanted 9:17 21:1	90:16,17 92:21
viable 114:3,5	124:19,20,21,23	23:4 51:13 66:23	100:21 102:8
video 4:21 5:1	waitings 124:22	71:5 73:6 75:15	103:6 113:8,10,23
75:9 77:2 84:24	waiver 14:18	88:12 102:14	119:18 120:16,22
86:23	127:16 128:23	109:14 125:23	121:21 125:22
view 10:6,20 21:10	waivers 118:22	132:22	132:17
32:7 35:2,6,22	126:8 127:14	wants 9:15 87:15	website 5:8 81:13
38:19 41:2 50:9,9	128:6,9,14,19	90:12,12	82:19
57:15 61:18,19	129:3,15 132:7,13	washed 77:4 110:8	wednesday 1:16
64:12 68:21 93:9	walk 13:10 35:8	110:11	wednesdays
viewed 119:13	107:8 124:10	washington 2:7	130:23
viewing 40:3	walker 132:5	water 14:6,8	week 65:4 82:2
			106:19 107:6
l .	I	I	İ.

[week - zoom] Page 30

130:15,16,18	wood 60:6 66:5	wrong 81:24 82:12
weekends 106:20	wooden 73:12	82:24 83:6 101:11
weeks 17:23	words 9:17 13:11	wrongs 78:9
130:19	66:10 80:7	y
weigh 127:22	work 9:18 24:6,7	yards 47:18
welcome 76:3	30:11 43:18 48:24	yeah 16:19 48:19
86:18	53:14 57:5 59:11	50:9,17,21 69:22
welcoming 76:2	60:9,19 63:9	69:22 73:20 74:11
went 19:16 21:22	64:21 85:9 91:1	90:4 92:13 114:10
26:17,20 40:3	93:2,24 96:6	114:20 116:3
70:22 89:17 109:6	116:14 123:13	117:7,13 118:15
109:8 110:6 111:5	128:11 131:2	119:2,5 120:21
121:15	worked 21:23	121:15 133:14,18
west 11:11 52:24	33:14 59:12 88:4	134:4
60:6,10 61:6 63:6	96:7 123:23 133:9	year 124:4
63:9 64:4 69:3,15	working 9:24	years 85:20,23
72:15,23 73:6,19	10:16,17 15:9	89:23 111:16
94:10 133:7,13	21:3,22 23:24	yielded 5:24
whatnot 103:13	24:3 26:24 29:4	
122:21	31:2 33:1 42:23	Z
whereof 135:13	47:12 49:2,19	zba 3:23 4:20 8:13
white 96:9	51:21 52:15 60:14	8:16 78:4 90:3
wide 97:7 102:24	62:2 64:13 65:14	92:10 130:11
wider 81:16	68:15 69:24 70:3	zba's 8:4 129:22
width 13:7	71:9 80:23 111:9	zone 12:23 13:4,4
wife 85:2	works 62:2 65:10	102:9,18 103:10
willing 101:14	94:9 95:13 105:18	103:10,22
window 6:11	131:1	zones 113:2
88:18	worse 31:22	zoning 128:21,23
windows 38:17	worst 107:2	zoom 1:11 4:21
41:1 66:14,17,21	worth 24:9	
73:2 87:24 94:3	wound 99:16	
wish 6:1,7 64:20	wounds 78:7	
124:8,8,9	wrap 36:20 67:7	
witness 135:13	69:17 94:16 132:3	
wonder 8:24	wrapped 60:11	
wondered 11:23	wraps 25:11 34:3	
67:9,20	37:23 67:14 96:12	
wonderful 75:17	write 82:18	
wondering 54:3	writing 130:2	
66:18		